Tillamook County



DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BUILDING, PLANNING & ON-SITE SANITATION SECTIONS

1510 – B Third Street Tillamook, Oregon 97141 www.tillamook.or.us

Building (503) 842-3407 Planning (503) 842-3408 On-Site Sanitation (503) 842-3409 FAX (503) 842-1819 Toll Free 1 (800) 488-8280

Land of Cheese, Trees and Ocean Breeze



Date:June 3, 2021To:Tillamook County Planning CommissionFrom:Melissa Jenck, CFM, Land Use Planner IISubject:Subdivision Request #851-21-000095-PLNG "Second Addition to Avalon Heights"

Included is the staff report and associated exhibits for the above-mentioned Subdivision request. Enclosed is a copy of all materials related to the "Second Addition to Avalon Heights" subdivision proposal. A Geologic Hazard Report review, #851-21-000202-PLNG, is also part of this subdivision review process. This request is to establish a 58-lot subdivision within the Unincorporated Community Boundary of Oceanside.

Chris Laity, Tillamook County Public Works Director, will be joining staff for the hearing on June 10, 2021 to discuss County road construction and stormwater management standards, and to answer any questions you may have during the hearing process.

Two public hearings are scheduled for discussion and consideration of this matter. The first hearing will take place on Thursday, June 10, 2021, at 6:30pm. The second hearing is scheduled for Thursday, July 8, 2021, at 6:30pm. The hearing process will be conducted in virtual hearing/teleconference format.

The hearing can be accessed via teleconference and live video. To access the live video or virtual meeting, please visit the Tillamook County Planning Commission homepage for meeting options: <u>https://www.co.tillamook.or.us/bc-pc</u>. For teleconference access the evening of the hearing, please call 971-254-3149. Conference ID: 162 123 896#.

Oral Testimony Procedure: Oral testimony will be heard at the hearing. If you wish to provide oral testimony at the hearing during the public comment period, please email Allison Hinderer, DCD Office Specialist at ahindere@co.tillamook.or.us prior to 6:00pm on the date of the hearing to make arrangements to speak. Ms. Jenck will call upon those wishing to provide oral testimony at the hearing once the public comment portion of the

hearing is opened by the Planning Commission Chair. The order of comments will be announced at the beginning of the public comment period.

Written Testimony: Written testimony may be submitted to the Tillamook County Department of Community Development, 1510-B Third Street, Tillamook, Oregon, 97141 prior to 4:00 p.m. on the date of the hearing. Failure of an issue to be raised in a hearing, in person or by letter, or failure to provide sufficient specificity to afford the decision-maker an opportunity to respond to the issue precludes appeal to the Land Use Board of Appeals on that issue. Please contact Melissa Jenck, CFM, Land Use Planner II, Tillamook County Department of Community Development, mjenck@co.tillamook.or.us as soon as possible if you wish to have your comments provided to the Planning Commission at the June 10, 2021, hearing.

I would like to request for the written record to be left open as described: Anyone can submit written testimony from 4:00pm June 10, 2021, until 4:00pm on June 17, 2021, anyone can provide rebuttal but no new information from 4:00pm on June 17, 2021, until 4:00pm on June 24, 2021, and the Applicant can submit final written comments with no new information from 4:00pm on June 24, 2021, until 4:00pm July 1, 2021. The Applicant would be given opportunity for final oral arguments at the continued July 8, 2021, hearing.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Thank You, Action Jense

TILLAMOOK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

To Be Held June 10, 2021- Beginning at 6:30 p.m.

VIRTUAL & TELECONFERENCE MEETING

The Tillamook County Courthouse remains closed to the public at this time and public hearings must adhere to State of Oregon public gathering limitations. The hearing can be accessed via teleconference and live video. To access the live video, please visit the Tillamook County homepage the date of the hearing: <u>https://www.co.tillamook.or.us/</u> where a link will be provided the evening of the hearing. For teleconference access the evening of the hearing, please call 971-254-3149. Conference ID: 887 242 77#. Virtual Meeting Access: <u>https://www.co.tillamook.or.us/commdev</u>. Click on Virtual Teams Link. *Microsoft Teams Meeting Format.

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. ROLL CALL
- III. OLD BUSINESS: NONE

IV. NEW BUSINESS: Hearing Time Certain of 6:30pm

#851-21-000095-PLNG: A request for preliminary subdivision plat approval of a 58-lot subdivision identified as "Second Addition to Avalon Heights" on a property located within the Unincorporated Community of Oceanside, together with Geologic Hazard Report review request #851-21-000202-PLNG. The subject property is located within the Oceanside Unincorporated Community boundary and accessed via Highland Drive and Grand Avenue, County local access roads, and is designated as Tax Lot 200 of Section 30DC, Township 1 South, Range 10 West of the Willamette Meridian, Tillamook County, Oregon. The Property Owner is Avalon Heights LLC. The Applicant is Bill Hughes.

V. AUTHORIZATION FOR CHAIR TO SIGN APPROPRIATE ORDERS, IF NECESSARY

VI. ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS: Administrative Decisions are available for public review on the Tillamook County Department of Community Development website: <u>https://www.co.tillamook.or.us/commdev/landuseapps</u>

VII. HOUSING COMMISSION UPDATE

VIII. DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT REPORT

IX. ADJOURNMENT

The Courthouse is accessible to citizens with disabilities. If special accommodations are needed for persons with hearing, visual, or manual impairments that wish to participate in the meeting, please contact 1-800-488-8280 at least 24 hours prior to the meeting in order that appropriate communications assistance can be arranged.

Tillamook County

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BUILDING, PLANNING & ON-SITE SANITATION SECTIONS



1510 – B Third Street Tillamook, Oregon 97141 <u>www.co.tillamook.or.us</u> Building (503) 842-3407 Sanitation (503) 842-3409 Planning (503) 842-3408 FAX (503) 842-1819 Toll Free 1(800) 488-8280

Land of Cheese, Trees and Ocean Breeze

PRELIMINARY SUBDIVISION PLAT REVIEW REQUEST "SECOND ADDITION TO AVALON HEIGHTS SUBDIVISION" #851-21-000095-PLNG TOGETHER WITH Geologic Hazard Report Review #851-21-000202-PLNG

Planning Commission Hearing Date: June 10, 2021 Staff Report Date: June 3, 2021

Staff Report Prepared by: Melissa Jenck, CFM, Land Use Planner II

I. GENERAL INFORMATION:

Request:	A request for preliminary subdivision plat approval of a 58-lot subdivision identified as "Second Addition to Avalon Heights" on a property located within the Unincorporated Community of Oceanside, together with Geologic Hazard Report review request #851-21-000202-PLNG (Exhibit B).
Location:	The subject property is located within the Oceanside Unincorporated Community boundary and accessed via Highland Drive and Grand Avenue, County local access roads, and is designated as Tax Lot 200 of Section 30DC, Township 1 South, Range 10 West of the Willamette Meridian, Tillamook County, Oregon (Exhibit A).
Zone:	
	Residential Oceanside Zone (ROS)
Applicant:	Bill Hughes, 41901 Old Hwy 30, Astoria, OR 97103
Property	
Owner:	Avalon Heights LLC, 41901 Old Hwy 30, Astoria, OR 97103

II. Description of Site and Vicinity

The subject property is located within the Oceanside Unincorporated Community, abutting the border of the community boundary on its northerly, easterly, and southerly boundaries. The southerly boundary of the property abuts the Netarts Unincorporated Community boundary (Exhibit A).

The subject property is bordered by ROS zoned parcels to the west, undeveloped property zoned Forest (F) to the north, Rural Residential 2-acre (RR-2) zoned parcels to the east and a Netarts Medium Density Urban Residential (NT-R2) zoned parcel with the Netarts Planned Residential Development Overlay (NT-PRD) owned by the Netarts Water District to the south (Exhibit A).

The subject property is irregular in shape and approximately 20 acres (Exhibit A). Highland Drive, a County local access road, abuts along the north-westerly boundary and south-westerly boundary of the subject property (Exhibits B). Grand Avenue, a County local access road, abuts along the middle westerly property boundary (Exhibit B). Both Highland Drive and Grand Avenue intersect Highway 131/Netarts Highway, a State highway, to the west (Exhibit A).

There are eight (8) privately owned properties abutting the westerly boundary of the subject property, most of which are residentially improved and are also zoned Residential Oceanside (ROS). The Netarts-Oceanside Sanitary District facilities are located approximately 500-feet to the northeast of the subject property (Exhibit A). A water tower operated by Oceanside Water District is located approximately 200-feet north of the subject property (Exhibit B).

Service providers include the Netarts Water District, Netarts-Oceanside Sanitary District, Netarts-Oceanside Fire District, Tillamook PUD, Tillamook School District, and the Tillamook County Sheriff's Office. Responses to notice of this proposal from service providers and public agencies are included in "Exhibit B" and "Exhibit C" of this report.

Applicant states the request is for a 58-lot subdivision for single-family dwellings, to be developed in three phases (Exhibit B).

A. Natural Features

1. **Topography:** The Geologic Hazard Report (GHR) dated April 12, 2018, prepared by John Jenkins, CEG, of Environmental Management Systems, Inc (Exhibit B) states that elevations of the subject property range from approximately 300 to 430 feet above sea level, with higher elevations in the northern portion of the parcel (Exhibit B). Slopes generally descend from north to south, with steeper slopes in the easterly part of the property near 45 to 60% (Exhibit B).

2. <u>Soils:</u> Geology of the site is discussed in the Geologic Hazard Report (GHR) dated April 12, 2018, prepared by John Jenkins, CEG, of Environmental Management Systems, Inc (Exhibit B).

Soils identified by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NCRS) include the Netarts fine sandy loam, 5 to 30% slopes in the western half of the lot, and 30 to 60% slopes in the eastern half of the lot. This soil is derived from dunes on marine terraces and is described as being well drained (Exhibit B). Examination of the soil auger areas and available exposures of soils and bedrock in road cuts confirm these soils (Exhibit B).

3. <u>Vegetation</u>: The subject property is detailed to have been recently logged, but was previously covered with Scotch Broom, heavy brush and stands of mixed evergreen trees (Exhibit B).

4. <u>Water Features:</u> The National Wetland Inventory Mapper (NWI) does not identify any wetlands on the subject property and identifies riverine features throughout (Exhibit A). A perennial stream that is described to be a tributary to Fall Creek runs along the east boundary of the subject property (Exhibit B). Drainage ways identified on the subject property are also depicted on the preliminary plats (Exhibit B).

The subject property is identified on Flood Insurance Rate Maps #41057C0555F dated September 28, 2018 and is located within the 'Zone X' flood zone, outside of the Special Flood Hazard Area (Exhibit A).

B. Comprehensive Plan Policies

The natural features identified on the subject property are not included in the list of inventoried protected natural features in the Goal 5: Natural Resources element of the Tillamook County Comprehensive Plan. Development of the subject property shall be done in accordance with the development standards of Section 4.130: Development Requirements for Geologic Hazard Areas, consistent with the policies outlined in the Goal 7: Hazards element of the Tillamook County Comprehensive Plan.

Tillamook County established an Unincorporated Community Boundary (UCB) around Oceanside based on the procedures and requirements of the Goal 2 exception process. Planning for the unincorporated community of Oceanside was completed in accordance with Goal 14: Urbanization. The proposed plat is located within the Oceanside UCB at a density consistent with Plan policies for development within UCBs (14.3 Goal 14 element of the Comprehensive Plan). These policies encourage development within urban areas before conversion of urbanizable land and resource lands.

III. APPLICABLE ORDINANCE PROVISIONS & ANALYSIS:

A. Tillamook County Land Use Ordinance

1. <u>Section 3.310: Residential Oceanside (ROS) Zone, Subsection 4: Development Standards.</u> The minimum lot size for permitted uses shall be: 7,500 square feet where the slope averages less than 19 percent, 10,000 square feet where the slope averages from 19 to 29 percent and 20,000 square feet where the slope averages greater than 29 percent. The minimum lot width shall be 60-feet and depth shall be 75-feet.

The ROS zone requires that new uses not adversely affect farm or forest management practices conducted in accordance with federal and state laws. The ROS zone requires that if a parcel is created or dwelling is constructed adjacent to land zone farm or forest use it shall require a notarized declaratory statement signed and recorded on the property deed or contract.

Findings: The proposed development is a subdivision within the Residential Oceanside (ROS) zone. The preliminary plat confirms the proposed lots meet the minimum lot width and depth requirements for new lots/parcels located within the ROS zone and meet or exceed the minimum lot size requirement allowed by per the slope average of the lot (Exhibit B).

Those lot proposed along the northerly property line which abuts Forest (F) zoned land, namely Proposed Lots 22-24 & 47-50, will be required to record a declaratory statement that affirms that residents of the parcel may be subject to farm or forest management practices. A Condition of Approval is recommended that those proposed lots adjacent to Forest (F) zoned property record a declaratory statement accepting potential impact from farm and forest practices, prior to issuance of Final Plat approval. An example of such a declaratory statement is included in 'Exhibit D' of this report.

2. <u>4.130: Development Requirements for Geologic Hazard Areas.</u> The subject property is located within an area of geologic hazard (landslide topography) and a Geologic Hazard Report (GHR) is required as part of this development review process. As mentioned previously in this report, a GHR has been prepared and included in the application submittal (Exhibit B) and is comprised of a report prepared by John Jenkins, CEG, of Environmental Management Systems, Inc.

Findings: The Geologic Hazard Report (GHR) includes an analysis of soils and bedrock types, slopes, soil depth, other relevant soils engineering data, water drainage patterns and a discussion of landslide activity in the recent area. The main geologic hazard identified include steep slopes around the eastern portion of the property; the hazard is further discussed in the GHR (Exhibit B).

Recommended development standards for design/construction of roads, locations of structures, land grading practices for subdivision improvements, vegetation and re-vegetation practices, foundation design and stormwater management both during subdivision construction as well as when lots are developed are also included in the GHR (Exhibit B).

A "Geo Hazard Line" is depicted on the proposed plats, but Staff were unable to conclude in the application materials, specifically the provided Geologic Hazard Report, what this depicted line represents (Exhibit B).

Given the hazards of the site as described in the GHR, the steep slopes of the subject property and proposed lots, a Condition of Approval is recommended to require site-specific Geologic Hazard Reports in accordance with TCLUO Section 4.130 at time of individual lot development. This requirement ensures that continued development of the site is appropriately addressed in accordance with TCLUO Section 4.130 at the time of individual lot construction.

B. Land Division Ordinance (LDO) Requirements

1. Section 60: Preliminary Plat Submission Requirements.

This section specifies what general information is required on a preliminary plat, information about existing conditions of the site, information about the proposed development allows the Department to require certain additional information to supplement the proposed plan of the subdivision.

Findings: Staff confirmed with County Surveyor Michael Rice, PLS, that the proposed name, "Second Addition to Avalon Heights" does not duplicate the name of any other subdivision in the County. All of the other information required under this section is included on the preliminary plat or as supplemental information including a Geologic Hazard Report, service availability letters, existing and proposed streets, existing and proposed easements and locations of natural features (Exhibit B).

2. Section 70: Preliminary Plat Approval Criteria.

(1) Approval Criteria. The Approval Authority (Director for partitions and Planning Commission for subdivisions) may approve, approve with conditions or deny a preliminary plat. The Approval Authority decision shall be based on findings of compliance with all of the following approval criteria:

(a) The land division application shall conform to the requirements of this ordinance;

(b) All proposed lots, blocks, and proposed land uses shall conform to the applicable provisions of the Land Use Ordinance – Article 3 Zone Regulations and the standards in Section 150 of this ordinance;

Findings: The proposed lots depicted on the preliminary plats meet the applicable development standards of the Residential Oceanside (ROS) zone (Exhibit B). All proposed lots abut a private street for at least 25-feet. The preliminary plans depict improvements for stormwater management of the subdivision with supplemental reports provided by Environmental Management Systems, Inc. and Firwood Design Group, LLC (Exhibit B).

(c) Access to individual lots, and public improvements necessary to serve the development, including but not limited to water, sewer and streets, shall conform to the standards in Sections 150 and 160 of this ordinance;

Findings: Highland Drive, a County local access road, abuts the subject property along its northwesterly boundary and south-westerly boundary (Exhibit B). Grand Avenue, a County local access road, abuts the mid-point of the westerly property boundary (Exhibit B). The Applicant is proposing three additional private roadways identified as "Roaring Tide Loop", which would run from the connection off of Highland Drive on the north-western boundary running south and connecting back to Highland Drive in the south-western boundary of the property, "NW Ocean Song" running north-south along the interior of the subdivision, and "W Grand Avenue" running east-west through the mid-point of the proposed subdivision (Exhibit B). The applicant has also described a private easement of 25-feet width along five (5) lots contained within Phase I of the proposed subdivision, and a shared easement of undetailed width to Proposed Lot 1 from Proposed Lot 2 (Exhibit B).

Any improvements necessary to serve the proposed development are discussed in letters provided by the Tillamook County Public Works Department contained within 'Exhibit C'. At time of the Staff Report, no comments were received from the Netarts-Oceanside Fire District.

Road grades proposed are as steep as approximately 14% in some areas of the private roads, easement and future driveway systems (Exhibit B). Included in this report is a series of recommended Conditions of Approval that reflect the requirements of the Tillamook County Public Works Department should the Planning Commission choose to approve this request.

(d) The proposed plat name is not already recorded for another subdivision, does not bear a name similar to or pronounced the same as the name of any other subdivision within the County, unless the land platted is contiguous to and platted by the same party that platted the subdivision bearing that name or unless the party files and records the consent of the party that platted the contiguous subdivision bearing that name;

Findings: The County Surveyor Director Michael Rice has confirmed that the proposed name, "Second Addition to Avalon Heights" is not already recorded and is not similar to the name of any other subdivision within the County (Exhibit B).

(e) The proposed streets, utilities, and surface water drainage facilities conform to Tillamook County's adopted master plans and applicable engineering standards and, within Unincorporated Community Boundaries, allow for transitions to existing and potential future development on adjacent lands. The preliminary plat shall identify all proposed public improvements and dedications;

Findings: The County Engineer (Tillamook County Public Works Director) is charged with the authority to review proposed streets, utilities and surface water drainage facilities, ensuring

conformance with master plans and applicable engineering standards. Applicant has provided a Transportation Impact Study prepared by Lancaster Mobley and is included in 'Exhibit B'. Applicant has provided a preliminary stormwater management report by Firewood Design Group, LLC and Environmental Management Systems, Inc. (Exhibit B). Comments from Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) are included in 'Exhibit C', where they provide that they had no comments on the Traffic Impact Analysis. Tillamook County Public Works (TCPW) has review underway of this subdivision proposal. Director Chris Laity of TCPW will present their findings at the June 10, 2021 Planning Commission hearing.

(f) All proposed private common areas and improvements, if any, are identified on the preliminary plat and maintenance of such areas is assured through appropriate legal instrument; and

(g) Provisions for access to and maintenance of off-right-of-way drainage, if any;

Findings: Drainage easements and basins are identified on the preliminary plat (Exhibit B). Preliminary stormwater management reports were provided by the Applicant from Firwood Design Group, LLC and Environmental Management Systems, Inc (Exhibit B). Staff recommends that should the Planning Commission approve this request, a Condition of Approval be made to require all improvements and easements be recorded, including a long-term stormwater management agreement for future property owners.

(h) Evidence that any required State and Federal permits, as applicable, have been obtained or can reasonably be obtained prior to development; and

Findings: Staff recommends that a Condition of Approval be made requiring all local, state and federal permits be obtained and that copies of permits be submitted to the Department of Community Development prior to development of the subject property.

(i) Evidence that improvements or conditions required by the road authority, Tillamook County, special districts, utilities, and/or other service providers, as applicable to the project, have been or can be met, including but not limited to:

(i) Water Department/Utility District Letter which states that the partition or subdivision is either entirely excluded from the district or is included within the district for purposes of receiving services and subjecting the partition or subdivision to the fees and other charges of the district.

(ii) Subsurface sewage permit(s) or site evaluation approval(s) from the appropriate agency.

Findings: Service letters were provided by Netarts Water District and the Netarts-Oceanside Sanitary District in the Applicants submission materials (Exhibit B).

(2) Conditions of Approval. The Approval Authority may attach such conditions as are necessary to carry out provisions of this Code, and other applicable ordinances and regulations.

Findings: A list of recommended Conditions of Approval have been included in Section VI of this report should the Planning Commission approve this preliminary plat.

3. Section 160: Street Improvements.

The design, improvement, and construction of all roads and streets resulting from the division of land shall comply with the following standards and requirements, to the extent possible given topography, aesthetics, safety, or other design considerations.

Findings: Three private roads identified as Roaring Tides Loop, NW Ocean Song and W Grand Avenue, along with a private 25-ft wide easement, are proposed and the locations of these roads are depicted on the preliminary plats (Exhibit B). Construction details for these private roads, cut & grading plans and associated stormwater management improvements are included in the application (Exhibit B).

Stormwater management, drainage and grading plans are also subject to review and final approval by the Tillamook County Public Works Department at the time of construction plan review. A recommended Condition of Approval has been made to reflect requirements established by the Tillamook County Public Works.

V. <u>PUBLIC TESTIMONY:</u>

Comments received to date include statements from the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), the Oceanside Neighborhood Association and residents of nearby properties along Highland Drive and Grand Avenue (Exhibit C). Comments received included findings such as:

- Traffic concerns resulting to Grand Avenue and Highland Drive.
- Concerns relating to existing and proposed conditions of Grand Avenue and Highland Drive.
- Utility concerns, such as water, sewer, fire protection and public safety systems and their ability to support the proposed improvement density.

VI. <u>RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL FOR "SECOND ADDITION TO AVALON</u> <u>HEIGHTS" PRELIMINARY PLAT & GEOLOGIC HAZARDDDD REQUEST</u>

Tillamook County Land Division Ordinance Section 090 requires the applicant to file a Final Plat within 24 months of approval of the Preliminary Plat, unless an extension is granted as provided by Section 040. A request for an extension must be submitted prior to the expiration of 24 months.

- 1. The applicant/owner shall conform to all Federal, State and local regulations, and shall obtain all required permits prior to construction and/or development.
- 2. All taxes owed shall be paid in full prior to recording of the final plat.
- 3. A letter of final approval is required from the Netarts Water District and the Netarts-Oceanside Sanitary District, confirming all facility improvements have been satisfactorily constructed prior to Final Plat approval. Letters of water and sewer availability are required for the development of individual lots and shall be submitted to Community Development at the time of zoning permit submittal.
- 4. Development of each lot shall conform to the development standards outlined in TCLUO Section 3.310 Residential Oceanside (ROS) zone as applicable.
- 5. The applicant/property owner shall measure the height of all structures from the existing grade prior to development. A topographic survey of the pre-existing conditions prior to subdivision development construction of the site shall be required at time of building permit submittal. Building permit applications

shall include elevations of the site, defining existing grade (pre-development), and confirm that the overall height of the structure is in accordance with the development standards outlined in TCLUO Section 3.310: Residential Oceanside (ROS) zone.

- 6. Site specific Geologic Hazard Reports are required for the development of each lot in accordance with TCLUO Section 4.130: Development Requirements for Geologic Hazard Areas.
- 7. In accepting this approval, the property owner understands intensive farm or forest practices may be conducted upon adjacent or nearby land zoned for farm or forest use. The property owner hereby acknowledges that practices may involve but are not limited to the application of herbicides or fertilizers (including aerial spraying), road construction, changes in view, noise, dust, odor, traffic, and other impacts related to a farm zone. The property owner acknowledges the residential use of this property may be impacted by such activities and is accepting of that fact. In the event of conflict, the property owner understands preference will be given to farm and forest practices.

A covenant to the deed shall be required for Proposed Lots 22-24 & 47-50, informing that intensive farm or forest practices may be conducted upon adjacent or nearby land zoned for farm or forest use and limiting pursuance of a claim for relief or cause of action of alleging injury from farming or forest practices. A copy of the recorded covenant included as 'Exhibit D' shall be provided at the time of Final Plat approval for all lots adjacent to resource zoned land.

- 8. The applicant/property owner shall meet the requirements set forth by the Netarts-Oceanside Fire District.
- 9. Any modifications made to the preliminary plat, prior to final plat approval, shall require approval from the Tillamook County Planning Commission for those adjustments.

VII. <u>EXHIBITS</u>

- A. Assessor and Location Maps
- B. Subdivision Application, Preliminary Plat, and Supplemental Information
- C. Public Comments
- D. Farm/Forest Restrictive Covenant

EXHIBIT A

Tillamook County GIS

Vicinity Map: 851-21-000095-PLNG Subdivision Second Addition to Avalon Heights



Created: Mon May 17 2021-12:26:10 Active Layers:County_Boundary, Fed_state_highways, citylimit, community_polygon, highlight, Road_Centerline Extent:-13819034.267126, 5678909.6500876, -13757311.366794, 5710439.9242508

Tillamook County GIS

Zoning Map: 851-21-000095-PLNG Subdivision Second Addition to Avalon Heights



Created: Mon May 17 2021-12:25:19

Active Layers:County_Boundary, Fed_state_highways, citylimit, community_polygon, TaxlotOwner, highlight, Tillamook_County_Zoning, Township_Range_Section, Road_Centerline Extent:-13800512.608554, 5691734.3390818, -13796654.927284, 5693704.981217



TILLAMOOK County Assessor's Summary Report

Real Property Assessment Report

FOR ASSESSMENT YEAR 2020

May 17, 2021 12:27:53 pm Tax Status ASSESSABLE Account # 179176 Acct Status Map # 1S1030DC00200 ACTIVE Subtype Code - Tax # 0935-179176 NORMAL Legal Descr See Record Deed Reference # **Mailing Name** AVALON HEIGHTS LLC 2017-5253 Sales Date/Price 08-31-2017 / \$300,000.00 Agent In Care Of Appraiser **EVA FLETCHER** Mailing Address 41901 OLD HWY 30 ASTORIA, OR 97103 **Prop Class** MA NH Unit 400 SA **RMV Class** 08 OV 805 9965-1 400 Situs Address(s) Situs City Value Summary **RMV** Exception CPR % MAV RMV AV Code Area 0935 302,640 Land 0 Land Impr. 0 0 Impr. 0 302,640 373,360 302,640 Code Area Total Grand Total 302,640 373,360 302,640 0 Land Breakdown Code Plan Trended ID# RFPD Ex Value Source TD% LS Size Land Class Area Zone RMV 302,640 0935 0 ROS Market 97 A 21.00 Π **Grand Total** 21.00 302,640 Code Improvement Breakdown Yr Stat Trended Total Built Class Description TD% Sq. Ft. Ex% MS Acct # RMV Area ID# Grand Total 0 0 Exemptions/Special Assessments/Potential Liability Code Type Area 0935 FIRE PATROL: FIRE PATROL NORTHWEST Amount 21.88 Acres 21 Year 2020

Comments:

2/27/12 Land reappraisal, tabled land, size change per cartographer, split FPNW w/U2.LM 8/1/17 - Updated FP values after PA conversion - changed to entered values. EJ.

02/22/18 Combined U2 account into U1 account. U1 account was retaxlotted into TL 200. Canceled U2 account.ef 06/11/19 Changed land back to trendable.ef

National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



Legend





U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetlands Inventory

Second Addition to Avalon Heights Subdiv



May 17, 2021

Wetlands



Estuarine and Marine Deepwater

Estuarine and Marine Wetland

- Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland
 - Freshwater Pond

Freshwater Emergent Wetland



This map is for general reference only. The US Fish and Wildlife Service is not responsible for the accuracy or currentness of the base data shown on this map. All wetlands related data should be used in accordance with the layer metadata found on the Wetlands Mapper web site.

Riverine

National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) This page was produced by the NWI mapper

EXHIBIT B

.



Tillamook County Department of Community Development1510-B Third Street. Tillamook, OR 97141ITel: 503-842-3408www.co.tillamook.or.us

Fax: 503-842-1819

Date Stamp

OFFICE USE ONLY

LAND DIVISION APPLICATION

Applicant □ (Check Box if Same as F	Property Owner)	
Name: Bill Hughes Pho	one: 503-741-6706	
Address: 41901 Old Highway 30		□ Approved □ Denied
City: Astoria Sta	te:OR Zip: 97103	Received by: SS
Email:bchexc@gmail.com		Receipt #: 11948
Property Owner		Fees: 7731.00
Augles Heights LLC	15.2) - 1.1721	Permit No:
Name: Avaion Heights LLC Pho	one: (503) 141-6106	851-1-000095-PLNG
Address: 41901 Old Highway 30	0.0	
City: Astoria Sta	te: OR Zip: 97103	
Email: bchexc@gmail.com		
Location:		
Site Address: not yet assigned		
Map Number: T1S R10W 30DC TL	. 200	
Township	Range Section	n Tax Lot(s)
Land Division Type: Partition (Tw	o or Three Lots, Type II) ESubdivision (F	our or More Lots, Type III)
🔳 Preliminary P	lat (Pages 1-2) 🛛 Final Plat (Pa	ge 3)
PRELIMINARY PLAT (LDO 060(1)(B))	Concral Information	
Ear subdivisions, the proposed name	General Information	Eifteen (15) legible "te
 Por subdivisions, the proposed name. Date, porth arrow, scale of drawing 	Title Block	scale" bard conies
 Location of the development 	 Clear identification of the drawing as 	One digital copy
sufficient to development sufficient to	"Preliminary Plat" and date of preparat	on
define its location, boundaries, and a	Name and addresses of owner(s).	
legal description of the site.	developer, and engineer or surveyor	
	Existing Conditions	
Existing streets with names, right-of-	Ground elevations shown by	Other information:
way, pavement widths, access points.	contour lines at 2-foot vertical	
Width, location and purpose of	interval. Such ground elevations	Traffic Impact Statement
existing easements	shall be related to some established	Geo-Hazard Assessment
The location and present use of all	penchmark or other datum	Geo-nazard Assessment
will remain after platting	The location and elevation of the	Preliminary Stormwater Plan
 Location and identity of all utilities on 	closest benchmark(s) within or	
and abutting the site. If water mains	adjacent to the site	Road Section Analysis
and sewers are not on site, show	Natural features such as drainage	
distance to the nearest one and how	ways, rock outcroppings, aquifer	
they will be brought to standards	recharge areas, wetlands, marshes,	
Location of all existing subsurface	beaches, dunes and tide flats	
sewerage systems, including	For any plat that is 5 acres or larger,	
drainfields and associated easements	the Base Flood Elevation, per FEMA	
	FIDOU Insurance Kate Maps	

Land Division Permit Application

Rev. 9/11/15

- Proposed lots, streets, tracts, open space and park land (if any); location, names, right-of-way dimensions, approximate radius of street curves; and approximate finished street center line grades. All streets and tracts that are being held for private use and all reservations and restrictions relating to private tracts identified
- Location, width and purpose of all proposed easements
- Proposed deed restrictions, if any, in outline form
- Approximate dimensions, area calculation (in square feet), and identification numbers for all proposed lots and tracts

Proposed Development

- Proposed uses of the property, including all areas proposed to be dedicated as public right-of-way or reserved as open space
- On slopes exceeding an average grade of 10%, as shown on a submitted topographic survey, the preliminary location of development on lots demonstrating that future development can meet minimum required setbacks and applicable engineering design standards
- Preliminary utility plans for sewer, water and storm drainage when these utilities are to be provided

- The approximate location and identity of other utilities, including the locations of street lighting fixtures, as applicable
- Evidence of compliance with applicable overlay zones, including but not limited to the Flood Hazard Overlay (FH) zone
- Evidence of contact with the applicable road authority for proposed new street connections
- Certificates or letters from utility companies or districts stating that they are capable of providing service to the proposed development

Additional Information Required for Subdivisions

- Preliminary street layout of undivided portion of lot
- Special studies of areas which appear to be hazardous due to local geologic conditions
- Where the plat includes natural features subject to the conditions or requirements contained in the County's Land Use Ordinance, materials shall be provided to demonstrate that those conditions and/or requirements can be met
- Approximate center line profiles of streets, including extensions for a reasonable distance beyond the limits of the proposed Subdivision, showing the proposed finished grades and the nature and extent of construction

- Profiles of proposed drainage ways
- In areas subject to flooding, materials shall be submitted to demonstrate that the requirements of the Flood Hazard Overlay (FHO) zone of the County's Land Use Ordinance will be met
- If lot areas are to be graded, a plan showing the nature of cuts and fills, and information on the character of the soil
- Proposed method of financing the construction of common improvements such as street, drainage ways, sewer lines and water supply lines

□ FINAL PLAT (LDO 090(1))

- Date, scale, north arrow, legend, highways, and railroads contiguous to the plat perimeter
- □ Description of the plat perimeter
- □ The names and signatures of all interest holders in the land being platted, and the surveyor
- Monuments of existing surveys identified, related to the plat by distances and bearings, and referenced to a document of record
- Exact location and width of all streets, pedestrian ways, easements, and any other rights-of-way
- □ Easements shall be denoted by fine dotted lines, and clearly identified as to their purpose
- Provisions for access to and maintenance of offright-of-way drainage
- Block and lot boundary lines, their bearings and lengths
- □ Block numbers
- Lot numbers
- The area, to the nearest hundredth of an acre, of each lot which is larger than one acre
- Identification of land parcels to be dedicated for any purpose, public or private, so as to be distinguishable from lots intended for sale

Certificates:

□ Title interest & consent

Dedication for public use

□ Engineering/Survey

□ Additional Information:

□ Water

Public Works

Authorization

This permit application does not assure permit approval. The applicant and/or property owner shall be responsible for obtaining any other necessary federal, state, and local permits. Within two (2) years of final review and approval, all final plats for land divisions shall be filed and recorded with the County Clerk, except as required otherwise for the filing of a plat to lawfully establish an unlawfully created unit of land. The applicant verifies that the information submitted is complete, accurate, and consistent with other information submitted with this application.

william C Humps	4-5-2021
Property Owner (*Required)	Date
willow Juhnof	4-5 7021
Applicant Signature	Date

eh@firwooddesign.com

From:	BLAIR Keith P <keith.p.blair@odot.state.or.us></keith.p.blair@odot.state.or.us>
Sent:	Friday, July 10, 2020 8:29 AM
To:	Melissa Webb; STRAUSS Karen A
Cc:	Erik Hoovestol; Bill Hughes
Subject:	RE: Draft - TIS for Second Avalon Heights Subdivision (Oceanside)

Karen and Melissa:

I have reviewed the draft traffic study and have one minor comment which I recommend be incorporated within a final version to be submitted with the application to the County:

• Page 18, "Performance Standards" section – The as the study intersection is located outside any urban growth boundary and within "Unincorporated Communities," the mobility target is actually 0.80 rather than 0.95.

Please let me know if you have any questions or if I may be of further assistance. Thanks!

Keith P. Blair, P.E. Region Traffic Manager | ODOT Region 2 455 Airport Rd SE, Bldg. A | Salem, Oregon 97301 (503) 986-2656 | Keith.P.Blair@odot.state.or.us

ODOT's mission is to provide a safe and reliable multimodal transportation system that connects people and helps Oregon's communities and economy thrive.

From: Melissa Webb <melissa@lancastermobley.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 9, 2020 2:10 PM
To: BLAIR Keith P <Keith.P.BLAIR@odot.state.or.us>; STRAUSS Karen A <Karen.A.STRAUSS@odot.state.or.us>
Cc: Erik Hoovestol <eh@firwooddesign.com>; Bill Hughes <bchexc@gmail.com>
Subject: Draft - TIS for Second Avalon Heights Subdivision (Oceanside)

Hi Keith and Karen.

Per our conversation this morning, attached is a draft report of the traffic study for the Second Avalon Heights subdivision in Oceanside. Any comments you have that I can address/incorporate in the study prior to submission to the County would be appreciated.

Thank you again for your willingness to review the draft copy.

Melissa

Melissa Webb, PE Transportation Analyst

 321 SW 4th Avenue, Suite 400 | Portland, OR 97204 503-248-0313 x 402 lancastermobley.com Portland, OR Bend, OR

Preliminary Plat Application Narrative for Second Addition to Avalon Heights

Mr. Bill Hughes as a member of Avalon Heights LLC has applied for preliminary plat approval for a 58-lot subdivision for the ultimate construction of single-family dwellings in the unincorporated community of Oceanside. The subject property is identified as Tax Lot 200 on Taxmap 01S10W30DC. The project would be developed in three phases. The property is zoned Residential Oceanside and a portion of the eastern side of the property is within a Geologic Hazard Overlay Zone.

The minimum lot size is 7,500 square feet for lots with average slopes less than 19 percent; 10,000 square feet where average slopes are between 19 percent and 29 percent; and 20,000 square feet where average slopes exceed 29 percent. See the notes on application Drawing Set Sheet 3 and the noted slopes and direction of fall on Sheet 6. The minimum lot width is 60 feet and minimum depth is 60 feet. Front yard setback is 20 feet; side yard setbacks are generally 5 feet but 15 feet on corner lots; and the minimum rear yard set back is generally 15 feet, but 5 feet on corner lots. Setback lines are illustrated on Sheet 6 together with conceptual building footprints.

Submission Requirements (Section 060)

(1) Applications for Preliminary Plat approval shall contain the following information:

Preliminary Plat Information. In addition to the general information described in Subsection (a) above, the Preliminary Plat application shall consist of drawings and supplementary material adequate to provide the following information, in quantities determined by the County Surveyor and Tillamook County Planning Commission.

I. General Information.

1.For subdivisions, the proposed name shall not duplicate or resemble the name of another land division in the County, and shall be approved by the County Surveyor.

2.Date, north arrow, scale of drawing.

3.Location of the development sufficient to define its location, boundaries, and a legal description of the site.

4.Zoning of parcel to be divided, including any overlay zones.

5.A title block including the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of the owners of the subject property and, as applicable, the name of the engineer and surveyor, and the date of the survey.

6.Clear identification of the drawing as a "Preliminary Plat" and date of preparation.

7.Name and addresses of the owner(s), developer, and the engineer or surveyor.

Applicant response: All of this information is included on one or more of the drawing set sheets as appropriate.

ii. Existing Conditions. Except where the Director deems certain information is not relevant, applications for Preliminary Plat approval shall contain all of the following information on existing conditions:

1.Existing streets or roads (public or private), including location, names, right-of-way and pavement widths on and abutting the site; and location of existing access point

Applicant Response: See Sheet 4

2. Width, location and purpose of all existing easements of record on and abutting the site;

Applicant Response: See Sheet 2.

3. The location and present use of all structures on the site and indication of which, if any structures are to remain after platting;

Applicant Response: See Sheet 2.

4.Location and identity of all utilities on and abutting the site. If water mains and sewers are not on or abutting the site, indicate the direction and distance to the nearest one and show how utilities will be brought to standards;

Applicant Response: See Sheet 4.

5.Location of all existing subsurface sewerage systems, including drain fields and associated easements on the site.

Applicant Response: There are no subsurface sewerage systems on site.

6.Ground elevations shown by contour lines at 2-foot vertical interval. Such ground elevations shall be related to some established benchmark or other datum approved by the County Surveyor; the Director may waive this standard for partitions when grades, on average, are less than 10 percent;

Second Addition to Avalon Heights Preliminary Plat Application Narrative Page 2 Applicant Response: See Sheets 2, 5 and 6.

7. The location and elevation of the closest benchmark(s) within or adjacent to the site (i.e., for surveying purposes);

Applicant Response: See Sheet 7. The benchmark is located in the southeasterly corner of the parcel.

8.Natural features such as drainage ways, rock outcroppings, aquifer recharge areas, wetlands, marshes, beaches, dunes and tide flats;

Applicant Response: See Sheet 2. There are no rock outcroppings, aquifer recharge areas, wetlands, marshes, beaches, dunes and tide flats on the site.

9. Any plat that is five (5) acres or larger, or proposes 50 lots or greater, shall include the Base Flood Elevation, per FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps,

The closest 100-year flood plain per FEMA is located on the coast of the Pacific Ocean with a Base Flood Elevation of 26.5 feet. The site is located approximately 0.3 miles from the coast with the lowest elevation of approximately 290 feet.

10.North arrow and scale; and

Applicant Response: North arrows and scales are included on each drawing of the plan set except the cover sheet.

11.Other information, as deemed necessary by the Planning Director for review of the application. The County may require studies or exhibits prepared by qualified professionals to address specific site features and code requirements.

Applicant Response: A Traffic Impact Analysis, Geohazard Analysis and a Preliminary Stormwater Plan are included in the application package.

iii. Proposed Development. Except where the Director deems certain information is not relevant, applications for Preliminary Plat approval shall contain all of the following information on the proposed development:

1.Proposed lots, streets, tracts, open space and park land (if any); location, names, right-of-way dimensions, approximate radius of street curves; and approximate finished street center line grades. All streets and tracts that are being held for private use and all reservations and restrictions relating to such private tracts shall be identified;

Second Addition to Avalon Heights Preliminary Plat Application Narrative Applicant Response; See Sheets 8 through 12.

2.City boundary lines when crossing or adjoining the subdivision;

Applicant Response: Not applicable.

3.Easements: location, width and purpose of all proposed easements;

Applicant Response: See Sheet 4.

4. Proposed deed restrictions, if any, in outline form.

Applicant Response: A home owners association or road maintenance district will be formed to finance stormwater facility maintenance and road maintenance.

5.Lots and private tracts (e.g., private open space, common area, or street): approximate dimensions, area calculation (e.g., in square feet), and identification numbers for all proposed lots and tracts;

Applicant Response: See Sheet 4.

6.Proposed uses of the property, including all areas proposed to be dedicated as public right-ofway or reserved as open space for the purpose of surface water management, recreation, or other use;

Applicant Response: A stormwater infiltration pond will be located in an easement on Lots 1 through 7. Another easement for stormwater conveyance via a swale will be located on Lots 29 through 32 and Lot 38. A storm and water easement to the Netarts Water District is located on Lot 24. An access easement is located on the back of lots 88, 9, 10,, and Lot 58 to encompass an existing roadway which falls outside of the existing easement.

7.On slopes exceeding an average grade of 10%, as shown on a submitted topographic survey, the preliminary location of development on lots (e.g., building envelopes), demonstrating that future development can meet minimum required setbacks and applicable engineering design standards;

Applicant Response: See Sheet 6.

8.Preliminary utility plans for sewer, water and storm drainage when these utilities are to be provided. This information may be included on the preliminary plat map provided all information is legible.

Second Addition to Avalon Heights Preliminary Plat Application Narrative Page 4 Applicant Response: See Sheet 4.

9. The approximate location and identity of other utilities, including the locations of street lighting fixtures, as applicable;

Applicant Response: See Sheet 4.

10.Evidence of compliance with applicable overlay zones, including but not limited to the Flood Hazard Overlay (FH) zone;

Applicant Response: A geologic hazard analysis is attached to the application in compliance with the standards governing the geo-hazard overlay. A completed geotechnical report for the development is currently in progress and will be provided to the County with the construction permit plans.

11. Evidence of contact with the applicable road authority for proposed new street connections; and

The proposed development connects to NW Highland Drive which is a local road not maintained by the County but is within a County owned right-of-way. ODOT has reviewed the Traffic Impact Study and concurred that no improvements were warranted at the intersection of Highland Drive and ODOT Highway 131.

12.Certificates or letters from utility companies or districts stating that they are capable of providing service to the proposed development.

Applicant Response: See attached letter from the Netarts-Oceanside Sanitary District and certificate from the Netarts Water District.

SECOND ADDITION TO AVALON HEIGHTS SUBDIVISION LAND USE PLANS



<u>OWNER:</u>

BILL HUGHES AVALON HEIGHTS LLC 41901 OLD HIGHWAY 30 ASTORIA, OR 97103 503-741-6706

> VERTICAL DATUM: NAVD 88 COORDINATE SYSTEM: OREGON COAST ZONE

			DRAWN: BD	DESIGNE	D: BD	CHECKED: EH		
			SCALE: AS SHOWN		WN DATE: MAR 31, 2021			FIRWUUD
				F20-036				Reliable Engine
DATE:	NO.	REVISION	FROJECT NO.	EZU-030				

TAXMAP: 01S10W30DC TAXLOT: 200 LOCATED IN SE 1/4 OF SEC 30 T1S R10W W.M. TILLAMOOK COUNTY, OREGON

> VICINITY MAP NTS

ENGINEER:

ERIK HOOVESTOL, PE FIRWOOD DESIGN GROUP LLC 359 E. HISTORIC COLUMBIA RIVER DRIVE TROUTDALE, OREGON 97060 (503) 668-3737

SURVEYOR:

JACK WHITE, PLS S&F LAND SERVICES 1725 N ROOSEVELT DRIVE, SUITE B SEASIDE, OR 97138 503-738-3425

DESIGN GROUP neering Solutions 359 EAST HISTORIC COLUMBIA RIVER HIGHWAY TROUTDALE, OREGON 97060 (503) 668-3737

AVALON HEIGHTS LLC - BILL HUGHES 41901 OLD HIGHWAY 30 ASTORIA, OREGON 97103

SHEET INDEX:

- 1 COVER SHEET
- 3 PRELIMINARY PLAT

- 14 CUT-FILL MAP

2 - EXISTING CONDITIONS 4 – OVERALL CONCEPT UTILITY PLAN 5 - CONCEPT GRADING & LOT SLOPE ANALYSIS 6 - CONCEPT LOCATION OF LOT DEVELOPMENT 7 – ROARING TIDE LOOP CONCEPT PROFILE 8 - ROARING TIDE LOOP CONCEPT PROFILE 9 - NW OCEAN SONG CONCEPT PROFILE 10 - W GRAND AVE CONCEPT PROFILE 11 - SHARED DRIVEWAY CONCEPT PROFILE 12 - CONCEPT INFILTRATION POND PLAN 13 - CONCEPT INFILTRATION POND SECTIONS





LEGEND

SS	SANITARY MANHOLE	SS	SANITARY SEWER LINE
CO ©	CLEANOUT	w w w w	WATERLINE
Y	FIRE HYDRANT	UGT UGT UGT	UNDERGROUND COMMUNICATION
WV	WATER VALVE	UGE UGE UGE	UNDERGROUND ELECTRICAL
۲	WATER METER	-000	CHAINLINK FENCE
œ	COMMUNICATION RISER/BOX		EDGE OF ASPHALT
8	ELECTRICAL RISER		EDGE OF GRAVEL
J.	UTILITY POLE		TOP/TOE SLOPE
0	SIGN		STRIPE
	GRAVEL SURFACE	300'	MAJOR CONTOUR
	SURVEY CONTROL POINT		MINOR CONTOUR

• FOUND MONUMENT

MANHOLE INVERTS

MANHO	LE INVERIS
10138	SSMH RIM 312.10' IE IN N. =302.20' IE OUT W. =300.80'
10192	SSMH RIM 337.37' IE IN E. =329.37' IE IN W. =329.37' IE OUT S. =329.57'
10401	SSMH RIM 347.51' IE IN SW. =340.11' IE IN N. =340.16' IE OUT W. =339.96'

TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY:

FIRWOOD DESIGN GROUP LLC 359 E. HISTORIC COLUMBIA RIVER DRIVE TROUTDALE, OREGON 97060 (503) 668-3737

BOUNDARY & PRELIMINARY PLAT:

JACK WHITE, PLS S&F LAND SERVICES 1725 N ROOSEVELT DRIVE, SUITE B SEASIDE, OR 97138 503-738-3425

NOTES:

- UNDERGROUND UTILITY LOCATES WITHIN THE PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAYS WERE REQUESTED THROUGH THE ONE-CALL UTILITY NOTIFICATION CENTER.
- THE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SHOWN HAVE BEEN LOCATED FROM FIELD SURVEY INFORMATION AND EXISTING DRAWINGS. FIRWOOD MAKES NO GUARANTEE THAT THE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SHOWN COMPRISE ALL SUCH UTILITIES IN THE AREA, EITHER IN SERVICE OR ABANDONED. FIRWOOD DOES NOT WARRANT THAT THE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SHOWN ARE IN THE EXACT LOCATION INDICATED. HOWEVER UTILITIES ARE LOCATED AS ACCURATELY AS POSSIBLE FROM INFORMATION AVAILABLE. 2.
- 3. THIS DRAWING IS NOT A RECORD OF SURVEY AND IS ONLY FOR DESIGN SHOWING EXISTING CONDITIONS.

DATUM:

VERTICAL DATUM: NAVD88 (OPUS SOLUTION, GEOID18B)

HORIZONTAL DATUM: STATE PLANE, OR-N

FDG FIELD WORK PERFORMED ON: NOVEMBER 9, 2020

LIDAR OBTAINED FROM NOAA DATASET 2009 OREGON DOGAMI, NAVD88 VERTICAL DATUM LIDAR DATA UTILIZED OUTSIDE OF SURVEYED IMPROVEMENTS

BENCHMARK (PER S&F LAND SERVICES): south-east property corner top of yellow plastic cap elevation = 285.91' navd88

			DRAWN: BD		DESIGNE	D: BD	CHECKED: EH
			SCALE: AS SHOWN		HOWN	DATE:	MAR 31, 2021
DATE:	NO.	REVISION	PROJEC	ΓNΟ.	E20-036		



OCEANSIL





PRELIMINARY PLAT SECOND AVALON HEIGHTS SUBDIVISION LAND USE PLANS



3

14

Curve Table									
CURVE #	LENGTH	RADIUS	DELTA	LENGTH	CHORD BEARING	CHORD LENGTH			
C1	47.00'	75.00'	35° 54' 09"	47.00'	N75°04'20"E	46.23'			
C2	47.02'	75.00'	35° 55' 05"	47.02'	S75°04'48"W	46.25'			
C3	34.12'	75.00'	26°04'09"	34.12'	N73° 55' 35"W	33.83'			
C4	100.27'	75.00'	76° 35' 59"	100.27'	N80°48'30"E	92.97'			
C5	27.65'	75.00'	21°07'25"	27.65'	N13° 36' 03"E	27.49'			
C6	117.70'	75.00'	89°54'48"	117.70'	N41° 55' 04"W	105.99'			
C7	33.83'	75.00'	25°50'31"	33.83'	N15° 57' 36"E	33.54'			
C8	33.83'	75.00'	25°50'31"	33.83'	S15°57'36"W	33.54'			

009-12		3. SEE SH	HEET 6 FOF	R SETBACK	S AND CONCEPT BU	ILDING FOOTPRINTS.
			Cur	ve Tabl	е	
CURVE #	LENGTH	RADIUS	DELTA	LENGTH	CHORD BEARING	CHORD LENGTH
C1	47.00'	75.00'	35°54'09"	47.00'	N75°04'20"E	46.23'
C2	47.02'	75.00'	35°55'05"	47.02'	S75°04'48"W	46.25'
С3	34.12'	75.00'	26°04'09"	34.12'	N73°55'35"W	33.83'

(B) MINIMUM LOT WIDTH: 60 FT

(C) MINIMUM LOT DEPTH: 75 FT

(D) MINIMUM FRONT YARD SETBACK: 20 FT

ON SIDE STREET OF A CORNER LOT: 15 FT

(E) MINIMUM SIDE YARD SETBACK: 5 FT

(F) MINIMUM REAR YARD SETBACK: 20 FT

ON A CORNER LOT: 5 FT

1. GEOLOGIC HAZARD AREA (TCLUO 4.070) TCLUO 3.310(4) STANDARDS 2. (A) MINIMUM LOT SIZE: 7,500 SQ FT WHERE SLOPE AVERAGES LESS THAN 19 PERCENT, 10,000 SQ FT WHERE SLOPE AVERAGES FROM 19 TO 29 PERCENT, 20,000 SQ FT WHERE SLOPE AVERAGES GREATER THAN 29 PERCENT. SEE SHEET 5 FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON LOT SLOPE.

DOC 2012-003343 -PROPOSED STORM EASEMENT PROPOSED STORM & WATER EASEMENT \neg \exists о Ч Р עד ס

L C E

 \sim \sim

NOTES

PROJECT ZONING: RESIDENTIAL OCEANSIDE (ROS) (TCLUO 3.310)

ASTORIA, OR 97103 503-741-6706

SECOND ADDITION TO AVALON HEIGHTS SUBDIVISION

LOCATED IN SE 1/4 OF SE 1/4 OF SEC 30 T1S

R10W WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN

UNINCORPORATED TILLAMOOK COUNTY

<u>SURVEYOR:</u>

JACK WHITE, PLS S&F LAND SERVICES 1725 N ROOSEVELT DRIVE, SUITE B SEASIDE, OR 97138 503-738-3425

ERIK HOOVESTOL, PE FIRWOOD DESIGN GROUP LLC 359 E. HISTORIC COLUMBIA RIVER DRIVE TROUTDALE, OREGON 97060

(503) 668-3737

ENGINEER:

<u>OWNER:</u>

BILL HUGHES AVALON HEIGHTS LLC 41901 OLD HIGHWAY 30















DRAWN: BD DI	ESIGNED: BD	CHECKED: EH		FIDWOO
SCALE: AS SHC	OWN DATE:	MAR 31, 2021		
PROJECT NO. E2	20–036			Reliable Eng

359 EAST HISTORIC COLUMBIA RIVER HIGHWAY TROUTDALE, OREGON 97060 (503) 668-3737

DESIGN GROUP gineering Solutions

ROARING TIDE LOOP CONCEPT PROFILE **7** SECOND AVALON HEIGHTS SUBDIVISION LAND USE PLANS

´14


	XIMATE)	LOT 44 8,112 SF	LOT 45 7,559 Si	LOT 46 7,786 SF	C BLOT 8,183	25 SF NM OCEAN SONG *
	CEO-HAZARD LINE (APPRO 10,814 2	9 5F	LOT 48 10,629 SF	UP ² 16+001 + LOT 47 10,636 SF		0017+
4.52	PVIS: 16+74.24 PVIE: 420.00 K: 26.26 LVC: 210.00	SAN STA: RIM: 4 8" IN 8" IN 8" IN 8" IN 8" IN 8" IN 8" IN 8" IN 8" IN	SAN: 109.0' @ 5.50% SAN: 109.0' @ 5.50%	PVIS: 18+95.73 PVIE: 439.93 K: 8.00 LVC: 216.00 HP STA: 18+59.73 HP ELEV: 433.45 8" STM: 249.0' SAN MH STA: 18+63.98 O: RIM: 433.32 8" OUT E: 425.00	@ 1.00%	EVCS: 20+03.73
T-OF-WAY WIDTH ENTERLINE 9' 2% CLASS C (LEVEL 3) AC OV 3/4" - 0" CRUSHED ROCK 4" - 0" BASE COURSE OV CTED SUBGRADE ONG, & W GRAND / ALE: N.T.S. DESIGN SPEED AND WIDTHS 15+50 16+00	FILL SECTION 2' 4' 4' 2' 4' 4' CR VER VER VER VE TYPICAL SECTION FOR ROADS IN MOUNTAINO 16+50 17+00	R.O.W. R.O.W. SLOPES US TERRAIN.		3+50 19+00		ROARIN DESIGN ADT: <4 CREST \ (TABLE GEOMETH SAG VEN (EXHIBIT 20+00
DESIGN GROUP Bering Solutions	359 EAST HISTORIC COLUMBIA F TROUTDALE, OREGON (503) 668-3737	RIVER HIGHWAY N 97060	AVALON H 419 AST	EIGHTS LLC - E 01 OLD HIGHW ORIA, OREGON	SILL HUGHE Ay 30 N 97103	ES









DRAWN: BD DESIGNED: BD CHECKED: EH	: E	DESIGNED	– DRAWN: BD			
SCALE: AS SHOWN DATE: MAR 31, 2021	HOWN DATE: MAR 3		SCALE: AS S			
PROJECT NO. E20-036		E20-036	PROJECT NO.	 REVISION	10.	ATE:





			DRAWN: BD	DESIGNE	D: BD	CHECKED: EH		
			SCALE: AS S	HOWN	N DATE: MAR 31, 2021			Paliable Train
								Reliable Engin
DATE:	NO.	REVISION	PROJECT NO.	E20-036				

DESIGN GROUP

359 EAST HISTORIC COLUMBIA RIVER HIGHWAY TROUTDALE, OREGON 97060 (503) 668-3737

AVALON HEIGHTS LLC - BILL HUGHES 41901 OLD HIGHWAY 30 ASTORIA, OREGON 97103









CUT-FILL							
#	MIN RANGE MAX RANGE COLOR						
1	-30	-25					
2	-25	-20					
3	-20	-15		Ц			
4	-15	-10		ರ			
5	-10	-5					
6	-5	0					
7	0	5					
8	5	10					
9	10	15		<u> </u>			
10	15	20		Ē			
11	20	25					
12	25	30		8			

NOTES

1. PRELIMINARY ESTIMATED EARTHWORK: CUT: 70,000 CY FILL: 68,000 CY

PRELIMINARY ESTIMATE IS EXISTING GRADE TO FINISH GRADE IN THE LIMITS OF GRADING SHOWN. TOPSOIL STRIPPING, IMPORTED ROAD/UTILITY TRENCH MATERIAL, ETC IS NOT ACCOUNTED FOR. FINAL DESIGN GRADING VOLUMES WILL LIKELY DIFFER SIGNIFICANTLY. IT IS EXPECTED THAT GRADING WILL BALANCE ON-SITE.

3. EXISTING TOPOGRAPHY IS FROM 2009 USACE LIDAR DATA. ACTUAL CONDITIONS (AND THEREFORE CUT AND FILL VOLUMES) MAY VARY.



14

CUT-FILL MAP 14 SECOND AVALON HEIGHTS SUBDIVISION LAND USE PLANS

ARCEL I PLAT 1 2009– 12

PRELIMINARY STORMWATER REPORT

Second Avalon Heights Subdivision Located in SE ¼ of SE ¼ of Sec 30 T1S R10W W.M. Taxlot 200 Tillamook County, OR

March 31, 2021

Prepared By:



359 E. Historic Columbia River Highway Troutdale, OR 97060 503.668.3737- fax 503.668.3788

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION & OBJECTIVE
- II. SITE DATA
- III. RUNOFF, CONVEYANCE, AND INFILTRATION

APPENDIX

A. Calculations HydroCAD Output HydraFlow Express Output B. Referenced Data USDA Web Soil Survey Map ODOT Manning's Values Tables Stormwater Infiltration Test by EMS Inc.

C. Basin Map

I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION & OBJECTIVE

The proposed project is a single-family residential subdivision encompassing approximately 21 acres of unincorporated Tillamook County near Oceanside. The project location is shown on the map below.



Currently, much of the project site drains to a large existing on-site depression or basin located at the south west corner of the site where runoff infiltrates into native soils. Postdevelopment, the subdivision will drain to and be infiltrated in the same location. The objective of this preliminary stormwater report is to demonstrate feasibility of the conceptual stormwater management plan for the land use phase of this project. Final detailed design and plans will be provided for construction permitting.

II. SITE DATA

Site Rainfall Data

Rainfall data for the site was obtained from the NOAA Atlas 2 Precipitation Frequency Estimate tool: <u>NOAA Atlas 2 Precipitation Frequency Estimates (weather.gov)</u>

Precipitation Frequency Data Output

NOAA Atlas 2

Oregon 45.45°N 123.95°W Site-specific Estimates

Map	Precipitation (inches)	Precipitation Intensity (in/hr)
2-year 6-hour	1.51	0.25
2-year 24-hour	3.05	0.13
100-year 6-hour	3.00	0.50
100-year 24-hour	6.50	0.27

Go to PFDS Go to NA2

Hydrometeorological Design Studies Center - NOAA/National Weather Service 1325 East-West Highway - Silver Spring, MD 20910 - (301) 713-1669 Mon Jan 11 17:23:53 2021

The 6.5-inch 100-year 24-hour design storm will be used for this project.

Site Soils

Soil data for the site was obtained from the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Web Soil Survey. The soil resource report is included in the appendix for reference. The site, especially areas tributary to the infiltration area, consists primarily of Netarts fine sandy loam, a Type A hydrologic group soil with a Ksat (capacity of most limiting layer to transmit water) of 1.98 to 5.95 inches per hour.

Environmental Management Systems Inc (EMS) performed an infiltration test in the approximate location of the proposed infiltration pond. The report is included in the appendix for reference. Two falling-head infiltration tests were performed; the second infiltration test result (19.5 inches per hour) was slightly lower than the first test and is therefore used for design. A safety factor of two is applied, so the design infiltration rate used is 9.75 inches per hour.

III. RUNOFF, CONVEYANCE, AND INFILTRATION

Runoff

A basin map and HydroCAD model were developed for concept-level hydrological and infiltration calculations; both are included in the appendix for reference. As part of developing the basin map, assumptions were made for impervious surfaces at full buildout; lots are assumed to average approximately 4,000 square feet of impervious per lot, which equates to a 50'x50' house and a 75'x20' driveway. Roadway impervious areas were calculated from the concept design drawings.

The HydroCAD model uses the Santa Barbara Urban Hydrograph (SBUH) with a Type 1A rainfall distribution methodology. The time of concentration for the basin was calculated using the basin map and the HydroCAD time of concentration calculation tool for the most hydraulically distant point of the drainage basin.

The Curve Numbers (CN) used in hydrological calculations are: Impervious (pavement, gravel, driveways, and houses): 98 On-site pervious (lawns, roadside ditches, stormwater easement, Type A soil): 49 Off-site pervious (woods): 36

Calculated peak runoff rates from the 100-year, 24-hour design storm entering the infiltration basin is tabulated below.

Basin 1	Basin 2	Total
6.34 cfs	2.99 cfs	9.33 cfs

Conveyance

The capacity of roadside ditches and culverts was calculated with Manning's Equation using HydraFlow Express, an extension for AutoCAD Civil3D. Manning's coefficients used are from the ODOT Hydraulics Manual, Chapter 8, Appendix A – Hydraulic Roughness (Manning's n) Values of Conduits and Channels. The HydraFlow calculations and an excerpt of the ODOT tables are included in the appendix of this report.

Maximum capacity of stormwater conveyance facilities: Roadside ditch with 0" freeboard at 1.00% slope: 13.79 cfs 18" smooth plastic at 1.00% slope: 13.35 cfs 12" smooth plastic at 1.00% slope: 4.53 cfs 12" smooth plastic at 2.00% slope: 6.40 cfs

As the minimum proposed road grade is 1%, roadside ditch and pipe capacity at 1% slope was checked against the peak runoff flow rates from the 100-year design storm for Basin 1. As shown, all roadside ditches and 18" smooth plastic storm lines have sufficient capacity to convey peak flow rates. At 1% minimum grade, 12" smooth plastic storm lines do not have sufficient capacity to convey the peak flow rate; the minimum slope required for capacity was calculated to be 2.00%. As most of the proposed roadway grade

Preliminary Stormwater Report for Land Use Submittal

is steeper than this minimum, most individual lot driveway culverts can be 12" diameter. On any driveway approaches where conveyance capacity cannot be met with 12" diameter culverts, an 18" culvert may be installed. Therefore, the concept design of roadside ditches and culverts is feasible.

Infiltration

Currently, much of the project property drains to an existing on-site low point. After development, most of the project property and some off-site areas will drain to this low point. As proposed development will create a significant amount of impervious surface, the existing infiltration location will have to be enlarged. As discussed in Section II of the report, the design infiltration rate for this basin is 9.75 inches per hour.

The basin is situated at the bottom of a large hill; its geometry is designed to roughly fit the existing hill topography. The concept basin was sized using stage storage with HydroCAD and AutoCAD Civil3D modeling. Tributary runoff hydrograph, basin stage storage volume, and exfiltration from the basin was calculated/modeled using HydroCAD. Refer to the concept infiltration pond plan for additional information on the configuration of the pond and maintenance access road.

Additional considerations to be addressed with final design:

- Lots adjacent to the infiltration basin should have a building finish floor elevation above the infiltration basin emergency overflow elevation.
- Basin side-slopes, especially portions located along the existing hill, may need stabilization measures such as riprap, erosion control blankets, or vegetation.
- Erosion protection and sediment/trash capture to protect the basin from erosion and excessive sedimentation, such as a forebay, riprap protection, or other design measures, may be required.
- The size of the drainage basin tributary to the infiltration basin, and therefore the size of the infiltration basin, may be reduced by utilizing strategically placed drywells. This alternative design approach will considered during the value engineering phase of this project.
- Infiltration of stormwater in the roadside ditches is not analyzed separately in the preliminary design for two reasons. First, infiltration of rainfall in the ditches is generally accounted for by including the ditches in the Curve Number calculations as pervious area. Second, significant lengths of the roadside ditches will be piped at full build-out by driveway culverts, reducing the length of ditch where any additional infiltration may occur. Final design of roadside ditch may include rock check dams or other facilities to increase hydraulic residence time and therefore increased infiltration in roadside ditches.

APPENDIX A

Calculations



Time span=0.00-36.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 3601 points Runoff by SBUH method, Split Pervious/Imperv. Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 15: Basin 1	Flow Length=1,880' Tc=15.4 min CN=44/98 Runoff=2.87 cfs 1.086 af
Subcatchment 2S: Basin 2	Runoff Area=180,000 sf 48.61% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.41" Flow Length=760' Tc=14.5 min CN=49/98 Runoff=1.28 cfs 0.486 af
Pond 1P: Pond	Peak Elev=290.04' Storage=15,358 cf Inflow=4.15 cfs 1.573 af Discarded=1.31 cfs 1.573 af Primary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=1.31 cfs 1.573 af

Total Runoff Area = 18.825 ac Runoff Volume = 1.573 af Average Runoff Depth = 1.00" 65.12% Pervious = 12.259 ac 34.88% Impervious = 6.566 ac

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: Basin 1

Runoff = 2.87 cfs @ 8.00 hrs, Volume= 1.086 af, Depth= 0.89"

Runoff by SBUH method, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type IA 24-hr 2-Yr Rainfall=3.05"

	A	rea (sf)	CN I	Description						
*		62,500	98	Roads & Sh	loads & Shared Driveway					
*	1	36,000	98	On-Site Ho	uses & Driv	reways (34)				
*	2	85,500	49	Pervious - 5	50-75% Gra	ass cover, Fair, HSG A				
*	1	56,000	36	Offsite - Wo	ods, Fair, I	HSG A				
	6	40,000	61	Neighted A	verage					
	4	41,500	44 (58.98% Per	vious Area					
	1	98,500	98 3	31.02% Imp	pervious Are	ea				
	Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description				
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)					
	7.6	110	0.3500	0.24		Sheet Flow, Sheet - Offsite Woods				
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.05"				
	3.8	300	0.0700	1.32		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Offsite Woods				
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps				
	4.0	1,470	0.0720	6.06	48.46	Channel Flow, Swale/Ditch				
						Area= 8.0 sf Perim= 26.0' r= 0.31'				
						n= 0.030 Earth, grassed & winding				

15.4 1,880 Total

Subcatchment 1S: Basin 1



E20-036 Avalon Prelim HydroCAD 4 Typ Prepared by Blake Davis @ FDG HydroCAD® 10.00-24 s/n M23544 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: Basin 2

Runoff = 1.28 cfs @ 8.00 hrs, Volume= 0.486 af, Depth= 1.41"

Runoff by SBUH method, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type IA 24-hr 2-Yr Rainfall=3.05"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
*		15,500	98	On-Site Ro	ads	
*		44,000	98	On-Site Ho	uses & Driv	reways (11)
*		20,000	98	Off-Site Ho	uses & Driv	reways
*		92,500	49	Pervious - 5	50-75% Gra	ass cover, Fair, HSG A
*		8,000	98	Off-Site - F	uture Highla	and Road
	1	80,000	73	Weighted A	verage	
		92,500	49	51.39% Pei	rvious Area	
		87,500	98	48.61% Imp	pervious Ar	ea
	Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	13.3	280	0.2000	0.35		Sheet Flow, Sheet - Lot Yards
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.05"
	1.2	480	0.0900) 6.77	54.18	Channel Flow, Future Road Ditch
						Area= 8.0 sf Perim= 26.0' r= 0.31'
_						n= 0.030 Earth, grassed & winding
	14.5	760	Total			

Subcatchment 2S: Basin 2



Summary for Pond 1P: Pond

Inflow Area	a =	18.825 ac, 34	.88% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 1	.00" for 2-Yr	event
Inflow	=	4.15 cfs @	8.00 hrs, Volume	= 1.573 af	f	
Outflow	=	1.31 cfs @	9.36 hrs, Volume	= 1.573 af	f, Atten= 68%,	Lag= 81.8 min
Discarded	=	1.31 cfs @	9.36 hrs, Volume	= 1.573 af	f	-
Primary	=	0.00 cfs @	0.00 hrs, Volume	= 0.000 af	f	

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 290.04' @ 9.36 hrs Surf.Area= 5,254 sf Storage= 15,358 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 139.5 min calculated for 1.572 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 139.5 min (827.3 - 687.8)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Sto	rage Storage I	Description		
#1	285.00'	66,72	28 cf Custom	Stage Data (Conic	c) Listed below (Re	calc)
Elevatio	on Su	urf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store	Wet.Area	
(fee	et)	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)	(sq-ft)	
285.0	00	1,171	0	0	1,171	
286.0	00	1,823	1,485	1,485	1,837	
287.0	00	2,553	2,178	3,663	2,586	
288.0	00	3,360	2,947	6,610	3,415	
289.0	00	4,245	3,794	10,404	4,327	
290.0	00	5,209	4,719	15,123	5,321	
291.0	00	6,256	5,725	20,847	6,402	
292.0	00	7,397	6,819	27,666	7,580	
293.0	00	8,493	7,939	35,605	8,720	
294.0	00	9,684	9,082	44,686	9,958	
295.0	00	10,981	10,326	55,012	11,304	
296.0	00	12,467	11,716	66,728	12,839	
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices	5		
#1	Discarded	285.00'	9.750 in/hr Ex Conductivity to	filtration over Wet	tted area vation = 250.00'	
#2	Primary	295.00'	25.0' long x 1 Head (feet) 0. 2.50 3.00 Coef. (English 3.30 3.31 3.3	.0' breadth Broad 20 0.40 0.60 0.8) 2.69 2.72 2.75 2	-Crested Rectangu 0 1.00 1.20 1.40 2.85 2.98 3.08 3	ılar Weir 1.60 1.80 2.00 .20 3.28 3.31

Discarded OutFlow Max=1.31 cfs @ 9.36 hrs HW=290.04' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Controls 1.31 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=285.00' (Free Discharge) ←2=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)



Pond 1P: Pond

Pond 1P: Pond



Time span=0.00-36.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 3601 points Runoff by SBUH method, Split Pervious/Imperv. Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 1S: Basin	1 Runoff Area=640,000 sf 31.02% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.59" Flow Length=1,880' Tc=15.4 min CN=44/98 Runoff=6.34 cfs 3.170 af
Subcatchment 2S: Basin	2 Runoff Area=180,000 sf 48.61% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.72" Flow Length=760' Tc=14.5 min CN=49/98 Runoff=2.99 cfs 1.281 af
Pond 1P: Pond	Peak Elev=294.28' Storage=47,458 cf Inflow=9.33 cfs 4.451 af Discarded=2.62 cfs 4.451 af Primary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=2.62 cfs 4.451 af

Total Runoff Area = 18.825 acRunoff Volume = 4.451 afAverage Runoff Depth = 2.84"65.12% Pervious = 12.259 ac34.88% Impervious = 6.566 ac

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: Basin 1

Runoff = 6.34 cfs @ 8.00 hrs, Volume= 3.170 af, Depth= 2.59"

Runoff by SBUH method, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type IA 24-hr 100-Yr Rainfall=6.50"

_	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description					
*		62,500	98	Roads & Sł	nared Drive	way			
*	1	36,000	98	On-Site Ho	Site Houses & Driveways (34)				
*	2	85,500	49	Pervious - {	rvious - 50-75% Grass cover, Éair, HSG A				
*	1	56,000	36	Offsite - Wo	oods, Fair, I	HSG A			
	6	40,000	61	Weighted A	verage				
	4	41,500	44	68.98% Pei	rvious Area				
	1	98,500	98	31.02% Imp	pervious Are	ea			
	Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description			
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)				
	7.6	110	0.3500	0.24		Sheet Flow, Sheet - Offsite Woods			
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.05"			
	3.8	300	0.0700	1.32		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Offsite Woods			
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps			
	4.0	1,470	0.0720	6.06	48.46	Channel Flow, Swale/Ditch			
						Area= 8.0 sf Perim= 26.0' r= 0.31'			
						n= 0.030 Earth, grassed & winding			

15.4 1,880 Total

Subcatchment 1S: Basin 1



E20-036 Avalon Prelim HydroCAD 4Type IPrepared by Blake Davis @ FDGHydroCAD® 10.00-24 s/n M23544 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: Basin 2

Runoff = 2.99 cfs @ 8.00 hrs, Volume= 1.281 af, Depth= 3.72"

Runoff by SBUH method, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type IA 24-hr 100-Yr Rainfall=6.50"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description				
*		15,500	98	On-Site Ro	ads			
*		44,000	98	On-Site Ho	uses & Driv	reways (11)		
*		20,000	98	Off-Site Ho	if-Site Houses & Driveways			
*		92,500	49	Pervious - \$	50-75% Gra	ass cover, Fair, HSG A		
*		8,000	98	Off-Site - F	uture Highla	and Road		
	1	80,000	73	Weighted A	verage			
		92,500	49	51.39% Pe	rvious Area			
		87,500	98	48.61% Imp	pervious Are	ea		
	Тс	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description		
(r	nin)	(feet)	(ft/f	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)			
1	3.3	280	0.200	0 0.35		Sheet Flow, Sheet - Lot Yards		
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.05"		
	1.2	480	0.090	0 6.77	54.18	Channel Flow, Future Road Ditch		
						Area= 8.0 sf Perim= 26.0' r= 0.31'		
						n= 0.030 Earth, grassed & winding		
-	4.5	760	Total					

Subcatchment 2S: Basin 2



Summary for Pond 1P: Pond

Inflow Area	a =	18.825 ac, 3	84.88% Imp	ervious,	Inflow [Depth =	2.8	4" for	100-	Yr even	t
Inflow	=	9.33 cfs @	8.00 hrs,	Volume	=	4.451	af				
Outflow	=	2.62 cfs @	11.34 hrs,	Volume	=	4.451	af,	Atten=	72%,	Lag= 2	00.4 min
Discarded	=	2.62 cfs @	11.34 hrs,	Volume	=	4.451	af				
Primary	=	0.00 cfs @	0.00 hrs,	Volume	=	0.000	af				

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 294.28' @ 11.34 hrs Surf.Area= 10,040 sf Storage= 47,458 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 255.4 min calculated for 4.449 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 255.5 min (986.9 - 731.5)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Sto	orage Storage	Description		
#1	285.00'	66,7	28 cf Custom	Stage Data (Conic	c) Listed below (Re	ecalc)
Elevatio	on Si	urf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store	Wet.Area	
(fee	et)	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)	(sq-ft)	
285.0	00	1,171	0	0	1,171	
286.0	00	1,823	1,485	1,485	1,837	
287.0	00	2,553	2,178	3,663	2,586	
288.0	00	3,360	2,947	6,610	3,415	
289.0	00	4,245	3,794	10,404	4,327	
290.0	00	5,209	4,719	15,123	5,321	
291.0	00	6,256	5,725	20,847	6,402	
292.0	00	7,397	6,819	27,666	7,580	
293.0	00	8,493	7,939	35,605	8,720	
294.0	00	9,684	9,082	44,686	9,958	
295.0	00	10,981	10,326	55,012	11,304	
296.0	00	12,467	11,716	66,728	12,839	
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices	6		
#1	Discarded	285.00'	9.750 in/hr Ex	filtration over Wet	tted area vation = 250 00'	
#2	Primary	295.00'	25.0' long x 1 Head (feet) 0 2.50 3.00 Coef. (English 3.30 3.31 3.3	.0' breadth Broad .20 0.40 0.60 0.8) 2.69 2.72 2.75	-Crested Rectang 0 1.00 1.20 1.40 2.85 2.98 3.08	jular Weir) 1.60 1.80 2.00 3.20 3.28 3.31

Discarded OutFlow Max=2.62 cfs @ 11.34 hrs HW=294.28' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Controls 2.62 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=285.00' (Free Discharge) ←2=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)



Pond 1P: Pond

Pond 1P: Pond



Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Max Capacity - Ditch at 1.00%

Triangular
Side Slopes (z:1)

Total Depth (ft)

Invert Elev (ft) Slope (%) N-Value

= =	2.00, 2.00 2.00
=	1.00
=	1.00
_	0.000

= 2.00

Calculations

Compute by: Known Depth (ft)

Known Depth

Highlighted		
Depth (ft)	=	2.00
Q (cfs)	=	13.79
Area (sqft)	=	8.00
Velocity (ft/s)	=	1.72
Wetted Perim (ft)	=	8.94
Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	=	1.25
Top Width (ft)	=	8.00
EGL (ft)	=	2.05



Reach (ft)

Depth	Q	Area	Veloc	Wp
(ft)	(cfs)	(sqft)	(ft/s)	(ft)
0.10	0.005	0.020	0.23	0.45
0.20	0.030	0.080	0.37	0.89
0.30	0.088	0.180	0.49	1.34
0.40	0.189	0.320	0.59	1.79
0.50	0.342	0.500	0.68	2.24
0.60	0.556	0.720	0.77	2.68
0.70	0.839	0.980	0.86	3.13
0.80	1.198	1.280	0.94	3.58
0.90	1.640	1.620	1.01	4.02
1.00	2.172	2.000	1.09	4.47
1.10	2.801	2.420	1.16	4.92
1.20	3.532	2.880	1.23	5.37
1.30	4.373	3.380	1.29	5.81
1.40	5.328	3.920	1.36	6.26
1.50	6.405	4.500	1.42	6.71
1.60	7.607	5.120	1.49	7.16
1.70	8.943	5.780	1.55	7.60
1.80	10.42	6.480	1.61	8.05
1.90	12.03	7.220	1.67	8.50
2.00	13.79	8.000	1.72	8.94

Yc	TopWidth	Energy
(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
0.06	0.40	0.10
0.11	0.80	0.20
0.17	1.20	0.30
0.23	1.60	0.41
0.29	2.00	0.51
0.35	2.40	0.61
0.41	2.80	0.71
0.47	3.20	0.81
0.53	3.60	0.92
0.60	4.00	1.02
0.66	4.40	1.12
0.73	4.80	1.22
0.79	5.20	1.33
0.85	5.60	1.43
0.92	6.00	1.53
0.98	6.40	1.63
1.05	6.80	1.74
1.12	7.20	1.84
1.18	7.60	1.94
1.25	8.00	2.05

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Max Capacity - 18in at 1.00%

Circular		Highlighted	
Diameter (ft)	= 1.50	Depth (ft)	= 1.41
		Q (cfs)	= 13.35
		Area (sqft)	= 1.72
Invert Elev (ft)	= 1.00	Velocity (ft/s)	= 7.74
Slope (%)	= 1.00	Wetted Perim (ft)	= 3.98
N-Value	= 0.011	Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 1.37
		Top Width (ft)	= 0.71
Calculations		EGL (ft)	= 2.34
Compute by:	Known Depth		
Known Depth (ft)	= 1.41		



Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Tuesday, Jan 12 2021

Max Capacity - 12in at 1.00%

	Highlighted	
= 1.00	Depth (ft)	= 0.94
	Q (cfs)	= 4.527
	Area (sqft)	= 0.77
= 1.00	Velocity (ft/s)	= 5.91
= 1.00	Wetted Perim (ft)	= 2.65
= 0.011	Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 0.89
	Top Width (ft)	= 0.47
	EGL (ft)	= 1.48
Known Depth		
= 0.94		
	 = 1.00 = 1.00 = 1.00 = 0.011 Known Depth = 0.94 	= 1.00 $= 1.00$ $= 1.00$ $= 1.00$ $= 1.00$ $= 0.011$ $= 0.011$ $Known Depth$ $= 0.94$ $Highlighted$ $Depth$ $Q (cfs)$ $Area (sqft)$ $Velocity (ft/s)$ $Velocity (ft/s)$ $Velted Perim (ft)$ $Crit Depth, Yc (ft)$ $Top Width (ft)$ $EGL (ft)$



Reach (ft)

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Max Capacity - 12in at 2.00%

	Highlighted	
= 1.00	Depth (ft)	= 0.94
	Q (cfs)	= 6.402
	Area (sqft)	= 0.77
= 1.00	Velocity (ft/s)	= 8.35
= 2.00	Wetted Perim (ft)	= 2.65
= 0.011	Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 0.97
	Top Width (ft)	= 0.47
	EGL (ft)	= 2.02
Known Depth		
= 0.94		
	 = 1.00 = 1.00 = 2.00 = 0.011 Known Depth = 0.94 	= 1.00 $= 1.00$ $= 1.00$ $= 1.00$ $= 1.00$ $= 2.00$ $= 0.011$



Reach (ft)

APPENDIX B

Referenced Data



United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources

Conservation

Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for Tillamook County, Oregon



Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

Preface	2
Soil Map	5
Soil Map	6
Legend	7
Map Unit Legend	8
Map Unit Descriptions	
Tillamook County, Oregon	10
6D—Horseprairie-Ferrelo complex, 3 to 20 percent slopes	
11D—Netarts fine sandy loam, 5 to 30 percent slopes	11
11E—Netarts fine sandy loam, 30 to 60 percent slopes	12
20D—Klootchie-Necanicum complex, 5 to 30 percent slopes	13
20E—Klootchie-Necanicum complex, 30 to 60 percent slopes	15
32D—Munsoncreek-Flowerpot complex, 5 to 30 percent slopes	17
Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.

Custom Soil Resource Report Soil Map



	MAP L	EGEND		MAP INFORMATION
Area of Int	erest (AOI)	00	Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.
	Area of Interest (AOI)	۵	Stony Spot	
Solis	Soil Map Unit Polygons	0	Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.
	Soil Man Unit Lines	Ŷ	Wet Spot	
~	Soil Map Unit Dointo	\triangle	Other	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil
L.			Special Line Features	line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of
Special I	Blowout	Water Fea	tures	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.
8	Borrow Pit	\sim	Streams and Canals	
	Clay Spot	Transport	ation	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map
衆		+++	Rails	measurements.
\diamond	Closed Depression	~	Interstate Highways	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
X	Gravel Pit	~	US Routes	Web Soil Survey URL:
00	Gravelly Spot	\sim	Major Roads	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
٥	Landfill	~	Local Roads	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator
A.	Lava Flow	Backgrou	nd	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts
عليه	Marsh or swamp	Mar.	Aerial Photography	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more
~	Mine or Quarry			accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
0	Miscellaneous Water			This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as
õ	Perennial Water			of the version date(s) listed below.
$\tilde{\sim}$	Rock Outcrop			Soil Suniov Aroa: Tillamook County Orogon
	Saline Spot			Survey Area Data: Version 12, Jun 11, 2020
•.•	Sandy Spot			Sail man units are labeled (as anoss allows) for man scales
	Severely Eroded Spot			1:50,000 or larger.
~	Sinkhole			
~	Slide or Slip			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: May 28, 2020—Jun 22, 2020
52	Side of Silp			,
Ø				The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
6D	Horseprairie-Ferrelo complex, 3 to 20 percent slopes	15.3	21.0%
11D	Netarts fine sandy loam, 5 to 30 percent slopes	23.5	32.4%
11E	Netarts fine sandy loam, 30 to 60 percent slopes	25.5	35.2%
20D	Klootchie-Necanicum complex, 5 to 30 percent slopes	1.8	2.4%
20E	Klootchie-Necanicum complex, 30 to 60 percent slopes	5.1	7.0%
32D	Munsoncreek-Flowerpot complex, 5 to 30 percent slopes	1.4	1.9%
Totals for Area of Interest		72.5	100.0%

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not

mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

Tillamook County, Oregon

6D—Horseprairie-Ferrelo complex, 3 to 20 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 280k Elevation: 100 to 300 feet Mean annual precipitation: 80 to 100 inches Mean annual air temperature: 49 to 52 degrees F Frost-free period: 180 to 300 days Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

Map Unit Composition

Horseprairie and similar soils: 65 percent Ferrelo and similar soils: 25 percent Minor components: 5 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Horseprairie

Setting

Landform: Marine terraces Landform position (three-dimensional): Riser Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Eolian and/or marine deposits

Typical profile

Oi - 0 to 2 inches: slightly decomposed plant material *A - 2 to 11 inches:* medial loam *Bw1 - 11 to 28 inches:* loam *Bw2 - 28 to 45 inches:* loam *2C - 45 to 62 inches:* loamy sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 20 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: High (about 10.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Forage suitability group: Well Drained <15% Slopes (G004AY014OR) Other vegetative classification: Well Drained <15% Slopes (G004AY014OR), Sitka spruce/oxalis, swordfern-moist (902) Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Ferrelo

Setting

Landform: Marine terraces Landform position (three-dimensional): Riser Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear, convex Parent material: Eolian and/or marine deposits

Typical profile

Oi - 0 to 1 inches: slightly decomposed plant material *A - 1 to 19 inches:* loam *Bw - 19 to 37 inches:* loam *2C1 - 37 to 55 inches:* loamy fine sand *2C2 - 55 to 89 inches:* fine sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 20 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Moderate (about 8.7 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Forage suitability group: Well Drained <15% Slopes (G004AY014OR) Other vegetative classification: Well Drained <15% Slopes (G004AY014OR), Sitka spruce/oxalis, swordfern-moist (902) Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Depoe

Percent of map unit: 5 percent *Landform:* Depressions on marine terraces *Hydric soil rating:* Yes

11D—Netarts fine sandy loam, 5 to 30 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 27w3 Elevation: 20 to 300 feet Mean annual precipitation: 80 to 100 inches *Mean annual air temperature:* 49 to 52 degrees F *Frost-free period:* 180 to 300 days *Farmland classification:* Farmland of statewide importance

Map Unit Composition

Netarts and similar soils: 90 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Netarts

Setting

Landform: Dunes on marine terraces Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Linear, concave Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Eolian sands

Typical profile

Oi - 0 to 2 inches: slightly decomposed plant material *A - 2 to 5 inches:* fine sandy loam *E - 5 to 9 inches:* loamy fine sand *ABs - 9 to 15 inches:* loamy fine sand *Bs1 - 15 to 19 inches:* fine sand *Bs2 - 19 to 37 inches:* fine sand *BCs - 37 to 54 inches:* fine sand *C - 54 to 67 inches:* fine sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 5 to 30 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (1.98 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Low (about 4.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e Hydrologic Soil Group: A Other vegetative classification: Sitka spruce/salal-mesic (901) Hydric soil rating: No

11E—Netarts fine sandy loam, 30 to 60 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 280q Elevation: 20 to 300 feet Mean annual precipitation: 80 to 100 inches Mean annual air temperature: 49 to 52 degrees F *Frost-free period:* 180 to 300 days *Farmland classification:* Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Netarts and similar soils: 90 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Netarts

Setting

Landform: Dunes on marine terraces Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Linear, concave Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Eolian sands

Typical profile

Oi - 0 to 2 inches: slightly decomposed plant material *A - 2 to 5 inches:* fine sandy loam *E - 5 to 9 inches:* loamy fine sand *ABs - 9 to 15 inches:* loamy fine sand *Bs1 - 15 to 19 inches:* fine sand *Bs2 - 19 to 37 inches:* fine sand *BCs - 37 to 54 inches:* fine sand *C - 54 to 67 inches:* fine sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 30 to 60 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (1.98 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Low (about 4.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e Hydrologic Soil Group: A Other vegetative classification: Sitka spruce/salal-mesic (901) Hydric soil rating: No

20D—Klootchie-Necanicum complex, 5 to 30 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 27xq Elevation: 50 to 1,800 feet Mean annual precipitation: 80 to 110 inches Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 52 degrees F Frost-free period: 120 to 210 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Klootchie and similar soils: 60 percent *Necanicum and similar soils:* 25 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Klootchie

Setting

Landform: Mountain slopes Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit, toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountaintop, mountainbase Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave, linear Parent material: Colluvium and residuum derived from igneous rock and tuff

Typical profile

Oi - 0 to 1 inches: slightly decomposed plant material

A1 - 1 to 9 inches: medial silt loam

A2 - 9 to 19 inches: medial silt loam

Bw1 - 19 to 44 inches: medial silty clay loam

Bw2 - 44 to 68 inches: medial silty clay loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 5 to 30 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Very high (about 19.1 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Other vegetative classification: Sitka spruce/salmonberry-wet (903) Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Necanicum

Setting

Landform: Mountain slopes Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit, footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountaintop, mountainbase Down-slope shape: Convex, linear Across-slope shape: Convex, linear Parent material: Colluvium derived from igneous rock and tuff

Typical profile

Oi - 0 to 1 inches: slightly decomposed plant material *A1 - 1 to 10 inches:* very gravelly medial loam *A2 - 10 to 18 inches:* very gravelly medial loam *Bw1 - 18 to 27 inches:* very gravelly medial loam

Bw2 - 27 to 49 inches: extremely cobbly medial loam *Bw3 - 49 to 71 inches:* extremely cobbly medial loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 5 to 30 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Moderate (about 7.8 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Other vegetative classification: Sitka spruce/salmonberry-wet (903) Hydric soil rating: No

20E—Klootchie-Necanicum complex, 30 to 60 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 27x3 Elevation: 50 to 1,800 feet Mean annual precipitation: 80 to 110 inches Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 52 degrees F Frost-free period: 120 to 210 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Klootchie and similar soils: 55 percent Necanicum and similar soils: 30 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Klootchie

Setting

Landform: Mountain slopes Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope, footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Center third of mountainflank, lower third of mountainflank Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave, linear Parent material: Colluvium and residuum derived from igneous rock and tuff

Typical profile

Oi - 0 to 1 inches: slightly decomposed plant material

A1 - 1 to 9 inches: medial silt loam A2 - 9 to 19 inches: medial silt loam *Bw1 - 19 to 44 inches:* medial silty clay loam *Bw2 - 44 to 68 inches:* medial silty clay loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 30 to 60 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Very high (about 19.1 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Other vegetative classification: Sitka spruce/oxalis, swordfern-moist (902) Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Necanicum

Setting

Landform: Mountain slopes
 Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope, footslope
 Landform position (three-dimensional): Upper third of mountainflank, lower third of mountainflank
 Down-slope shape: Linear, convex
 Across-slope shape: Convex, linear
 Parent material: Colluvium derived from igneous rock and tuff

Typical profile

Oi - 0 to 1 inches: slightly decomposed plant material *A1 - 1 to 10 inches:* very gravelly medial loam *A2 - 10 to 18 inches:* very gravelly medial loam *Bw1 - 18 to 27 inches:* very gravelly medial loam *Bw2 - 27 to 49 inches:* extremely cobbly medial loam *Bw3 - 49 to 71 inches:* extremely cobbly medial loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 30 to 60 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Moderate (about 7.8 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Other vegetative classification: Sitka spruce/oxalis, swordfern-moist (902) Hydric soil rating: No

32D—Munsoncreek-Flowerpot complex, 5 to 30 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 27zw Elevation: 50 to 1,800 feet Mean annual precipitation: 80 to 110 inches Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 52 degrees F Frost-free period: 120 to 210 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Munsoncreek and similar soils: 65 percent *Flowerpot and similar soils:* 20 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Munsoncreek

Setting

Landform: Hillslopes, mountain slopes Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope, summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountainbase, mountaintop, base slope, interfluve Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Convex, linear Parent material: Colluvium and residuum derived from sedimentary rock

Typical profile

Oi - 0 to 1 inches: slightly decomposed plant material *A - 1 to 10 inches:* medial silt loam

AB - 10 to 18 inches: silty clay loam

Bw1 - 18 to 28 inches: silty clay loam

Bw2 - 28 to 41 inches: silty clay loam

Bw3 - 41 to 58 inches: extremely paragravelly silty clay loam

Cr - 58 to 68 inches: weathered bedrock

Properties and qualities

Slope: 5 to 30 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 40 to 60 inches to paralithic bedrock
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high (0.20 to 0.57 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: High (about 11.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e Hydrologic Soil Group: C *Other vegetative classification:* Sitka spruce/salmonberry-wet (903) *Hydric soil rating:* No

Description of Flowerpot

Setting

Landform: Hillslopes, mountain slopes Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope, summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountainbase, mountaintop, interfluve, base slope Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave, linear Parent material: Colluvium and residuum derived from sedimentary rock

Typical profile

Oi - 0 to 1 inches: slightly decomposed plant material

A1 - 1 to 8 inches: medial silty clay loam

A2 - 8 to 14 inches: silty clay loam

AB - 14 to 22 inches: silty clay loam

Bw - 22 to 30 inches: silty clay loam

Bg - 30 to 52 inches: silty clay loam

BC - 52 to 60 inches: silty clay loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 5 to 30 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches

Drainage class: Somewhat poorly drained

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.57 in/hr)

Depth to water table: About 14 to 22 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Available water capacity: Very high (about 13.1 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e Hydrologic Soil Group: C/D Other vegetative classification: Sitka spruce/salmonberry-wet (903) Hydric soil rating: No

APPENDIX A - HYDRAULIC ROUGHNESS (MANNING'S n) VALUES OF CONDUITS AND CHANNELS

This appendix lists Manning's roughness (n) values for various conduits and channels, as follows:

		Page
TABLE 1:	CONDUITS	8-A-2
TABLE 2:	GUTTERS AND PAVEMENTS	8-A-5
TABLE 3:	SMALLER ARTIFICIAL CHANNELS	8-A-6
TABLE 4:	LINED ARTIFICIAL CHANNELS	8-A-8
TABLE 5:	EXCAVATED ARTIFICIAL CHANNELS	8-A-10
TABLE 6:	HIGHWAY CHANNELS AND SWALES WITH MAINTAINED VEGETAT	TION .8-A-12
TABLE 7:	NATURAL CHANNELS AND FLOODPLAINS	8-A-13

Sources: • Chow, Ven Te, "Open-Channel Hydraulics," 1959

- FHWA, "Design of Urban Highway Drainage, The State of the Art," 1979
- FHWA, "Hydraulic Design Series No. 3, Design Charts for Open-Channel Flow," 1961
- FHWA, "Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 15, Design of Roadside Channels with Flexible Linings," 1988
- FHWA, "Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 22, Urban Drainage Design Manual," 1996
- ODOT, "Memo to Designers, Helical Corrugated Pipe," 1992

TABLE 1: CONDUITS

	HYDRA (MAN	HYDRAULIC ROUGHNE (MANNING'S n) VALU				
Conduit	Minimum	Normal	Maximum			
A. Concrete or asbestos-cement pipe	0.011	0.013	0.015			
B. Metal pipe or pipe-arch with annular corrugation	18					
1. 2-2/3-inch x ¹ / ₂ -inch corrugations						
a. Plain or fully coated		0.024				
 b. Paved invert (range represents 25 and 50 percent of circumference paved, with larger n value representing 25 percent paved) 						
1. Full flow depth	0.018		0.021			
2. Flow 80 percent of depth	0.016		0.021			
3. Flow 60 percent of depth	0.013		0.019			
2. 3-inch x 1-inch corrugations		0.027	* * * * *			
3. 6-inch x 2-inch corrugations		0.032	* * * * *			
C. Smooth walled helical spiral rib pipe	0.012		0.013			
D. Corrugated metal subdrain	0.017	0.019	0.021			
E. Plastic pipe USE N=0.011 FOR A	ien culve	RIS				
1. Smooth	0.011	Harrison and the second	0.015			
2. Corrugated		0.024				
F. Metal pipe or pipe arch with helically wound corr	ugations					
1. Smaller pipes						
12 inch		0.013				
15 inch		0.014				
18 inch		0.015				

	HYDRA (MAN	ULIC ROUGHN NNNG'S n) VAI	NESS LUES
Channel	Minimum	Normal	Maximum
A. Earth, straight and uniform			
1. Clean, recently completed	0.016	0.018	0.020
2. Clean, after weathering	0.018	0.022	0.025
3. Gravel, uniform section, clean	0.022	0.025	0.030
4. With short grass, few weeds	0.022	0.027	0.033
B. Earth, winding and sluggish	I	1	
1. No vegetation	0.023	0.025	0.030
2. Grass, some weeds	0.025	0.030	0.033
3. Dense weeds or aquatic plants in deep channels	0.030	0.035	0.040
4. Earth bottom and rubble sides	0.028	0.030	0.035
5. Stony bottom and weedy banks	0.025	0.035	0.040
6. Cobble bottom and clean sides	0.030	0.040	0.050
C. Dragline-excavated or dredged		I	1
1. No vegetation	0.025	0.028	0.033
2. Light brush on banks	0.035	0.050	0.060
D. Rock cuts		1	
1. Smooth and uniform	0.025	0.035	0.040
2. Jagged and irregular	0.035	0.040	0.050
E. Channels not maintained, weeds and brush un	cut Roapsi	DE DITCH-	ABOME N=
1. Dense weeds, high as flow depth	0.050	0.080	0.120
2. Clean bottom, brush on sides	0.040	0.050	0.080

TABLE 5: EXCAVATED ARTIFICIAL CHANNELS



OR: 503-353-9691 OREGON COAST: 503-322-2700 FAX: 503-353-9695 WA: 360-735-1109

www.envmgtsys.com 4080 SE International Way Suite B112 Milwaukie, OR 97222

February 12th, 2021 Report # 21-0008

Bill Hughes Avalon Heights LLC 41901 Old Highway 30 Astoria, OR 97103

REGARDING: Stormwater Infiltration Test, Avalon Heights, Netarts-Oceanside, Oregon T: 1S, R: 10W, SW ¹/₄ SE ¹/₄ Section 30, TL 200

Dear Mr. Hughes,

As requested, Environmental Management Systems Inc. (EMS) has performed the following services and provides this report for your use.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

The purpose of this report is to document the results of soil infiltration testing and to determine the potential for onsite stormwater disposal. The subject property is a 21.20-acre lot located near Oceanside, Oregon. A 56-lot subdivision is planned for the property and must be developed in accordance with Tillamook County Development Standards. On February 5th, 2020, EMS conducted a soil infiltration test in the proposed stormwater infiltration area near the south end of the property. This report describes existing site conditions, methods used, and results.

SUMMARY:

Onsite stormwater infiltration appears feasible. The average infiltration rate was 21.45 inches per house. No cementation or restrictive layers were observed in the test pit which was dug to a depth of 30". The stormwater infiltration facility should be engineered in manner that prevents erosion and does not cause instability of the steep slopes on the site.

LIMITATIONS:

Findings and recommendations in this report are based infiltration testing performed in one location. Conditions encountered during the test are believed to be representative of the site conditions, however subsurface conditions may vary across the site. If there

Page 1 of 5

EMS# 21-0008

are changes to the plan that involve infiltrating stormwater elsewhere onsite, additional testing may be required.

SITE CONDITIONS:

Existing Uses for the Property

The site is currently undeveloped but was logged within the last couple of years.

Topography

The site is an irregularly shaped lot that sits on top of a large hill (stable dune) at elevations ranging between 300 and 430 feet above sea level. The terrain is rolling hills with an overall southward facing slope. Slopes are variable across the site with the majority western part of the site being less than 20%. A broad gulley-like depression runs through the center of the property from north to south that serves as a seasonal drainageway or infiltration swale (see photos 1 and 2, below). The southern end of this gulley is topographically the lowest area on the site and is proposed to be used for infiltrating stormwater runoff for the subdivision.



Photo 1 The gulley-like drainageway, facing north.



Photo 2 The drainageway, facing south. The infiltration test hole is shown in the lower center of frame.

Site Stability

The site is mapped as a moderate to high landslide hazard area by Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI)¹. According to DOGAMI Statewide Landslide Information Layer for Oregon (SLIDO)² there is a large area of landslide topography near the east property line that extends to the east. No instability or landslide activity was observed during the site visit. See Geological Hazard Report prepared by EMS on April 12th, 2018 for more details.

Vegetation

Most of the site has been logged, but previously the vegetation on site consisted of a mix of conifers including Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*), Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis*), and western hemlock (*Tsuga heterophylla*). The drainage swale is still

¹ Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries. Oregon HazVu: Statewide Geohazards Viewer. <u>https://gis.dogami.oregon.gov/maps/hazvu/</u>

² Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries. Statewide Landslide Information Database for Oregon (SLIDO). <u>http://gis.dogami.oregon.gov/slido/</u>

vegetated predominantly with red alder (*Alnus* rubra), sword fern (*Polystichum munitum*), salal (*Gaultheria shallon*), and huckleberry (*Vaccinium spp.*).

Soils

Soils on site are mapped as 11D and 11E – Netarts fine sandy loam (5-30 percent slopes and 30-60 percent slopes respectively) by the Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS)³. The typical setting for this soil type is dunes on marine terraces with a parent material of eolin sands. This unit is described as well drained with the depth to restrictive feature being more than 80 inches. According to NRCS, the typical soil profile is as follows:

0 to 2 inches: slightly decomposed plant material 2 to 5 inches: fine sandy loam 5 to 15 inches: loamy fine sand 15 to 67 inches: fine sand

For the soil infiltration test, one 24" by 36" test pit was dug to a depth of 30" and the soil profile was evaluated prior to conducted the test. One inch of slightly decomposed plant material was observed at the soil surface. 1 inch to 30 inches from the soil surface is somewhat silty fine sand. No cementation or restrictive layers were observed. Medium roots were common and extended to the bottom of the pit.

Wetlands / Surface Water

No surface water was observed during the site assessment. No wetlands are mapped on the site by the National Wetland Inventory (US Fish & Wildlife). There is no local wetland inventory available for the Oceanside-Netarts area. Obligate wetland vegetation was not observed in the stormwater infiltration area.

METHODS:

One 24" by 36" test pit was dug to a depth of 30" near the bottom of the proposed infiltration facility. Water for the infiltration test was provided by Netarts-Oceanside Fire district. Precipitation data was obtained from a nearby weather station (TILLAMOOK 6.9 SSE, OR). The month of January had received 19.02 inches of precipitation which is approximately 140% of normal¹ for that month. The vicinity had received approximately 3.5 inches of rain over the 4 days prior to the test. Therefore, the pit was not presoaked.

An open-pit falling-head test was conducted twice. The falling head test was prepared by filling the pit to a known depth (15" from the bottom) and measuring the time it took to recede to the bottom of the pit using a stopwatch. Between tests #1 and #2, the constant head flow rate was measured using a 5-gallon bucket and stopwatch and determined to be 5.43 gallons per minute.

³ Natural Resource Conservation Service. Web Soil Survey. https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx

RESULTS:

Results of the infiltration tests are shown in Table 1, below. The average infiltration rate is 21.45 inches per hour.

Test	Measured Infiltration Rate	Inches per Hour
#1	15" / 39 minutes	23.4
#2	15" / 46.3 minutes	19.5

Table 1. Infiltration Test Results

CONCLUSION:

Infiltration in the area of the drainage swale is fairly rapid, therefore onsite infiltration of stormwater for the proposed subdivision appears feasible. The stormwater facility will need to be sized appropriately to manage stormwater for all new impervious surfaces created by the project and will need to be constructed in a manner that will not cause erosion and instability at the bottom of the slope.

DISCLOSURE: The information and statements in this report are true and accurate to the best of our knowledge. Neither Environmental Management Systems, Inc., nor the undersigned have any economic interests in the project.

Thank you for your business, and we look forward to assisting you to achieve your development objectives. If you have any questions, please contact me at 503-353-9691.

Sincerely,

Emma Eichhorn, REHS Environmental Health Specialist ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS, Inc.

Enclosed: Site plan



APPENDIX C

Basin Map





December 8, 2005

PROCESS TO DEVELOP DESIGN STANDARDS Using AASHTO Manual

Reference Documents:

"A POLICY on GEOMETRIC DESIGN of HIGHWAYS and STREETS - 2001" by American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO Manual). As the AASHTO Manual is updated the most current edition applies as appropriate.

"TILLAMOOK FIRE DISTRICT UNIFORM FIRE CODE", Appendix III-E (Fire Department Access). This document was updated by the Fire Defense Board on 4/21/05. Some section numbering and standards were updated accordingly.

This handout is meant to provide clarification of procedures used to determine road standards when applying the AASHTO Manual (see below). This handout is not designed to replace actual use and reference of the AASHTO Manual. Note that if other County Ordinances or the local fire departments have higher standards than AASHTO, those other standards apply.

The purpose of this analysis is to develop the design features for a "Local" rural road functional classification. This type of road is consistent with "Major Local" and "Minor Local" roads. For design features for "Minimum Local" roads (i.e. a street accessing 4 or less residences), see Page 3 of this handout.

DEFINITIONS FOR TERRAIN (Page 235 of AASHTO Manual)

"Level" terrain is where highway sight distances, as governed by both horizontal and vertical restrictions, are generally long or could be made to be so without construction difficulty or major expense.

"Rolling" terrain is where the natural slopes consistently rise above and fall below the road grade and where occasional steep slopes offer some restriction to normal horizontal and vertical roadway alignment.

"Mountainous" terrain is where longitudinal and transverse changes in the elevation of the ground with respect to the road are abrupt and where benching and side hill excavations are frequently required to obtain acceptable horizontal and vertical alignment.

DEVELOPMENT OF DESIGN STANDARDS for "MAJOR LOCAL" AND "MINOR LOCAL" ROADS

- 1. Determine the design <u>Average Daily Traffic</u> (ADT).
 - a. For most developments this will be the existing ADT plus additional ADT for the developments being considered which are causing the needed improvements.
 - b. When considering land development as a cause of increased ADT, the increased ADT should assume the maximum density allowed by the zoning.
 - c. For residential developments the ADT is assumed to be 10 vehicles per day per residence.
- 2. Determine the Design Speed.
 - a. Use Table 5-1 (Page 385).
 - b. Use Terrain definitions listed above.
- 3. Determine <u>Stopping Sight Distance</u> and <u>"K" Values for Vertical Curves</u>: Use Table 5-2 (Page 385).
- 4. No sections with passing sight distances are required with typical subdivision or major partition roads.
- 5. Determine Maximum Grade: Use Table 5-4 (Page 386).
- 6. Roadway Cross Slope. (Use 2% crown unless otherwise needed for curve superelevation or alternate engineered design)
- 7. Determine Superelevation and Maximum Degree of Curve.
 - a. Maximum superelevation is 12% (Page 387).
 - b. Use Tables 3-21 through 3-25 (Pages 157-165) horizontal curvature design. If an applicant proposes a curve radius inconsistent with these tables, they need to provide engineered design details subject to approval by the Director of Public Works.
- Determine <u>Minimum Width of Traveled Way</u> (paved road): Use Table 5-5 (Page 388).
- 9. Determine Width of Graded Shoulder (each side).
 - a. Use Table 5-5 (Page 388).
 - b. In Mountainous Terrain shoulder in roadway cuts may be deceased by 2 feet, but only if:
 - (1) the total roadway width is not less than 18 feet, and
 - (2) the cut is not on the inside of a minimum radius curve, and
 - (3) stopping sight distance is not impaired by the roadway cut.

Metric							US Customary						
	Design speed (km/h) for							Design speed (mph) for					
	specified design volume (veh/day)							specified design volume (veh/da					
		50	250	400	1500	2000		50	250	400	1500	2000	
Type of	under	to	to	to	to	and	under	to	to	to	to	and	
terrain	50	250	400	1500	2000	over	50	250	400	1500	2000	over	
Level	50	50	60	80	80	80	30	30	40	50	50	50	
Rolling	30	50	50	60	60	60	20	30	30	40	40	40	
Mountainous	30	30	30	50	50	50	20	20	20	30	30	30	

Exhibit 5-1. Minimum Design Speeds for Local Rural Roads

	Ме	tric			US Cus	tomary	
	Design				Design		
	stopping				stopping		
Initial	sight	Rate o	f vertical	Initial	sight	Rate of	vertical
speed	distance	curvature	, K ^a (m/%)	speed	distance	curvature	, K ^a (ft/%)
(km/h)	(m)	Crest	Sag	(mph)	(ft)	Crest	Sag
20	20	1	3	15	80	3	10
30	35	2	6	20	115	7	17
40	50	4	9	25	155	12	26
50	65	7	13	30	200	19	37
60	85	11	18	35	250	29	49
70	105	17	23	40	305	44	64
80	130	26	30	45	360	61	79
90	160	39	38	50	425	84	96
100	185	52	45	55	495	114	115
				60	570	151	136
^a Bate of	vertical curv	ature K is	the length c	of curve ner	percent alge	braic differe	ance in the

Rate of vertical curvature, K, is the length of curve per percent algebraic difference in the intersecting grades (i.e., K = L/A). (See Chapter 3 for details.)

Exhibit 5-2. Design Controls for Stopping Sight Distance and for Crest and Sag Vertical Curves

> = ROARING TIDE LOOP BETWEEN HIGHLAND & OCEAN SONG = ALL OTHER PROPOSED ROADS





Grades

Suggested maximum grades for local rural roads are shown in Exhibit 5-4.

Metric								1	US C	Cust	oma	ry	10	11g				
	Maximum grade (%) for specified design speed (km/h)								spe	Max	imun ed de	n gra sign	ade (spe	%) fo ed (m	or nph)			
Type of terrain	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	15	20	25	30	40	45	50	55	60
Level	9	8	7	7	7	7	6	6	5	9	8	7	7	7	7	6	6	5
Rolling	12	11	11	10	10	9	8	7	6	12	11	11	10	10	9	8	7	6
Mountainous	17	16	15	14	13	12	10	10	-	17	16	15	14	13	12	10	10	-

Exhibit 5-4. Maximum Grades for Local Rural Roads

Alignment

Alignment between control points should be designed to be as favorable as possible consistent with the environmental impact, topography, terrain, design traffic volume, and the amount of reasonably obtainable right-of-way. Sudden changes between curves of widely different radii or between long tangents and sharp curves should be avoided. Where practical, the design should include passing opportunities. Where crest vertical curves and horizontal curves occur together, there should be greater than minimum sight distance to ensure that the horizontal curves are visible to approaching drivers.

		Metric			US Customary					
	Minimur	n width of	traveled	way (m)	Minimum width of traveled way (ft)					
	for s	pecified d	esign vol	ume		for	specified c	lesign vol	ume	
		(veh/	day)				(veh/	/day)		
Design			1500		Design			1500		
speed	under	400 to	to	over	speed	under	400 to	to	over	
(km/h)	400	1500	2000	2000	(mph)	400	1500	2000	2000	
20	5.4	6.0 ^a	6.0	6.6	15	18	20 ^a	20	22	
30	5.4	6.0 ^a	6.6	7.2 ^c	20	18	20 ^a	22	24 ^c	
40	5.4	6.0 ^a	6.6	7.2 ^c	25	18	20 ^a	22	24 ^c	
50	5.4	6.0 ^a	6.6	7.2 ^c	30	18	20 ^a	22	24 ^c	
60	5.4	6.0 ^a	6.6	7.2 ^c	40	18	20 ^a	22	24 ^c	
70	6.0	6.6	6.6	7.2 ^c	45	20	22	22	24 ^c	
80	6.0	6.6	6.6	7.2 ^c	50	20	22	22	24 ^c	
90	6.6	6.6	7.2 ^c	7.2 ^c	55	22	22	24 ^c	24 ^c	
100	6.6	6.6	7.2 ^c	7.2 ^c	60	22	22	24 ^c	24 ^c	
	Width	n of grade	d should	er on		Widt	h of grade	d shoulde	er on	
	eac	h side of t	he road ((m)		ea	ch side of	the road	(ft)	
All					All					
speeds	0.6	1.5 ^{a,b}	1.8	2.4	speeds	2	5 ^{a,b}	6	8	

For roads in mountainous terrain with design volume of 400 to 600 veh/day, use 5.4-m [18-ft] traveled way width and 0.6-m [2-ft] shoulder width.

^o May be adjusted to achieve a minimum roadway width of 9 m [30 ft] for design speeds greater than 60 km/h [40 mph].

^c Where the width of the traveled way is shown as 7.2 m [24 ft], the width may remain at 6.6 m [22 ft] on reconstructed highways where alignment and safety records are satisfactory.

See text for roadside barrier and offtracking considerations.

Exhibit 5-5. Minimum Width of Traveled Way and Shoulders

ECARENG TIPE LOOP BETWEEN HIGHLAND AND OCEAN SONG: 18' TEANELED WAY + 2' SHOUDERS ALL OTHER PROPOSED ROADS: 18' TEANELED WAY + 2' SHOUDERS From: Melissa Jenck Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2019 2:08 PM To: DEBLASI Michael; 'mark@meadeng.com'; 'bchexc@gmail.com' Cc: Sarah Absher Subject: RE: Avalon Heights 2nd Addition

Michael,

Thank you for the update!

Melissa Jenck

From: DEBLASI Michael <<u>michael.deblasi@state.or.us</u>> Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2019 1:44 PM To: <u>'mark@meadeng.com</u>' <<u>mark@meadeng.com</u>>; <u>'bchexc@gmail.com</u>' <<u>bchexc@gmail.com</u>>; Melissa Jenck <<u>mjenck@co.tillamook.or.us</u>> Subject: Avalon Heights 2nd Addition

Melissa,

I visited the site on April 5th to determine if there were any jurisdictional Waters of the State. During my inspection of the site, I did not determine any portion of the waterway or adjacent lands to be jurisdictional to the Department of State Lands. The waterway is ephemeral and no wetlands were observed where the project impacts are proposed to occur.

Michael De Blasi

Aquatic Resources Coordinator Marion, Polk, Tillamook & Yamhill Counties

Oregon Department of State Lands 775 Summer St NE, Suite 100 Salem, Ore 97303 503.986.5226 http://www.oregon.gov/DSL/Pages/index.aspx

Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the recipient(s) to whom it is addressed.

Its contents, including any attachments, may contain confidential and/or privileged information.

If you are not an intended recipient you must not use, disclose, disseminate, copy or print its contents.

If you receive this e-mail in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete and destroy the message.



or: 503-353-9691 oregon coast: 503-322-2700 FAX: 503-353-9695 WA: 360-735-1109 WWW.envmgtsys.com 4080 SE International Way Suite B112 Milwaukie, OR 97222

April 12th, 2018 EMS Report #18-0005

Bill Hughes Avalon Heights LLC 41901 Old Highway 30 Astoria, OR 97103

REGARDING: Geohazard Report, Proposed Avalon Heights Subdivision, Oceanside, Tillamook County, Oregon, T: 1S, R: 10W, Sec: 30, TL:200.

Project Description

Environmental Management Systems, Inc. (EMS) has prepared this Geological Hazard Report for the proposed residential subdivision to be developed on the 21.20-acre tax lot listed above. This report has been prepared to satisfy Tillamook County Land Use Ordinance Article IV, Section 4.130. EMS reviewed aerial photographs, Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI) LIDAR imagery, geologic hazard maps, landslide inventory data, US Geological Survey (USGS) geologic maps, Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) soil maps, and a previous geohazard report prepared by EMS in 2005. On February 3rd, 2018 EMS conducted an onsite geologic hazard reconnaissance to visually evaluate surface conditions at the project site. The attached Figures 1 through 10 are maps of the site and vicinity including: 1) Tax Lot Map, 2) 2017 Aerial Map, 3) USGS Topographic Map, 4) USGS Geology Map, 5) NRCS Soil Map, 6) LIDAR Map, 7) SLIDO Landslide Map, 8) Coastal Dune Hazard Map, 9) Existing Conditions and 10) Conceptual Site Plan. Selected photographs showing site features are also attached. Conditions during the site reconnaissance were cloudy with light precipitation and temperatures around 55 degrees Fahrenheit.

The subject property, referred to in this report as the "site" is a 21.20-acre lot located near the unincorporated town of Oceanside, Oregon. It is identified as Tax Lot 200 in Section 30DC, Township 1 South and Range 10 West in Tillamook County (see Figure 1 – Tax Lot Map).

The site is currently undeveloped, but a 67-lot subdivision is proposed. See Figure 10 – Conceptual Site Plan. The subject property is zoned as ROS – Residential Oceanside Zone, which permits single-family dwellings, public parks, and on-site manufactured homes. Lot sizes are dictated by Tillamook County Zoning ordinance and depend on existing grades. Lots with average slopes of less than 19% must be at least 7,500 square feet; lots with slopes 20-29% must be at least 10,000 square feet; lots with slopes greater than 29% must be at least 20,000 square feet. Public sanitary sewer, water and electric utilities are available.

Landscape Setting and Land Use

As mentioned above, the site is undeveloped but has been used for timber cultivation and harvest as recently as 2007. The site is situated less than a mile southeast of Oceanside's town

center and about 1-mile northwest of Netarts. Neighboring lots along the site's western property line are all developed with single family residences, but areas to the north, east, and west are mostly undeveloped forestland. There is one large single-family residence to the east that is accessed by a private road that runs through the lot. The Netarts-Oceanside Sanitary District wastewater treatment plant is approximately 0.25 miles northeast of the site. Directly south of the southwest portion of the parcel are remnant foundation supports for a large above ground water storage tank, on top of which is a new smaller tank. The Oceanside Water District water tower is directly north of the site near Highland Drive and is accessed via an easement through the site. Both tanks can be seen in the Figure 2 – Aerial Map. A Netarts Water District water line runs across the northern part of the site (see Image 1).

The site is in Land Resource Region 4A-Sitka Spruce Belt which is typically dominated by Sitka spruce, western hemlock, western red cedar, Douglas-fir, salal, huckleberry, and swordfern¹. The average annual precipitation is 52 - 60 inches which is evenly distributed throughout the fall, winter and spring, in contrast to a relatively dry summer. The average annual temperature is 45 - 55 degrees Fahrenheit. This site is covered by Scotch Broom, heavy brush, and stands of mixed evergreen trees. The site was logged sometime around 2007 so trees are < 24" diameter.

The elevation for the subject parcel varies between 300 and 430 feet above sea level with higher elevations in the north part of the parcel. Most of the site is made up of rolling, gentle to moderate slopes aside from the far eastern part of the lot which is much steeper ranging between approximately 40 and 60 percent and descending down to perennial stream. According to the USGS Topo map for the Netarts Quadrangle, this stream appears to be a tributary of Fall Creek which runs along the east property line from northeast to southwest and drains into the Pacific Ocean. An unnamed, intermittent drainageway runs through the center of the lot from north to south. Topographic features including nearby waterways can be seen in Figure 3 – Topographic Map. Figure 9 – Site Plan depicts the topographic survey of the property from 2005.

Analysis

Bedrock and soils

The gentle to moderately sloped terrain that extends about a mile inland from the coast is primarily underlain by unconsolidated Quaternary-age beach, dune, marine terrace and river deposits of variable thickness of up to over 100 feet. These deposits in the site region are mapped by Schlicker and others (1972)² as being a stable sand dune formation. Later mapping of the region by Wells and others (1995)³ identifies the area as beach and dune deposits (map unit Qb; Figure 4 – USGS Geology Map). This unit is described as unconsolidated moderately well sorted, fine to medium grained beach sand and well sorted, cross bedded fine grained sand comprising active and inactive dune ridges; locally includes basalt gravel and boulder deposits derived from rocky headlands and fine fluvial and lacustrine mud behind coastal dune ridges.

The Quaternary deposits overly Miocene age sedimentary and volcanic bedrock in the site region. Bedrock units include the Grande Ronde Basalt flows mapped north and east from the site as well as in isolated cliff-forming areas along the coast west from the site (map unit Tgr; Figure 4 0 USGS Geology Map). Miocene-age sedimentary rock units include the Astoria

¹ United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land Resource Regions and Major Land Resource Areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296 ²Schlicker, H.G., Deacon, R.J., Beaulieu, J.D. and G.W. Olcott, 1972, Engineering Hazard Map of the Nehalem Quadrangle, Oregon, Scale 1:62,500 <u>in</u>: Environmental Geology of the Coastal Region of Tillamook and Clatsop Counties, Oregon, Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries, Bulletin 74.

³ Wells, R. E., Snavely, P. D., MacLeod, N. S., Kelly, M. M., Parker, M. J., Fenton, J. S., and Felger, T. J., 1995, Geologic map of the Tillamook Highlands, northwest Oregon Coast Range; a digital database: Reston, Va., U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 95-670, scale 1:48,000.

Formation (map unit Tac) to the southeast and Sandstone of Cape Mears (map unit Tcm) to the northeast. Review of the geologic map by Wells and others (1995) suggest bedrock beneath the Quaternary deposits at the site is more likely to be basalt flows, however marine sedimentary rocks may be present (see Figure 4). The dune sand may also overly old marine terrace deposits that have been uplifted and formed on the eroded bedrock surface. The thickness of the dune deposits and depth to bedrock is not known at this time. Subsurface explorations are recommended to evaluate soil and bedrock conditions across the site.

The Wells and others (1995) geologic map shows bedrock faults are present in the region and provides some altitudes of bedding in the sedimentary rocks and joints or interflow zones in the basalt lava flows. Bedding in the Astoria Formation is generally dipping westerly between 10 and 25 degrees and generally northerly dips of between 8 and 15 degrees in the Sandstone of Cape Mears and the basalt flows (Figure 4)

According to USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service, soils on the subject property are Netarts fine sandy loam⁴ – 5-30 percent slopes in the western half of the lot, and 30-60 percent slopes in the eastern half of the lot (see map units 11D and 11E in Figure 6 – NRCS Soils Map). The landform for Netarts fine sandy loam is dunes on marine terraces. It is not rated as hydric and is in hydrological soil Group A. NRCS describes Netarts fine sand as being well drained with depth to restrictive feature or water table being more than 80 inches from the surface.

The typical soil profile for the Netarts fine sandy loam is as follows:

Oi - 0 to 2 inches: slightly decomposed plant material A - 2 to 5 inches: fine sandy loam E - 5 to 9 inches: loamy fine sand ABs - 9 to 15 inches: loamy fine sand Bs1 - 15 to 19 inches: fine sand Bs2 - 19 to 37 inches: fine sand BCs - 37 to 54 inches: fine sand C - 54 to 67 inches: fine sand

During a site reconnaissance in November 2005, a 3-inch diameter, 60-inch-long AMS soil auger was used to excavate and expose the soils to maximum depth of the soil auger. Four excavations were completed within the boundary limits of the parcels. No restrictive layer or water table was reached down to 60 inches (maximum depth of the auger). Borings 1 and 2 were found to be fine sand down to 56" which weak to moderate structure and slight to moderate cementation. 16-40" was slightly restrictive to groundwater percolation with a non-restrictive layer beneath. Borings 3 and 4 were silt loam from 0" to 30" and 0" to 32", respectively, followed by silty clay to 60". The structure was weak, friable, and slightly plastic in the silt loam layer and moderate to strong blocky and not restrictive in the silty clay layer. Data from that investigation including boring locations are attached at the end of this report.

No subsurface explorations were made during this recent site assessment, but surface conditions appeared unchanged since 2005. 1 to 2 feet of soil (fine sand) was exposed at a road cut near the east side of the property (see Image 2). Discontinuous iron-cemented sand also observed in some places (see Image 3). Iron cemented layers may be laterally extensive where seasonal or perennial perched water tables may form. Perched groundwater may also be present where silty clay soils are present. As noted later in this report we recommend

⁴ Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Web Soil Survey. Available online at: https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/ Page 3 of 15

subsurface explorations and testing to provide geotechnical data to further evaluate grading plans (cuts and fills), compaction, storm water systems, and slope stability, for example.

Slope and water drainage patterns

Figure 9 shows existing topographic contours. Slopes generally descend from north to south and include broad north to south oriented ridges and swales. The majority upper, western part of the site is fairly flat but with rolling hill topography (see Images 4 and 5). Approximately 100 feet from the east property line slopes increase to 45-60% (see Image 4). According to the USGS Topographic Map for the area, a perennial stream is at the bottom of this steep slope which extends off site.

Based on LIDAR imagery (Figure 6) and on-site observations of site topography, water appears to flow from northeast to southwest toward the Pacific Ocean and Netarts Bay. The USGS Topo 7.5-minute map for Netarts, Oregon indicates the presence of an unnamed stream or creek runs that through the center of the subject property from north to south. A broad north-south oriented valley-like depression or seasonal swale was observed there during the site assessment but no water present. Another unnamed creek is mapped at the foot of the slope just east of the property. This appears to be a tributary of the north branch of Fall Creek which drains into the Pacific Ocean to the southwest. No standing or flowing water was observed anywhere on site during the site assessment. The National Flood Insurance program maps this area "undetermined by possible flood hazard"⁵. The site does not appear to be prone to flooding.

Landslide inventory and identification of visible landslide activity in the immediate area According to DOGAMI Statewide Landslide Information Layer for Oregon (SLIDO⁶) and mapping by Schlicker and others⁷ (1972), a large area of landslide topography is present at the eastern edge of the property (See Figure 7 – Landslide Map). No landslide topography or obvious indications of slope instability or landslide activity was observed during the site reconnaissance. Conifer trees were dominantly straight throughout the site and the ground did not appear to be slumping. No scarps from recent landslide activity were observed. No cracks were observed in the foundation of neighboring properties from Highland Drive.

History of dune erosion

Based on review of the Oregon HazVu: Statewide Geohazards Viewer (DOGAMI, <u>https://gis.dogami.oregon.gov/hazvu/</u>), this site is not located in a coastal erosion hazard area. No evidence of dune erosion was identified on the site, but coastal erosion is rated between low and very high closer to the ocean west of the site⁸. Figure 8 – Coastal Erosion Hazard Map shows the risk of coastal erosion for the area.

During the winter of 1997-1998, an active beach margin was eroded at the base of the dune complex where the nearby Capes Subdivision is located west of the site. According to Wes Greenwood's 2005 geologic hazard report⁹, the erosion allowed storm generated waves to

⁵ National Flood Insurance Program, Federal Emergency Management Agency. 1978. Flood Insurance Rate Map for Tillamook County, Oregon (Unincorporated Areas) Community Panel Number 410196 0165 A.

⁶ Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries. SLIDO: Statewide Landslide Information Layer for Oregon. Web. Retrieved from: https://gis.dogami.oregon.gov/slido/

⁷Schlicker, H.G., Deacon, R.J., Beaulieu, J.D. and G.W. Olcott, 1972, Engineering Hazard Map of the Nehalem Quadrangle, Oregon, Scale 1:62,500 <u>in</u>: Environmental Geology of the Coastal Region of Tillamook and Clatsop Counties, Oregon, Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries, Bulletin 74.

⁸ Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries. Oregon HazVu: Statewide Geohazards Viewer. Web. Retrieved from: https://gis.dogami.oregon.gov/hazvu/

⁹ Greenwood, W. 2005. Preliminary Geologic Report for a Proposed 85 Lot Subdivision. Environmental Management Systems, Inc. Milwaukie, OR

erode a foredune that was located at the base of the large, upslope dune complex. A large, slow moving landslide mass approximately 500 feet wide and 900 feet long resulted and imperiled homes constructed on the upper, west portion of the dune complex, located directly upslope from the landslide scarp. Engineering measures such as soil pins have been placed along the scarp to stabilize the existing dwellings. However, the toe of the landslide has not been protected and future storms may further erode the beach margin and activate another landslide.

Recommended development standards

Development density

Development density should be consistent with Tillamook County's Zoning Ordinance for Residential Oceanside Zone.

Locations for structures and roads

Setback of home structures from roads, property lines, and other structures should abide by Tillamook County's Zoning Ordinance for the ROS zone (Section 3.310(4)(d-g)). Figure 10 - C onceptual Site Plan shows the proposed limits of the 67 lots and street locations. Buildings should be set back at least 50 feet from the steep slope break in the eastern part of the site. Development on slopes greater than 50% should be avoided.

Land grading practices, including standards for cuts and fills

Grading on the upper, western part of the lot is proposed to reduce slopes if necessary for development. A topographic survey should be done to verify current slope conditions across the site prior to the development of a grading plan. Figure 10 – Conceptual Site Plan shows proposed topographic contours and is based on the prior survey. Engineered retaining wall(s) along the eastern steep slope or elsewhere may be necessary for development. Specifications for grading including cuts and fills, soil compaction and drainage, will be provided in a geotechnical report following recommended subsurface explorations across the site.

Vegetation removal and re-vegetation practices

Vegetation should be maintained as much as possible where construction is proposed. Clearing of the easternmost part of the lot where the steepest slopes are located should be avoided and reserved as an open space.

Foundation design

Home structures can be placed on typical spread footings where excavated subgrade should consist of medium stiff to hard soil or weathered bedrock and including removal of organic topsoil and undocumented fill if present. Significantly deeper footings or foundation supported on piles may be necessary in steeper slope (> 29%) areas.

Road design

Road design should be consistent with Tillamook County Development Standards.

Management of storm water run-off during and after construction

Runoff and erosion should be controlled during and after construction to prevent erosion or create unstable soil conditions at the site. During construction, silt fences should be placed around the construction area, and wattles should be placed at the base of slopes to treat runoff. Exposed soil should be covered with straw during construction and immediately replanted afterward to prevent sheet and rill erosion. Storm water management recommendations for runoff from roof, driveways, and other impervious surface on each lot and the new streets will be evaluated following additional geotechnical investigations that are recommended. The conceptual storm water plan shown on Figure 10 assumes that stormwater conveyance pipes

Page 5 of 15
will be installed under new roads and directed to a stormwater attenuation pond in the southern portion of the site.

Geotechnical report

Geotechnical investigations are recommended to provide additional information needed for final design. The results will be included in a future geotechnical report for submittal to Tillamook County. The investigations will include a detailed topographic map of the site that will be used to refine the grading plan. Subsurface explorations and testing should be completed to characterize soil, bedrock and groundwater conditions across the site. This information is needed to further evaluate seismic design criteria, slope stability, grading plans, specifications for cuts and fills, retaining wall design, groundwater and storm water management plans.

Summary findings and conclusions

The following addresses each of the summary findings and conclusions required by TCLUO Section 4.130(8):

- a. Type of proposed use and adverse effects it might have on the surrounding areas
- b. Hazards to life, public and private property, and the natural environment that may be caused by the proposed use
- c. Methods for protecting the surrounding area from adverse effects of the development
- d. Temporary and permanent stabilization programs and the planned maintenance of new and existing vegetation
- e. The proposed development is adequately protected from any reasonably foreseeable hazards including but not limited to geologic hazards, wind erosion, undercutting and flooding
- f. The proposed development is designed to minimize adverse environmental effects

The subject property is located on an undeveloped "stable dune" hillside dominated by a mix of young conifers and dense understory brush. The site is about 0.5 miles east of the Pacific Ocean and is not within the coastal erosion hazard zone or tsunami inundation zone. Evidence that the site is unstable was not observed during the site assessment, although development on the steepest slopes will require proper design and construction to maintain slope stability.

Both a topographic survey and professional land survey should be conducted prior to construction to accurately delineate setbacks and steep slope breaks. Geotechnical investigations and a geotechnical report are recommended to provide information needed to further evaluate grading plans, lot and street layout, slope stability, storm water management, and site specific standards for design and construction. The future scope of work will include review of subsurface data and reports from the Capes Subdivision, nearby water tanks, as well as geotechnical hole, monitoring well, and water well reports available from the Oregon Department of Water Resources.

It is my opinion that a 67-lot subdivision can safely be developed. Additional recommendations and site specific standards will be provided in the geotechnical report that incorporates the recommendations summarized above and will further address relevant geologic hazards, storm water and vegetation management.

Limitations

The opinions and recommendations contained in this report are not intended as a warranty but are offered to assist you in the planning and design process. The report is based on field observations and background review only. Subsurface explorations, soils testing, and geologic

and engineering analysis may be necessary to confirm our interpretation of subsurface conditions and more fully develop the required level of detail and engineering for design and construction of a home structure.

DISCLOSURE: The information and statements in this report are true and accurate to the best of our knowledge. Neither Environmental Management Systems, Inc., nor the undersigned have any economic interests in the project.

Thank you for your business, and we look forward to assisting you to achieve your development objectives.

Sincerely,



John Jenkins, CEG Oregon Certified Engineering Geologist No. E1119

Enclosed: 2005 Boring Log Data Ground Level Color Photographs Figure 1 - Tax Lot Map Figure 2 – 2017 Aerial Map Figure 3 – USGS Topographic Map Figure 4 - USGS Geology Map Figure 5 – NRCS Soils Map Figure 6 - Lidar Map Figure 7 - Landslide Map Figure 8 - Coast Dune Map Figure 9 - Existing Conditions

Figure 10 – Conceptual Site Plan



Measured slopes and soil boring locations (1-4) from the 2005 geologic hazard assessment

Boring logs (page 1 of 2) from the 2005 geologic hazard assessment

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS, INC. - SOILS BORING DATA [Milwaukie, OR Office) 4080 SE International Way, Suite #B-112, Milwaukie, OR 97222-8867 Phone: (503) 353-9691 FAX: (503) 353-9695 (Bay City, OR Office) 7304 Baseline Road, Bay City, OR 97107 Phone: (503)-812-9655 FAX: (503) 377-0324 \$ 20 APPLICANT: Myhre Group Architects PREVIOUS EVALUATION: () Yes (X) No WORK LD. #: 05-5072 ADDRESS OF SITE: Undeveloped Parcel, Oceanside, OR SUBDIVISION: Date: 9-November 2005 16 November 2005 LEGAL DESCRIPTION: TOWNSHIP: 15. SECTION: 30DC, RANGE: 10W. TAXLOT: 200; OTHER: SOIL PROFILES Redoximorphic Features Concentration Depletion Matrix . Coarse Test Hole Depth (In.) Texture Color Color Color Fragments Roots Structure & Other Comments 书I Many Weak, granular, small faminar lenses of Feo2 0-16" fS 10YR 7/3 (5YR4/6); slight cementation; Not Restrictive · fine Evaluated Many Moderate, granular; moderate cementation; 9 November 2005 16"-40" fS 5YR 4/6 fine Slight restriction to groundwater percolation Weak, granular; slight cementation; Not Few 40"-56" is. Restrictive to groundwater percolation .10YR 7/4 fine Slope: 12% ESD ≥ 56" No Temp. Perching H2O → 56" #2 Many . Weak, granular, small laminar lenses of Feo2 0-16" fS 10YR 7/3 fine (5YR476); slight cementation; Not Restrictive Many Moderate, granular; moderate cementation; Evaluated . 9 November 2005 16"-38" fS 5YR 4/6 fine Slight restriction to groundwater percolation Weak, granular, slight cementation; Not Restrictive to groundwater percolation Few 38"-56" fS 10YR.7/4. fine ESD ≥ 56" No Temp. Perching H2O → 56" Slope: 8% #3 Many Weak, friable; Slightly plastic; Common 0-30" 10YR4/3 organic inclusions at surface; fine S SI coarse throughout soil matrix & fine Evaluated Many -> Few Moderate to Strong Blocky; Slight to 16 November 2005 moderate plasticity; Strong clay films; Not coarse 30" - 60" SiC1 10YR6/4 restrictive to groundwater percolation & fine ESD $\geq 60^{\circ}$ No Temp. Perching H₂O $\rightarrow 60^{\circ}$ Slope: 20% Weak, friable; Slightly plastic; Common #4 Many organic inclusions at surface; fine S. 10YR4/3 coarse 0-32** SI throughout soil matrix & fine Many Evaluated Moderate to Strong Blocky; Slight to - Few 16 November 2005 moderate plasticity; Strong clay films; Not 32" - 60" SiC 10YR6/4 coarse & fine | restrictive to groundwater percolation ESD \geq 60" No Temp. Perching H₂O \rightarrow 60 Slope: 18%

04/28/2006 FKI IU:II FAY 003 PAR 2011 HHLK LIIC

的TD/079

Boring logs (page 2 of 2) from the 2005 geologic hazard assessment



的TI110号



Image 1 Sewer and water are available for the site. A Netarts water district water line runs through the northern part of the site.



Image 2: 1-2 feet of soil was exposed at a roadcut approximately in the middle north of the site. Soil on the site is deep, fine sand with slight to moderate cementation.



Image 3: Iron cemented sand was observed in some places. This photo was taken facing west near the top of the steep slope in the eastern part of the site.



Image 4: Most of site is fairly flat (<19%) but with variable slopes and rolling hill topography.



Image5: Most of site is fairly flat (<19%) but with variable slopes and rolling hill topography.



Image 6: A view of the site facing east. This photo was taken from the top of the steep slope in the eastern part of the site.





















Tillamook County



DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BUILDING, PLANNING & ON-SITE SANITATION SECTIONS

201 Laurel Av Tillamook, Oregon 9

Land of Cheese, Trees and Ocean Breeze

Building (503) 842-Planning (503) 842-On-Site Sanitation (503) 842-FAX (503) 842-Toll Free 1-(800) 488-

nuary 21, 2021 DATE: Tillamook County One-Stop Permit Counter TO: FROM: NETARTS WATER DISTRICT RE: Sewer Water Availability (Circle) WATER AVAILABILITY VALID FOR SIX MONTHS Dear Sir: I confirm that Security/Water is available to the following lot(s) within our district: 15 Range 10 W Section 30 DC Tax Lot 00200 Township: VALON HEIGHTS LLC According to our records, the legal owner is: 41901 WY 30 ASTORIA 103 LD COMMENTS 's and Ispor Reall This letter shall not create a liability on the part of Tillamook County, or by an officer, or employee thereof, for the services described above. Signature and Title of Authorized Representative (503) 842-9405 (503) 842-9380 FAX Property Owner CC:

G:\Planning\Forms\Sewer-Water.Ltr

Preliminary Plat Application Narrative Supplement for Second Addition to Avalon Heights

The initial application narrative either explained how the application met the preliminary plat submission requirements by describing how the various facets or design features were consistent with the criteria and/or standards, or referred the reader to the appropriate drawing sheet in the application drawing set where an illustration and labeled dimension would be more effective. This supplement addresses the preliminary plat approval criteria of land division ordinance Section 070.

SECTION 070: PRELIMINARY PLAT APPROVAL CRITERIA

(1) Approval Criteria. The Approval Authority (Director for partitions and Planning Commission for subdivisions) may approve, approve with conditions or deny a preliminary plat. The Approval Authority decision shall be based on findings of compliance with all of the following approval criteria:

(a) The land division application shall conform to the requirements of this ordinance;

Applicant Response: The initial narrative demonstrated that all required items of Section 060 are included on the preliminary plat drawings or otherwise attached to the application package. These include lot sizes, block lengths, utility sizes and locations, fire hydrants and street lights, road widths, required setbacks and conceptual building foot prints, etc. We believe these items make the application conform to the ordinance requirements.

(b)All proposed lots, blocks, and proposed land uses shall conform to the applicable provisions of the Land Use Ordinance – Article 3 Zone Regulations and the standards in Section 150 of this ordinance;

Applicant response: The proposed development is to accommodate the construction of single family dwellings and the subject property is zoned Residential Oceanside where such structures are permitted outright. Lot sizes vary from near the minimum area size to over 20,000 square feet as required my Tillamook County Land Use Ordinance 3.310 to accommodate the site slopes.

(c)Access to individual lots, and public improvements necessary to serve the development, including but not limited to water, sewer and streets, shall conform to the standards in Sections 150 and 160 of this ordinance;

Applicant Response: All proposed lots will be accessed by private roads or shared driveways to be constructed by the applicant. All utilities will be located within the right-of-way/easement

with stubs to every lot. A separate series of easements will provide for storm water conveyance and infiltration. Please see Sheet 4.

(d)The proposed plat name is not already recorded for another subdivision, does not bear a name similar to or pronounced the same as the name of any other subdivision within the County, unless the land platted is contiguous to and platted by the same party that platted the subdivision bearing that name or unless the party files and records the consent of the party that platted the contiguous subdivision bearing that name;

Applicant Response: Because of the original Avalon Heights subdivision is abuts the subject property to the west, this proposal is named "Second Addition to Avalon Heights" which has been_suggested previously by the county surveyor.

(e)The proposed streets, utilities, and surface water drainage facilities conform to Tillamook County's adopted master plans and applicable engineering standards and, within Unincorporated Community Boundaries, allow for transitions to existing and potential future development on adjacent lands. The preliminary plat shall identify all proposed public improvements and dedications;

Applicant Response: Review of the preliminary plat drawing set all roads are designed to county standards, but will remain privately maintained, as will the storm water management system. Water and sewer facilities will be dedicated to the Netarts Water District and the Netarts-Oceanside Sanitary District, respectively. See attached letter and certificate. An access easement will be granted to provide access to Tax Lots 100 and 101 to the east. All other adjacent property is zoned Forestry.

(f)All proposed private common areas and improvements, if any, are identified on the preliminary plat and maintenance of such areas is assured through appropriate legal instrument;

Applicant Response: A Home Owners Association or similar organization will be formed and its responsibilities and authorities documented and recorded to provide a mechanism and financial resources to maintain the private storm water system and roads.

(g)Provisions for access to and maintenance of off-right-of-way drainage, if any;

Applicant Response: Access to the conveyance swale and infiltration facility is provided by W Grand Avenue and Roaring Tide Loop. See Sheet 4.

(h)Evidence that any required State and Federal permits, as applicable, have been obtained or can reasonably be obtained prior to development; and

Applicant Response: There are no portions of the site that would require federal or state permits. Please see the letter from the Department of State Lands attached to this document.

i)Evidence that improvements or conditions required by the road authority, Tillamook County, special districts, utilities, and/or other service providers, as applicable to the project, have been or can be met, including but not limited to: (i) Water Department/Utility District Letter which states that the partition or subdivision is either entirely excluded from the district or is included within the district for purposes of receiving services and subjecting the partition or subdivision to the fees and other charges of the district

Applicant Response: The attached letter and certificate from the Netarts-Oceanside Sanitary District and the Netarts Water District demonstrate that water and sewer facilities can be installed and serve the proposed development. The power company was contacted by the applicant and they indicated that they would prefer to receive the application directly from the County, review the proposal, and make its determination at that time.

(ii) Subsurface sewage permit(s) or site evaluation approval(s) from the appropriate agency.

Applicant Response: This requirement does not apply because the development will be served by public sewer.





Second Avalon Heights Subdivision

Transportation Impact Study

Oceanside, Oregon

Date: April 1, 2021

Prepared for: Bill Hughes

Prepared by: Melissa Webb, PE Todd Mobley, PE

Table of Contents

Table of Figures	3
Table of Tables	3
Executive Summary	4
Project Description Introduction Location Description Vicinity Roadways Study Intersections	5 5 6 7
Site Trips Trip Generation Trip Distribution	9 9 9
Traffic Volumes Existing Conditions Background Conditions Buildout Conditions	12 12 13 13
Safety Analysis Crash History Review Warrant Analysis Left-Turn Lane Warrants Right-Turn Lane Warrants Preliminary Traffic Signal Warrants Sight Distance Evaluation	17 17 17 17 17 17
Operational Analysis Performance Standards Delay & Capacity Analysis	18 18 19
Conclusions	19
Appendix	20



Table of Figures

Figure 1: Project Location (image from Google Earth)	6
Figure 2: Vicinity Map	8
Figure 3: Net Trip Distribution & Assignment	11
Figure 4: Existing Traffic Volumes	14
Figure 5: Year 2023 Background Traffic Volumes	15
Figure 6: Year 2023 Buildout Traffic Volumes	16

Table of Tables

Table 1: Vicinity Roadway Descriptions	7
Table 2: Study Intersection Descriptions	7
Table 3: Trip Generation Summary	9
Table 4: Capacity Analysis Summary	19



Executive Summary

- 1. The proposed Second Avalon Heights subdivision is located east of Highland Drive W in Oceanside, Oregon. The development will include the construction of 60 single-family housing units on currently undeveloped land.
- 2. The trip generation calculations show that the proposed development is projected to generate up to 59 additional site trips during the evening peak hour and up to 618 additional site trips on a typical weekday.
- 3. Based on the most recent five years of crash data, no significant trends or crash patterns were identified at the study intersection that are indicative of safety concerns. Accordingly, no safety mitigation is recommended per the crash data analysis.
- 4. Adequate sight distance is available, or can be made available (with proper maintenance or removal of roadside vegetation along Highland Drive W), to ensure safe operation for northbound and southbound approaching vehicles at the site access intersection.
- 5. Due to insufficient traffic volumes, preliminary traffic signal warrants are not projected to be met at the unsignalized intersection of OR-131 at Highland Drive W under any of the analysis scenarios. In addition, left-turn lane warrants and right-turn lane warrants are not projected to be met at the study intersection under any of the analysis scenarios.
- 6. All study intersections are currently operating acceptably per ODOT standards and are projected to continue operating acceptably through the 2023 buildout year, regardless of the potential increase in site trip generation upon development of the site. No operational mitigation is necessary or recommended at these intersections.



Project Description

Introduction

The proposed Second Avalon Heights will include the subdivision of a property on tax lot 200 in Oceanside, Oregon. The project will include the construction of 60 single-family housing units on currently undeveloped land. This report addresses the impacts of the proposed subdivision on the nearby street system. Based on correspondence with Tillamook County and ODOT staff, the report conducts safety and capacity/level of service analyses at the following intersections:

1. OR-131 at Highland Drive W

The purpose of this study is to provide an analysis of potential traffic impacts of the proposed Second Avalon Heights subdivision on the surrounding transportation system and to recommend any required mitigative measures. Detailed information on traffic counts, trip generation calculations, safety analyses, and level of service calculations are included in the appendix to this report.

Location Description

The project site is located northeast of the intersection of OR-131 at Highland Drive W in Oceanside, Oregon. The existing tax lot (tax lot 200) is currently undeveloped. The proposed \pm 21-acre development will include the construction of 60 single-family housing units. The development will take vehicular access via a proposed access point along Highland Drive W as well as an extension of Highland Drive W. The project site is shown in Figure 1.





Figure 1: Project Location (image from Google Earth)

Vicinity Roadways

The proposed development is expected to impact two roadways near the site. Table 1 provides a description of each of the vicinity roadways.



Table 1: Vicinity Roadway Descriptions

Street Name	Functional Classification	Cross- Section	Speed (MPH)	Curbs & Sidewalks	On-Street Parking	Bicycle Facilities
OR-131	District Highway	2-3 Lanes	35 Posted	None	Not Permitted	None
Highland Drive W	Local Road	2 Lanes	20 Statutory*	None	Permitted Both Sides	None

*Table Notes: Functional Classification provided by the Oregon Transportation Map*¹ *for Tillamook County and Oregon Highway Plan* **Highland Drive W is an existing unpaved gravel road through a residential area. Applicant plans to pave the roadway as part of the proposed development.*

Study Intersections

Based on the location of the subject property, preliminary calculations of trip generation, and coordination with Tillamook County and ODOT, the intersection of OR-131 at Highland Drive W was identified for analysis. A summarized description of the study intersection is provided in Table 2.

Table 2: Study Intersection Descriptions

Number	Intersection	Geometry	Traffic Control	Phasing/Stopped Approaches
1	OR-131 at Highland Drive W	Three- Legged	Stop-Controlled	WB Stop- Controlled

A vicinity map showing the project site, vicinity streets, and study intersection configurations is shown in Figure 2.



¹ Oregon Department of Transportation Geographic Information Services. *Tillamook County*. Map. 2011 <u>https://digital.osl.state.or.us/islandora/object/osl%3A69512</u>

LEGEND

- STUDY INTERSECTION
 - STOP SIGN
- PROJECT SITE
- COLLECTOR ROADWAY
- LOCAL ROADWAY



(Future site access upon development)





Figure 2 Second Avalon Heights Subdivision 1/29/2021

Site Trips

Trip Generation

The proposed Second Avalon Heights will include the construction of a residential subdivision, consisting of 60 single-family housing units. The site is currently undeveloped.

To estimate the number of trips that will be generated by the proposed development, trip equations from the *Trip Generation Manual*² were used. Data for land use code 210, *Single-Family Detached Housing*, was used to estimate the proposed development's trip generation based on the number of dwelling units.

Based on demographic information provided by the Tillamook County Planning Department, as well as correspondence with ODOT and Tillamook County staff, a "rental rate" reduction in trip generation was applied. According to the *2018 Oceanside Community Plan*³, approximately 10% of residential lots are licensed as vacation rental units and are typically not occupied year-round. When rental units are empty, there would be no vehicle trips applied to the transportation system. While rental units are likely to be fully booked and occupied on weekends, it was assumed that rentals would be only half-booked on weekdays. As a result, a 5% reduction in trip generation volumes was applied to adjust for rental units which are not occupied during the weekday, and thus not contributing vehicle trips to the transportation system.

The trip generation calculations show that the proposed development is projected to generate up to 59 additional site trips during the evening peak hour and up to 618 additional site trips on a typical weekday. The trip generation calculations are summarized in Table 3 and detailed calculation worksheets are provided in the appendix.

Land Use	ITE Code	Size	Evening Peak Hour		Weekday	
			In	Out	Total	Total
Single-Family Detached Housing	210	60 units	39	23	62	650
Rental Rate Reduction (5%)			2	1	3	32
Net Increase			37	22	59	618

Table 3: Trip Generation Summary

Trip Distribution

The directional distribution of site trips to and from the proposed site was estimated based on the locations of likely trip origins and destinations, was well as locations of major transportation facilities in the site vicinity. The following trip distribution was estimated and used for analysis:

- Approximately 50 percent of site trips will travel to/from the north along OR-131;
- Approximately 50 percent of site trips will travel to/from the south along OR-131;



² Institute of Transportation Engineers, *Trip Generation Manual*, 10th Edition, 2017.

³ Oceanside Neighborhood Association, *Oceanside Community Plan*, 2018.

While some site trips coming to and from the north could potentially use Grand Avenue to access the proposed subdivision, Grand Avenue has deteriorated due to small creeks of water carving their way throughout the road. Highland Drive W, while an unpaved gravel roadway, is shorter and offers a smoother ride. In addition, the applicant plans to pave Highland Drive W as part of the proposed development. Based on these observed roadway characteristics, it was assumed that all site trips would use Highland Drive W as the main roadway to access the Second Avalon Heights subdivision.

The trip distribution and assignment for the net site trips generated during the evening peak hour are shown in Figure 3.



LEGEND

$\langle \xrightarrow{\times \times \%} \rangle$	PERCENT	OF PROJ	IECT TRIPS
1	NET TRIP G	ENERATIO	N
	IN	OUT	TOTAL
PM	37	22	59









SITE TRIP DISTRIBUTION & ASSIGNMENT

Proposed Development Plan - Net Site Trips PM Peak Hour Figure 3 Second Avalon Heights Subdivision 3/25/2021

Traffic Volumes

Existing Conditions

Traffic counts were conducted at the study intersection on Tuesday, June 9, 2020, from 3:00 PM to 6:00 PM. The intersection of OR-131 at Highland Drive W is a three-legged intersection; however, Capes Drive is located across and offset from Highland Drive W. Traffic associated with Capes Drive was included as part of the traffic count due to the proximity of the intersection. Turning movements and volumes were separated between the two intersections to determine the existing volumes at the study intersection.

The traffic counts at the study intersection were collected after the COVID-19 viral pandemic had become a public health concern throughout the state of Oregon. As a result, there has been a noticeable decline in traffic volumes on the transportation system that are atypical of normal conditions. In order to reflect normal traffic conditions without the impacts of the COVID-19 viral pandemic, traffic counts were adjusted.

With guidance from Tillamook County and ODOT staff, two methods were used to develop the 2021 existing 30th highest hour turn movement volumes. The turn movement volumes from each method were compared and the highest turn movement volumes were used for a conservative analysis. The following methodology was used:

- <u>Method 1</u>: Counts taken on Saturday, July 15, 2006, were adjusted to bring the counts to 2021 existing 30th highest hour turn movement volumes. At the request of ODOT staff, a linear growth rate of one percent per year was applied to the through movements along OR-131 over a 15-year period to determine year 2021 existing volumes. For all other turning movements, a linear growth rate of one-half percent per year was applied to the 2006 traffic volumes over a 15-year period to determine year 2021 existing volumes (refer to the *Background Conditions* section regarding the methodology used for determining traffic growth). In addition, ODOT staff requested that a seasonal adjustment factor (SAF) not be applied to the 2006 counts.
- <u>Method 2</u>:
 - Existing Counts: As described above, traffic counts were collected at the study intersection of OR-131 at Highland Drive W on Tuesday, June 9, 2020. A linear growth rate of one percent per year was applied to the through movements along OR-131 over a one-year period to determine year 2021 existing volumes. For all other turning movements, a linear growth rate of one-half percent per year was applied to the traffic volumes over a one-year period to determine year 2021 existing volumes
 - <u>COVID-19 Adjustment Factor</u>: A COVID-19 adjustment factor was calculated by comparing 2019 and 2020 traffic counts collected at the Rockaway ATR 29-001 and Port Orford ATR 08-009. Based on the average difference in volumes, an adjustment factor of 1.45 was applied to all turning movements to bring the existing June 2020 counts to pre-COVID conditions.
 - <u>Seasonal Adjustment Factor</u>: Since OR-131 is under the jurisdiction of ODOT, procedures described in ODOT's *Analysis Procedures Manual* ⁴ (APM) were used to seasonally adjust existing traffic



⁴ Oregon Department of Transportation, Analysis Procedures Manual Version 2, December 2019.
volumes to reflect the 30th-highest hour in a typical year. Using a map of seasonal trends, this portion of OR-131 was determined to show a Coastal Destination Route trend. A seasonal adjustment factor (SAF) of 1.2576 was subsequently calculated and applied to the June 2020 COVID-adjusted through volumes along OR-131.

After comparing adjusted counts using the two methods outlined above, Method 1 produced the highest turn movement volumes. Therefore, these turning movements were used for a conservative analysis.

The existing adjusted evening peak hour traffic volumes at the study intersection is shown in Figure 4 .

Background Conditions

To provide analysis of the impact of the proposed development, an estimate of future traffic volumes is required. A growth rate must be applied to COVID-adjusted traffic volumes in order to calculate year 2023 background volumes.

Growth rates for through traffic on OR-131 were derived using ODOT's 2038 Future Volume Table. Data corresponding to Milepost 2.14 (ODOT Highway 131) was used for the intersection of OR-131 at Highland Drive W.

A growth factor of 1.0059 was applied to OR-131 through volumes over a two-year period to determine year 2023 background volumes.

For non-ODOT facilities, a growth rate of one-half percent per year was applied to the existing traffic volumes over a two-year period to determine year 2023 background volumes.

Figure **5** shows the projected year 2023 background traffic volumes at the study intersections during the evening peak hour.

Buildout Conditions

Peak hour trips calculated to be generated by the proposed development, as described earlier within the *Site Trips* section, were added to the projected year 2023 background traffic volumes to obtain the expected year 2023 site buildout volumes.

Figure 6 shows year 2023 buildout traffic volumes at the study intersections during the evening peak hour.









TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Year 2021 Existing Adjusted Conditions PM Peak Hour Figure 4 Second Avalon Heights Subdivision 2/1/2021







TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Year 2023 Background Conditions PM Peak Hour Figure 5 Second Avalon Heights Subdivision 2/1/2021







TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Year 2023 Buildout Conditions PM Peak Hour Figure 6 Second Avalon Heights Subdivision 3/25/2021

Safety Analysis

Crash History Review

Using data obtained from ODOT's Crash Data System, a review of approximately five years of the most recent available crash history (January 2014 through December 2018) was performed at the study intersection. The crash data was evaluated based on the number of crashes, the type of collisions, and the severity of the collisions.

The intersection of OR-131 at Highland Drive W had no reported crashes during the analysis period, whereby no significant trends or crash patterns were identified at the study intersection that are indicative of safety concerns. Accordingly, no safety mitigation is recommended per the crash data analysis.

Warrant Analysis

Left-turn lane warrants, right-turn lane warrants, and preliminary traffic signal warrants were examined for the intersection of OR-131 at Highland Drive W.

Left-Turn Lane Warrants

A left-turn refuge lane is primarily a safety consideration for the major street, removing left-turning vehicles from the through traffic stream. The left-turn lane warrants were examined using methodologies provided in the ODOT's *Analysis Procedures Manual* (APM). Left-turn lane warrants were evaluated based on the number of advancing and opposing vehicles, number of turning vehicles, travel speed, and the number of through lanes.

Due to insufficient traffic volumes, left-turn lane warrants are not projected to be met at the intersection of OR-131 at Highland Drive W under any of the analysis scenarios.

Right-Turn Lane Warrants

Due to insufficient traffic volumes, right-turn lane warrants are not projected to be met at the intersection of OR-131 at Highland Drive W under any of the analysis scenarios.

Preliminary Traffic Signal Warrants

Preliminary traffic signal warrants were examined for the unsignalized intersection of OR-131 at Highland Drive W to determine whether the installation of a new traffic signal will be warranted at the intersection upon completion of the proposed development:

Due to insufficient traffic volumes, traffic signal warrants are not projected to be met at the intersection of OR-131 at Highland Drive W under any of the analysis scenarios.

Sight Distance Evaluation

Intersection sight distance was examined for the proposed site access intersection of Highland Drive W at Roaring Tide Loop. Sight distance was measured and evaluated in accordance with standards established in *A Policy of Geometric Design of Highways and Streets*.⁵ According to AASHTO, the driver's eye is assumed to be 15



⁵ American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets*, 6th Edition, 2011.

feet from the near edge of the nearest travel lane of the intersecting street and at a height of 3.5 feet above the minor-street approach pavement. The vehicle driver's eye-height along the major-street approach is assumed to be 3.5 feet above the cross-street pavement.

Stopping sight distance is considered the minimum requirement to ensure safe operation of the site access. This distance allows the driver of a vehicle traveling on the major-street to react to a turning vehicle or other object in the roadway and come to a complete stop to avoid a collision. To ensure safe operation of a site access, the extent of available intersection sight distance must at least equal the minimum stopping sight distance.

Based on an assumed statutory speed of 20 mph for an unpaved gravel roadway in a residential area, the minimum recommended intersection sight distance at the site access location is 225 feet, while the required minimum stopping sight distance to ensure safe operation of the access is 115 feet. Sight distances at the access location were measured to be in excess of 350 feet to the north, and approximately 120 feet to the south (limited by vegetation and vertical curve). If vegetation is cleared, sight distance can be improved to approximately 140 feet to the south.

Provided that the development maintains the minimum acceptable intersection sight distance triangles, including the removal and/or proper maintenance of obstructing roadside vegetation along Highland Drive W, adequate sight distance can be provided to allow safe operation of the site access intersection. Thus, no other sight distance mitigation is necessary or recommended.

Operational Analysis

A capacity and delay analysis were conducted for each of the study intersections per the unsignalized intersection analysis methodologies in the *Highway Capacity Manual* (HCM)⁶. Intersections are generally evaluated based on the average control delay experienced by vehicles and are assigned a grade according to their operation. The level of service (LOS) of an intersection can range from LOS A, which indicates very little or no delay experienced by vehicles a high degree of congestion and delay. The volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratio is a measure that compares the traffic volumes (demand) against the available capacity of an intersection.

Performance Standards

The study intersection of OR-131 at Highland Drive W is under the jurisdiction of ODOT. The applicable minimum operation standard for this facility is established under the *Oregon Highway Plan*⁷ and is based on the v/c ratio of the intersection. According to the *Oregon Highway Plan*, OR-131 is a district route located outside any urban growth boundaries and within an unincorporated community and has a maximum allowable v/c ratio of 0.80. The above-mentioned intersection along OR-131 was analyzed according to this standard.



⁶ Transportation Research Board, *Highway Capacity Manual 6th Edition*, 2016.

⁷ Oregon Department of Transportation, 1999 Oregon Highway Plan: Including amendments November 1999 through May 2015, 1999

Delay & Capacity Analysis

The LOS, delay, and v/c results of the capacity analysis are shown in Table 4 for the evening peak period. Detailed calculations as well as tables showing the relationship between delay and LOS are included in the appendix to this report.

	E	vening Peak Ho	bur
	LOS	Delay (s)	v / c
1. OR-131 at Highland	Drive W		
2021 Existing Conditions	В	10	0.00
2023 Background Conditions	В	10	0.00
2023 Buildout Conditions	В	11	0.05
2. Highland Drive W at Roaring T	ide Loop (site ac	cess)	
2021 Existing Conditions	-	-	-
2023 Background Conditions	-	-	-
2023 Buildout Conditions	А	9	0.03

Table 4: Capacity Analysis Summary

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. **BOLDED** results indicate operation above acceptable jurisdictional standards

All study intersections are currently operating acceptably per ODOT standards and are projected to continue operating acceptably though the 2023 buildout year, regardless of the potential increase in site trip generation upon development of the site. No operational mitigation is necessary or recommended at these intersections.

Conclusions

Based on the most recent five years of crash data, no significant trends or crash patterns were identified at the intersection of OR-131 at Highland Drive W that are indicative of safety concerns. Accordingly, no safety mitigation is recommended per the crash data analysis.

Adequate sight distance is available or can be made available (with proper maintenance or removal of roadside vegetation along Highland Drive W), to ensure safe operation for northbound and southbound approaching vehicles at the site access intersection.

Due to insufficient traffic volumes, preliminary traffic signal warrants are not projected to be met at the unsignalized intersection of OR-131 at Highland Drive W under any of the analysis scenarios. In addition, left-turn lane warrants and right-turn lane warrants are not projected to be met at the study intersection under any of the analysis scenarios.

All study intersections are currently operating acceptably per ODOT standards and are projected to continue operating acceptably through the 2023 buildout year, regardless of the potential increase in site trip generation upon development of the site. No operational mitigation is necessary or recommended at these intersections.



Appendix





TRIP GENERATION CALCULATIONS

Land Use: Single-Family Detached Housing Land Use Code: 210 Setting/Location General Urban/Suburban Variable: Dwelling Units Variable Value: 60

AM PEAK HOUR

PM PEAK HOUR

Trip Equation: Ln(T)=0.96Ln(X)+0.20

Trip Equation: T = 0.71(X) + 4.80

_	Enter	Exit	Total
Directional Distribution	25%	75%	
Trip Ends	12	35	47

	Enter	Exit	Total
Directional Distribution	63%	37%	
Trip Ends	39	23	62

WEEKDAY

Trip Equation: Ln(T)=0.92Ln(X)+2.71

	Enter	Exit	Total
Directional Distribution	50%	50%	
Trip Ends	325	325	650

SATURDAY

Trip Equation: Ln(T)=0.94Ln(X)+2.56

	Enter	Exit	Total
Directional Distribution	50%	50%	
Trip Ends	304	304	608

Source: Trip Generation Manual, Tenth Edition



Report generated on 7/27/2006

SOURCE: Quality Counts, LLC (http://www.qualitycounts.net)

Gary's Traffic Data 310 Pitney Lane, Unit 39 Junction City, OR 97448 Fast, Accurate, High Quality Counts

Weather: Cloudy, showers 53 degrees F. Collected By: G.Mc.

File Name : OCNSD Hwy. 131 @ Highland connection Site Code : Ocnsd. Start Date : 6/9/2020 Page No : 1

								G	Groups	Printed	l- Uns	hifted									
		ŀ	IWY 1	31			SC	UTH /	AVE.			ŀ	IWY 1	31			CA	PES D	RIVE		
		Fr	om No	orth	-		F	rom E	ast			Fr	om So	outh			F	rom W	est		
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
03:00 PM	0	9	1	0	10	1	0	0	0	1	3	17	0	0	20	1	0	0	0	1	32
03:15 PM	0	13	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	3	17	0	0	20	1	0	2	0	3	36
03:30 PM	0	11	0	0	11	1	0	0	0	1	3	8	0	0	11	0	0	1	0	1	24
03:45 PM	0	11	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	15	0	0	1	0	1	27
Total	0	44	1	0	45	2	0	0	0	2	9	57	0	0	66	2	0	4	0	6	119
04:00 PM	0	17	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	14	0	0	3	0	3	34
04:15 PM	0	13	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	19	0	0	20	0	0	1	0	1	34
04:30 PM	0	12	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	0	0	11	0	0	2	0	2	25
04:45 PM	0	12	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	3	12	0	0	15	1	0	2	0	3	30
Total	0	54	0	0	54	0	0	0	0	0	5	55	0	0	60	1	0	8	0	9	123
05:00 PM	0	11	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	13	0	0	1	0	1	25
05:15 PM	0	10	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	18	0	0	20	0	0	1	0	1	31
05:30 PM	0	8	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	25
05:45 PM	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	19	0	0	22	0	0	1	0	1	26
Total	0	32	0	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	5	67	0	0	72	0	0	3	0	3	107
																1					
Grand Total	0	130	1	0	131	2	0	0	0	2	19	179	0	0	198	3	0	15	0	18	349
Apprch %	0	99.2	0.8	0		100	0	0	0		9.6	90.4	0	0		16.7	0	83.3	0		
Total %	0	37.2	0.3	0	37.5	0.6	0	0	0	0.6	5.4	51.3	0	0	56.7	0.9	0	4.3	0	5.2	

Gary's Traffic Data 310 Pitney Lane, Unit 39 Junction City, OR 97448 Fast, Accurate, High Quality Counts

File Name : OCNSD Hwy. 131 @ Highland connection

lota

Site Code : Ocnsd. Start Date : 6/9/2020 Page No : 2 HWY 131 In Total 131 313 Out 182 <u>1 130</u> Right Thru ↓ 0 0 Left Peds Ļ North hru 6/9/2020 03:00 PM 6/9/2020 05:45 PM Eett Out 50 Unshifted Peds Right Thru Peds .eft 19 179 0 0 147 Out 198 345

In

HW/Y 13

Total

Weather: Cloudy, showers 53 degrees F. Collected By: G.Mc.

Gary's Traffic Data 310 Pitney Lane, Unit 39 Junction City, OR 97448

Fast, Accurate, High Quality Counts

Site Code : Ocnsd.

Page No : 3

Start Date : 6/9/2020

File Name : OCNSD Hwy. 131 @ Highland connection

HWY 131 20 ← 179 182 130 131 2 19 **CAPES DRIVE** SOUTH AVE Unshifted 03:00 PM 05:45 PM 15 ▶ 179 198 130 147 North 3 **HWY 131**

Weather: Cloudy, showers 53 degrees F. Collected By: G.Mc.

Gary's Traffic Data 310 Pitney Lane, Unit 39 Junction City, OR 97448

Fast, Accurate, High Quality Counts

Weather: Cloudy, showers 53 degrees F. Collected By: G.Mc. File Name : OCNSD Hwy. 131 @ Highland connection Site Code : Ocnsd. Start Date : 6/9/2020 Page No : 4

		F	IWY 1	31			SC	OUTH A	AVE.			ł	HWY 1	31			CA	PES D	RIVE		
		Fr	om No	orth			F	rom E	ast			Fr	om So	outh			F	rom W	est		
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Int. Tota
Peak Hour A	nalysis	From (03:00 F	PM to 0)5:45 PN	/ - Pea	k 1 of	1													
Peak Hour fo	r Each	Approa	ach Be	gins a	t:																_
	04:00 PM			-		03:00 PM					05:00 PN	1				04:00 PM	I				
+0 mins.	0	17	0	0	17	1	0	0	0	1	0	13	0	0	13	0	0	3	0	3	
+15 mins.	0	13	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	2	18	0	0	20	0	0	1	0	1	
+30 mins.	0	12	0	0	12	1	0	0	0	1	0	17	0	0	17	0	0	2	0	2	
+45 mins.	0	12	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	3	19	0	0	22	1	0	2	0	3	
Total Volume	0	54	0	0	54	2	0	0	0	2	5	67	0	0	72	1	0	8	0	9	
% App. Total	0	100	0	0		100	0	0	0		6.9	93.1	0	0		11.1	0	88.9	0		
PHF	000	794	000	000	794	500	000	000	000	500	417	882	000	000	818	250	000	667	000	750	

Gary's Traffic Data 310 Pitney Lane, Unit 39

Junction City, OR 97448 Fast, Accurate, High Quality Counts

Weather: Cloudy, showers 53 degrees F. Collected By: G.Mc. File Name : OCNSD Hwy. 131 @ Highland connection Site Code : Ocnsd. Start Date : 6/9/2020 Page No : 5



CDS380 01/29/2021

OREGON.. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT DIVISION TRANSPORTATION DATA SECTION - CRASH ANAYLYSIS AND REPORTING UNIT COUNTY ROAD CRASH LISTING HIGHLAND DR (AVALON), MP -99 to 99, 01/01/2014 to 12/31/2018

	S	D M																			
SER#	Ρ	R J	S W DATE	MILEPNT	COUNTY ROADS		INT-TYPE					SPCL USE									
INVEST	ΕA	UI	C O DAY	DIST FROM	FIRST STREET	RD CHAR	(MEDIAN)	INT-REL	OFFRD	WTHR	CRASH	TRLR QTY	MOVE			А	S				
RD DPT	ΕL	G N	H R TIME	INTERSECT	SECOND STREET	DIRECT	LEGS	TRAF-	RNDBT	SURF	COLL	OWNER	FROM	PRTC	INJ	G	E LICNS	PED			
UNLOC?	DC	s v	L K LAT	LONG	LRS	LOCTN	(#LANES)	CONTL	DRVWY	LIGHT	SVRTY	V# TYPE	ТО	P# TYPE	SVRTY	Е	X RES	LOC	ERROR	ACT EVENT	CAUSE

Disclaimer: The information contained in this report is compiled from individual driver and police crash reports submitted to the Oregon Department of Transportation as required in ORS 811.720. The Crash Analysis and Reporting Unit is committed to providing the highest quality crash data to customers. However, because submitted of crash report forms is the responsibility of the individual driver, the Crash Analysis and Reporting Unit can not guarantee that all qualifying crashes are represented nor can assurances be made that all details pertaining to a single crash are accurate. Note: Legislative changes to DMV's vehicle crash reporting requirement, effective 01/01/2004, may result in fewer property damage only crashes being eligible for inclusion in the Statewide Crash Data File.

CDS380	OREGON DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT DIVISION
01/29/2021	TRANSPORTATION DATA SECTION - CRASH ANAYLYSIS AND REPORTING UNIT
	CONTINUOUS SYSTEM CRASH LISTING
131: NETARTS	Highway 131 ALL ROAD TYPES, MP 1.16 to 1.18 01/01/2014 to 12/31/2018, Both Add and Non-Add mileage

S D	M									
SER# P R	J S W DATE		RD# FC	RD CHAR	INT-TYPE		SPCL USE			
INVEST E A U	I C O DAY		COMPNT FIRST STREET	DIRECT	(MEDIAN) INT-REL	OFFRD WTHR CRASH	TRLR QTY	MOVE	A S	
RD DPT E L G	N H R TIME		MLG TYP SECOND STREET	LOCTN	LEGS TRAF-	RNDBT SURF COLL	OWNER	FROM	PRTC INJ G E LICNS PED	
UNLOC? D C S	V L K LAT	LONG	MILEPNT LRS		(#LANES) CONTL	DRVWY LIGHT SVRTY	V# TYPE	ТО	P# TYPE SVRTY E X RES LOC ERROR ACT EVENT CAUSE	

Disclaimer: The information contained in this report is compiled from individual driver and police crash reports submitted to the Oregon Department of Transportation as required in ORS 811.720. The Crash Analysis and Reporting Unit is committed to providing the highest quality crash data to customers. However, because submitted of crash report forms is the responsibility of the individual driver, the Crash Analysis and Reporting Unit can not guarantee that all qualifying crashes are represented nor can assurances be made that all details pertaining to a single crash are accurate. Note: Legislative changes to DMV's vehicle crash reporting requirement, effective 01/01/2004, may result in fewer property damage only crashes being eligible for inclusion in the Statewide Crash Data File.



Project:20082 Second Avalon Heights SubdivisionIntersection:OR-131 at Highland Drive WDate:3/25/2021Scenario:2023 Buildout Conditions

Speed? 35 mph

AM Peak Hour Left-Turn Volume

Approaching DHV # of Advancing Through Lanes

Opposing DHV # of Opposing Through Lanes

PM Peak Hour

Left-Turn Volume 19 Approaching DHV 159 # of Advancing Through Lanes 1 Opposing DHV 187 # of Opposing Through Lanes 1

O+A DHV

O+A DHV 346

Lane Needed?

Lane Needed? No



Source: Oregon DOT Analysis Procedures Manual 2008

*(Advancing Vol/ # of Advancing Through Lanes)+

(Opposing Vol/ # of Opposing Through Lanes)

Note: The criterion is not met from zero to ten left turn vehicles per hour, but careful consideration should be given to installing a left turn lane due to the increased potential for accidents in the through lanes. While the turn volumes are low, the adverse safety and operational impacts may require installation of a left turn. The final determination will be based on a field study.



Project:20082 Second Avalon Heights SubdivisionDate:3/25/2021Scenario:2023 Buildout Conditions

35 mph

Speed?

56 kmh

AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour	
Right-Turn Volume	Right-Turn Volume	19
Approaching DHV	Approaching DHV	187
Lane Needed?	Lane Needed?	No



Note: If there is no right turn lane, a shoulder needs to be provided. If this intersection is in a rural area and is a connection to a public street, a right turn lane is needed.

Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis



* Minor street right-turning traffic volumes reduced by 85% of the right-turn capacity

Intersection

0.1					
WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Y		et 👘			÷
1	1	167	1	1	139
1	1	167	1	1	139
0	0	0	0	0	0
Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
-	None	-	None	-	None
0	-	-	-	-	-
,# 0	-	0	-	-	0
0	-	0	-	-	0
74	74	74	74	74	74
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	226	1	1	188
	0.1 WBL 1 1 0 Stop - 0 , # 0 0 74 0 1	0.1 WBL WBR WB 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 Stop Stop Stop 0 - None 0 - ,# 0 - 74 74 0 0 1 1 1	0.1 WBL WBR NBT ↓ 1 167 1 1 167 1 1 167 0 0 0 Stop Stop Free None - 0 - ↓ 0 - ↓ 0 0 - ↓ 0 0 - ↓ 0 0 - ↓ 0 0 0 ↓ 0 ↓ 0 ↓ 0 ↓ 0 ↓ 0 ↓ 0	0.1 WBR NBT NBR WBL UBR NBT NBR M 1 167 1 1 1 167 1 1 1 167 1 0 0 0 0 Stop Stop Free Free None - None - 0 - 0 - ,# 0 - 0 - 74 74 74 74 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 226 1	0.1 NBR NBR SBL WBL WBR NBT NBR SBL Y I 167 1 1 1 167 1 1 1 167 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 Stop Stop Free Free Free None - None - 0 - 0 - - 0 - 0 - - 1 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 0 1 1226 1 1

Major/Minor	Minor1	М	ajor1	Ν	/lajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	417	227	0	0	227	0
Stage 1	227	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	190	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.4	6.2	-	-	4.1	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.4	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	-	-	2.2	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	596	817	-	-	1353	-
Stage 1	815	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	847	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuve	r 595	817	-	-	1353	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuve	r 595	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	815	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	846	-	-	-	-	-

Approach	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	10.2	0	0.1	
HCM LOS	В			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRW	'BLn1	SBL	SBT	
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	689	1353	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- (0.004	0.001	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	-	10.2	7.7	0	
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	В	А	А	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0	0	-	

Intersection

0.1					
WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
۰¥		el 👘			÷
1	1	168	1	1	140
1	1	168	1	1	140
0	0	0	0	0	0
Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
-	None	-	None	-	None
0	-	-	-	-	-
, # 0	-	0	-	-	0
0	-	0	-	-	0
74	74	74	74	74	74
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	227	1	1	189
	0.1 WBL 1 1 0 Stop - 0 , # 0 0 74 0 1	0.1 WBL WBR WB 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 Stop Stop Stop 0 - None 0 - ,# 0 - 74 74 0 0 1 1 1	0.1 WBL WBR NBT ↓ 1 168 1 1 168 1 1 168 0 0 0 Stop Stop Free None - None - 0 - , # 0 - 74 74 74 0 0 0 0 1 227	0.1 WBR NBT NBR WBL WBR NBT NBR M 1 168 1 1 1 168 1 1 1 168 1 0 0 0 0 Stop Stop Free Free None - None - 0 - 0 - ,# 0 - 0 - 74 74 74 74 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 227 1	0.1 NBR NBR SBL WBL WBR NBT NBR SBL Y I 168 11 11 1 168 11 11 1 168 11 11 0 0 0 0 0 Stop Stop Free Free Free 0 0 0 0 0 0 - 70 71 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 0 0 0 0 0 10 0 0 0 0 11 227 11 11

Major/Minor	Minor1	М	lajor1	Ν	lajor2		
Conflicting Flow All	419	228	0	0	228	0	
Stage 1	228	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	191	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.4	6.2	-	-	4.1	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	-	-	2.2	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	595	816	-	-	1352	-	
Stage 1	815	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	846	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	r 594	816	-	-	1352	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	r 594	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	815	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	845	-	-	-	-	-	

Approach	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	10.3	0	0.1	
HCMLOS	В			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRW	/BLn1	SBL	SBT	
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	688	1352	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.004	0.001	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	-	10.3	7.7	0	
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	В	А	А	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0	0	-	

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh	1						
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	Y		et 👘			÷	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	11	11	168	19	19	140	
Future Vol, veh/h	11	11	168	19	19	140	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	0	-	-	0	
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0	
Peak Hour Factor	74	74	74	74	74	74	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mvmt Flow	15	15	227	26	26	189	

Major/Minor	Minor1	Μ	ajor1	Ν	/lajor2				
Conflicting Flow All	481	240	0	0	253	0			
Stage 1	240	-	-	-	-	-			
Stage 2	241	-	-	-	-	-			
Critical Hdwy	6.4	6.2	-	-	4.1	-			
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4	-	-	-	-	-			
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.4	-	-	-	-	-			
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	-	-	2.2	-			
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	548	804	-	-	1324	-			
Stage 1	805	-	-	-	-	-			
Stage 2	804	-	-	-	-	-			
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	536	804	-	-	1324	-			
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	536	-	-	-	-	-			
Stage 1	805	-	-	-	-	-			
Stage 2	786	-	-	-	-	-			

Approach	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	10.9	0	0.9
HCMLOS	В		

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRW	/BLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	643	1324	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.046	0.019	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	-	10.9	7.8	0
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	В	А	Α
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.1	0.1	-

Second Avalon Heights Subdivision 2023 Buildout Conditions (2006 Counts) MW

0

92

-

92

-

92

0

92

Grade, %

Peak Hour Factor

Intersection Int Delay, s/veh 3.3 WBL WBR NBT NBR SBL SBT Movement Lane Configurations ¥ Þ đ 22 Traffic Vol, veh/h 1 37 1 1 1 Future Vol, veh/h 22 1 1 37 1 1 0 0 Conflicting Peds, #/hr 0 0 0 0 Sign Control Stop Stop Free Free Free Free RT Channelized None -None -None -Storage Length 0 -_ ---Veh in Median Storage, # 0 -0 -_ 0

-

92

Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	24	1	1	40	1	1
Major/Minor	Minor1	Ν	1ajor1	Ν	/lajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	24	21	0	0	41	0
Stage 1	21	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	3	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	-	-	4.12	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	-	-	2.218	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	992	1056	-	-	1568	-
Stage 1	1002	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	1020	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	991	1056	-	-	1568	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	991	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	1002	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	1019	-	-	-	-	-

0

92

Approach	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	8.7	0	3.6
HCMLOS	А		

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRV	VBLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	994	1568	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.025	0.001	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	-	8.7	7.3	0
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	А	А	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.1	0	-

NETARTS-OCEANSIDE SANITARY DISTRICT 1755 CAPE MEARES LP. RD. W. TILLAMOOK, OR. 97141 PHONE (503)842-8231 FAX (503)842-3759

Tillamook Co. Department of Community Development 1510 Third St., Suite B Tillamook, OR. 97141 (503) 842-3408

DATE: January 21, 2021

TO: TILLAMOOK COUNTY ONE-STOP PERMIT COUNTER

RE: SEWER AVAILABILITY

I confirm that sanitary sewer service is available to the following lot(s) within our District: **Tax Lot 1S10 30DC 00200**

Availability letter is void after 12 months from the date of issuance.

According to our records, the legal owner is: Avalon Heights, LLC. Bill Hughes, 41901 Old Hwy. 30 Astoria, OR. 97103.

Sanitary Sewer service is available to the above Tax Lot, but the District does not guarantee that a stub is provided. However, according to the District As-Built map, a stub should be provided.

If the service lateral is to be installed, all at the property owner's expense, the District will require the following:

- (a) Secure a permit for utility work in a public road right-of-way.
- (b) Minimum 24-hour notice prior to start of work.
- (c) Representative of Sanitary District present to inspect installation of the new service lateral.
- (d) Notification of all emergency services if road is closed or blocked during installation of the new main service lateral.
- (e) Coordinate work with any effected neighboring property owners, so as to minimize inconvenience if road is closed or blocked.
- (f) The use of 4" diameter PVC ASTM-3034 pipe for the new service lateral.
- (g) The use of a Romac Sanitary Sewer Saddle. Attached, please find Cut-sheet #418 from our Design Standards, in regards to service saddle connection to existing sewers.

For the lateral from the house to the **new stub**, the following applies:

- 1. District requires that property owner/contractor follow APWA Specifications.
- District requires a Clean-out on the property line, using Schedule 3034 ASTM Pipe with a screw on cap. The Clean-out shall be permanently identified. Attached, please find Cut-sheet #416.
- 3. District requires a protective cover if in driveway or a parking zone.
- 4. It is the responsibility of the property owner to ensure that a copy of the Sewer Availability letter is given to the Contractor.
- 5. Inspection and testing of the installation shall be done by the Tillamook County Plumbing Inspector in accordance with County requirements.
- 6. Contractor is responsible for contacting the Tillamook County Inspector to inspect the service lateral.
- 7. Contractor is responsible for notifying the District to inspect the service lateral connection prior to backfilling. An Inspection Fee will be billed to the property owner at that time.
- 8. Contractor is responsible for notifying the District office within 5 working days of the service lateral inspection (that is done by Tillamook County Inspector). Failure to notify the District in the allotted time will result in a \$10.00 per working day fine on the Contractor.

Failure to notify the District for an inspection of the connection, prior to backfilling, will result in one or all of the following fines and/or fees, per District Ordinances:

- \$500.00 Fine for Illegal Connection to the sanitary sewer system.
- \$10.00 per working day fine on the Contractor (as stated up above).
- A regular User Fee shall be charged to the account plus an amount equal to the regular User Fee, so that the total amount will be double the current established charge for the type of service provided. This charge shall be effective on the date of connection to the public sewer system and shall continue until such time as the account is brought current.

The current System Development Charge fee of \$9,869.00 per Single Family Dwelling will be **due to the Netarts-Oceanside Sanitary District upon issuance of an approved Building Permit** by the Tillamook County Departement of Community Development. The District requires that a copy of the approved building permit be sent to the Netarts-Oceanside Sanitary District.

This letter shall not create a liability on the part of Tillamook County, or by an officer, or employee thereof, for the services described above.

alanut A Mill

Daniel A. Mello, District Superintendent cc: Property Owner











THIS REPORT IS ISSUED BY THE ABOVE-NAMED COMPANY ("THE COMPANY") FOR THE EXCLUSIVE USE OF THE FOLLOWING CUSTOMER:

S&F Land Services Phone No.:

Date Prepared:December 19, 2020Effective Date:December 17, 2020 / 08:00 AMCharge:\$300.00Order No.:360420009017Reference:Second Second S

The information contained in this report is furnished to the Customer by Ticor Title Company of Oregon (the "Company") as an information service based on the records and indices maintained by the Company for the county identified below. This report is not title insurance, is not a preliminary title report for title insurance, and is not a commitment for title insurance. No examination has been made of the Company's records, other than as specifically set forth in this report ("the Report"). Liability for any loss arising from errors and/or omissions is limited to the lesser of the fee paid or the actual loss to the Customer, and the Company will have no greater liability by reason of this report. This report is subject to the Definitions, Conditions and Stipulations contained in it.

REPORT

A. The Land referred to in this report is located in the County of Tillamook, State of Oregon, and is described as follows:

As fully set forth on Exhibit "A" attached hereto and by this reference made a part hereof.

B. As of the Effective Date, the tax account and map references pertinent to the Land are as follows:

As fully set forth on Exhibit "B" attached hereto and by this reference made a part hereof.

C. As of the Effective Date and according to the Public Records, we find title to the land apparently vested in:

As fully set forth on Exhibit "C" attached hereto and by this reference made a part hereof.

D. As of the Effective Date and according to the Public Records, the Land is subject to the following liens and encumbrances, which are not necessarily shown in the order of priority:

As fully set forth on Exhibit "D" attached hereto and by this reference made a part hereof.

EXHIBIT "A"

(Land Description)

The Southwest quarter of the Southeast quarter of Section 30, Township 1 South, Range 10 West of the Willamette Meridian, in the County of Tillamook, State of Oregon.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM the Plat of Avalon, and the Plat of First Addition to Avalon Heights.

ALSO EXCEPTING THEREFROM that tract conveyed to Carlton Nursery Company, Incorporated by Deed recorded June 29, 1953 in Book 139, page 130, Tillamook County Records.

EXHIBIT "B" (Tax Account and Map)

APN/Parcel ID(s) 179176 as well as Tax/Map ID(s) 1S1030DC00200

Public Record Report for New Subdivision or Partition (Ver. 20161024)

EXHIBIT "C" (Vesting)

Avalon Heights LLC, an Oregon limited liability company, which acquired title as Avalon Heights, LLC, an Oregon limited liability company

EXHIBIT "D" (Liens and Encumbrances)

- 1. Regulations, levies, liens, assessments, rights of way and easements of Netarts-Oceanside Sanitary District.
- 2. Regulations, levies, liens, assessments, rights of way and easements of Netarts Water District.
- 3. Rights of the public to any portion of the Land lying within the area commonly known as streets, roads, and highways.
- 4. Easement(s) for the purpose(s) shown below and rights incidental thereto, as granted in a document:

John Aschim and Henry Morris
Water pipeline
September 11, 1909
Book 10, page 410
Reference is hereby made to said document for full particulars

5. Easement(s) for the purpose(s) shown below and rights incidental thereto, as granted in a document:

Granted to:	Netarts Water District
Purpose:	Public utilities
Recording Date:	November 19, 1973
Recording No:	Book 234, page 509
Affects:	Reference is hereby made to said document for full particulars

6. Easement(s) for the purpose(s) shown below and rights incidental thereto, as granted in a document:

Granted to:	Oceanside Water District
Purpose:	Public utilities
Recording Date:	July 14, 1983
Recording No:	Book 288, page 70
Affects:	Reference is hereby made to said document for full particulars

7. Easement(s) for the purpose(s) shown below and rights incidental thereto, as granted in a document:

Granted to:	Netarts Water District
Purpose:	Public utilities
Recording Date:	June 20, 2012
Recording No:	2012-003343
Affects:	Reference is hereby made to said document for full particulars

EXHIBIT "D" (Liens and Encumbrances) (continued)

8. A deed of trust to secure an indebtedness in the amount shown below,

Amount:	\$195,000.00
Dated:	August 22, 2017
Trustor/Grantor:	Avalon Heights, LLC
Trustee:	Ticor Title Insurance Company
Beneficiary:	Wauna Federal Credit Union
Recording Date:	September 1, 2017
Recording No.:	2017-05254

An agreement to modify the terms and provisions of said deed of trust as therein provided

Executed by:
Credit Union)Avalon Heights, LLC and Wauna Credit Union (formerly known as Wauna Federal
Recording Date:Recording Date:
Recording No:November 5, 2018
2018-06592

An agreement to modify the terms and provisions of said deed of trust as therein provided

Executed by:Avalon Heights, LLC and Wauna Credit Union (formerly known as Wauna FederalCredit Union)Recording Date:July 29, 20192019-04407

An agreement to modify the terms and provisions of said deed of trust as therein provided

Executed by:
Credit Union)Avalon Heights, LLC and Wauna Credit Union (formerly known as Wauna Federal
Becording Date:July 21, 2020
2020-04531

END OF EXCEPTIONS

Note: Property taxes for the fiscal year shown below are paid in full.

Fiscal Year:	2020-2021
Amount:	\$3,337.42
Levy Code:	0935
Account No.:	179176
Map No.:	1S1030DC00200
EXHIBIT "D" (Liens and Encumbrances) (continued)

BOUNDARY DEEDS:

First Addition to Avalon Heights, <u>Plat Book 3, Page 37</u> Avalon Heights, <u>Plat Book 3, Page 12</u> Property Line Adjustment Deed, <u>Document No. 2017-007247</u> Warranty Deed, <u>Document No. 2011-005156</u> Quitclaim Deed, <u>Document No. 2019-007699</u>

Public Record Report for New Subdivision or Partition (Ver. 20161024)

DEFINITIONS, CONDITIONS AND STIPULATIONS

- 1. Definitions. The following terms have the stated meaning when used in this report:
 - (a) "Customer": The person or persons named or shown as the addressee of this report.
 - (b) "Effective Date": The effective date stated in this report.
 - (c) "Land": The land specifically described in this report and improvements affixed thereto which by law constitute real property.
 - (d) "Public Records": Those records which by the laws of the state of Oregon impart constructive notice of matters relating to the Land.

2. Liability of Company.

- (a) This is not a commitment to issue title insurance and does not constitute a policy of title insurance.
- (b) The liability of the Company for errors or omissions in this public record report is limited to the amount of the charge paid by the Customer, provided, however, that the Company has no liability in the event of no actual loss to the Customer.
- (c) No costs (including without limitation attorney fees and other expenses) of defense, or prosecution of any action, is afforded to the Customer.
- (d) In any event, the Company assumes no liability for loss or damage by reason of the following:
 - (1) Taxes or assessments which are not shown as existing liens by the records of any taxing authority that levies taxes or assessments on real property or by the Public Records.
 - (2) Any facts, rights, interests or claims which are not shown by the Public Records but which could be ascertained by an inspection of the land or by making inquiry of persons in possession thereof.
 - (3) Easements, liens or encumbrances, or claims thereof, which are not shown by the Public Records.
 - (4) Discrepancies, encroachments, shortage in area, conflicts in boundary lines or any other facts which a survey would disclose.
 - (5) (i) Unpatented mining claims; (ii) reservations or exceptions in patents or in Acts authorizing the issuance thereof; (iii) water rights or claims or title to water.
 - (6) Any right, title, interest, estate or easement in land beyond the lines of the area specifically described or referred to in this report, or in abutting streets, roads, avenues, alleys, lanes, ways or waterways.
 - (7) Any law, ordinance or governmental regulation (including but not limited to building and zoning laws, ordinances or regulations) restricting, regulating, prohibiting or relating to (i) the occupancy, use or enjoyment of the land; (ii) the character, dimensions or location of any improvement now or hereafter erected on the land; (iii) a separation in ownership or a change in the dimensions or area of the land or any parcel of which the land is or was a part; or (iv) environmental protection, or the effect of any violation of these laws, ordinances or governmental regulations, except to the extent that a notice of the enforcement thereof or a notice of a defect, lien or encumbrance resulting from a violation or alleged violation affecting the land has been recorded in the Public Records at the effective date hereof.
 - (8) Any governmental police power not excluded by 2(d)(7) above, except to the extent that notice of the exercise thereof or a notice of a defect, lien or encumbrance resulting from a violation or alleged violation affecting the land has been recorded in the Public Records at the effective date hereof.
 - (9) Defects, liens, encumbrances, adverse claims or other matters created, suffered, assumed, agreed to or actually known by the Customer.
- 3. **Report Entire Contract.** Any right or action or right of action that the Customer may have or may bring against the Company arising out of the subject matter of this report must be based on the provisions of this report. No provision or condition of this report can be waived or changed except by a writing signed by an authorized officer of the Company. By accepting this form report, the Customer acknowledges and agrees that the Customer has elected to utilize this form of public record report and accepts the limitation of liability of the Company as set forth herein.
- 4. **Charge.** The charge for this report does not include supplemental reports, updates or other additional services of the Company.

LIMITATIONS OF LIABILITY

"CUSTOMER" REFERS TO THE RECIPIENT OF THIS REPORT.

CUSTOMER EXPRESSLY AGREES AND ACKNOWLEDGES THAT IT IS EXTREMELY DIFFICULT, IF NOT IMPOSSIBLE, TO DETERMINE THE EXTENT OF LOSS WHICH COULD ARISE FROM ERRORS OR OMISSIONS IN, OR THE COMPANY'S NEGLIGENCE IN PRODUCING, THE REQUESTED REPORT, HEREIN "THE REPORT." CUSTOMER RECOGNIZES THAT THE FEE CHARGED IS NOMINAL IN RELATION TO THE POTENTIAL LIABILITY WHICH COULD ARISE FROM SUCH ERRORS OR OMISSIONS OR NEGLIGENCE. THEREFORE, CUSTOMER UNDERSTANDS THAT THE COMPANY IS NOT WILLING TO PROCEED IN THE PREPARATION AND ISSUANCE OF THE REPORT UNLESS THE COMPANY'S LIABILITY IS STRICTLY LIMITED. CUSTOMER AGREES WITH THE PROPRIETY OF SUCH LIMITATION AND AGREES TO BE BOUND BY ITS TERMS

THE LIMITATIONS ARE AS FOLLOWS AND THE LIMITATIONS WILL SURVIVE THE CONTRACT:

ONLY MATTERS IDENTIFIED IN THIS REPORT AS THE SUBJECT OF THE REPORT ARE WITHIN ITS SCOPE. ALL OTHER MATTERS ARE OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF THE REPORT.

CUSTOMER AGREES, AS PART OF THE CONSIDERATION FOR THE ISSUANCE OF THE REPORT AND TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW. TO LIMIT THE LIABILITY OF THE COMPANY, ITS LICENSORS, AGENTS, SUPPLIERS, RESELLERS, SERVICE PROVIDERS, CONTENT PROVIDERS AND ALL SUPPLIERS, AFFILIATES. OTHER SUBSCRIBERS OR SUBSIDIARIES. EMPLOYEES. AND SUBCONTRACTORS FOR ANY AND ALL CLAIMS, LIABILITIES, CAUSES OF ACTION, LOSSES, COSTS, DAMAGES AND EXPENSES OF ANY NATURE WHATSOEVER, INCLUDING ATTORNEY'S FEES, HOWEVER ALLEGED OR ARISING, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THOSE ARISING FROM BREACH OF CONTRACT. NEGLIGENCE. THE COMPANY'S OWN FAULT AND/OR NEGLIGENCE. ERRORS. OMISSIONS. STRICT LIABILITY, BREACH OF WARRANTY, EQUITY, THE COMMON LAW, STATUTE OR ANY OTHER THEORY OF RECOVERY, OR FROM ANY PERSON'S USE, MISUSE, OR INABILITY TO USE THE REPORT OR ANY OF THE MATERIALS CONTAINED THEREIN OR PRODUCED, SO THAT THE TOTAL AGGREGATE LIABILITY OF THE COMPANY AND ITS AGENTS, SUBSIDIARIES, AFFILIATES, EMPLOYEES, AND SUBCONTRACTORS SHALL NOT IN ANY EVENT EXCEED THE COMPANY'S TOTAL FEE FOR THE REPORT.

CUSTOMER AGREES THAT THE FOREGOING LIMITATION ON LIABILITY IS A TERM MATERIAL TO THE PRICE THE CUSTOMER IS PAYING, WHICH PRICE IS LOWER THAN WOULD OTHERWISE BE OFFERED TO THE CUSTOMER WITHOUT SAID TERM. CUSTOMER RECOGNIZES THAT THE COMPANY WOULD NOT ISSUE THE REPORT BUT FOR THIS CUSTOMER AGREEMENT, AS PART OF THE CONSIDERATION GIVEN FOR THE REPORT, TO THE FOREGOING LIMITATION OF LIABILITY AND THAT ANY SUCH LIABILITY IS CONDITIONED AND PREDICATED UPON THE FULL AND TIMELY PAYMENT OF THE COMPANY'S INVOICE FOR THE REPORT.

THE REPORT IS LIMITED IN SCOPE AND IS NOT AN ABSTRACT OF TITLE, TITLE OPINION, PRELIMINARY TITLE REPORT, TITLE REPORT, COMMITMENT TO ISSUE TITLE INSURANCE, OR A TITLE POLICY, AND SHOULD NOT BE RELIED UPON AS SUCH. THE REPORT DOES NOT PROVIDE OR OFFER ANY TITLE INSURANCE, LIABILITY COVERAGE OR ERRORS AND OMISSIONS COVERAGE. THE REPORT IS NOT TO BE RELIED UPON AS A REPRESENTATION OF THE STATUS OF TITLE TO THE PROPERTY. THE COMPANY MAKES NO REPRESENTATIONS AS TO THE REPORT'S ACCURACY, DISCLAIMS ANY WARRANTY AS TO THE REPORT, ASSUMES NO DUTIES TO CUSTOMER, DOES NOT INTEND FOR CUSTOMER TO RELY ON THE REPORT, AND ASSUMES NO LIABILITY FOR ANY LOSS OCCURRING BY REASON OF RELIANCE ON THE REPORT OR OTHERWISE.

IF CUSTOMER (A) HAS OR WILL HAVE AN INSURABLE INTEREST IN THE SUBJECT REAL PROPERTY, (B) DOES NOT WISH TO LIMIT LIABILITY AS STATED HEREIN AND (C) DESIRES THAT ADDITIONAL LIABILITY BE ASSUMED BY THE COMPANY, THEN CUSTOMER MAY REQUEST AND PURCHASE A POLICY OF TITLE INSURANCE, A BINDER, OR A COMMITMENT TO ISSUE A POLICY OF TITLE INSURANCE. NO ASSURANCE IS GIVEN AS TO THE INSURABILITY OF THE TITLE OR STATUS OF TITLE. CUSTOMER EXPRESSLY AGREES AND ACKNOWLEDGES IT HAS AN INDEPENDENT DUTY TO ENSURE AND/OR RESEARCH THE ACCURACY OF ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM THE COMPANY OR ANY PRODUCT OR SERVICE PURCHASED.

NO THIRD PARTY IS PERMITTED TO USE OR RELY UPON THE INFORMATION SET FORTH IN THE REPORT, AND NO LIABILITY TO ANY THIRD PARTY IS UNDERTAKEN BY THE COMPANY.

CUSTOMER AGREES THAT, TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, IN NO EVENT WILL THE COMPANY, ITS LICENSORS, AGENTS, SUPPLIERS, RESELLERS, SERVICE PROVIDERS, CONTENT PROVIDERS, AND ALL OTHER SUBSCRIBERS OR SUPPLIERS, SUBSIDIARIES, AFFILIATES, EMPLOYEES AND SUBCONTRACTORS BE LIABLE FOR CONSEQUENTIAL, INCIDENTAL, INDIRECT, PUNITIVE, EXEMPLARY, OR SPECIAL DAMAGES, OR LOSS OF PROFITS, REVENUE, INCOME, SAVINGS, DATA, BUSINESS, OPPORTUNITY, OR GOODWILL, PAIN AND SUFFERING, EMOTIONAL DISTRESS, NON-OPERATION OR INCREASED EXPENSE OF OPERATION, BUSINESS INTERRUPTION OR DELAY, COST OF CAPITAL, OR COST OF REPLACEMENT PRODUCTS OR SERVICES, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER SUCH LIABILITY IS BASED ON BREACH OF CONTRACT, TORT, NEGLIGENCE, THE COMPANY'S OWN FAULT AND/OR NEGLIGENCE, STRICT LIABILITY, BREACH OF WARRANTIES, FAILURE OF ESSENTIAL PURPOSE, OR OTHERWISE AND WHETHER CAUSED BY NEGLIGENCE, ERRORS, OMISSIONS, STRICT LIABILITY, BREACH OF CONTRACT, BREACH OF WARRANTY, THE COMPANY'S OWN FAULT AND/OR NEGLIGENCE OR ANY OTHER CAUSE WHATSOEVER, AND EVEN IF THE COMPANY HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE LIKELIHOOD OF SUCH DAMAGES OR KNEW OR SHOULD HAVE KNOWN OF THE POSSIBILITY FOR SUCH DAMAGES.

END OF THE LIMITATIONS OF LIABILITY



TO:

DATE: 04-02-2021

Attn: Melissa Jenk

Tillamook County Department of Community Development - Planning

PROJECT NO. / NAME: E20-036 Second Avalon Heights Subdivision Land Use Package Submittal

ENCLOSED ARE ONE COPY (unless otherwise noted) OF THE FOLLOWING:

Description

Signed Application Application Narrative Supplemental Narrative, Section 070 Land Use Plans (with Preliminary Plat) -15 Copies Preliminary Stormwater Report Geohazard Report Transportation Impact Study Road Section Analysis Netarts Water District Water Availability Letter Netarts-Oceanside Sewer District Availability Letter Title Report Letter From DSL ODOT Review of Draft TIS Check for Fees

Please let us now if you need additional copies or if we can assist in any way in your processing and review. A link to the electronic copies has been emailed to you. We look forward to working with you on this project.

THESE ARE TRANSMITTED:

X FOR YOUR REVIEW D FOR YOUR FILES

□ FOR YOUR SIGNATURE

EXHIBIT C

Tillamook County Department of Community Development 1510–B Third Street Tillamook, Oregon 97141

Re: Grand Ave Residents' Written Testimony, Second Addition to Avalon Heights Subdivision; Permit ID: 851-21-000095-PLNG

To Whom It May Concern:

Please find this letter sent on behalf of Blake Marvis, Johanna Wood, Cheryl and Rob Evans, and Jerry and Francine Jones, who all live off Grand Avenue in Oceanside, Oregon (the "Grand Avenue Residents"). The Grand Avenue Residents submit this written testimony for the June 10, 2021 Public Meeting, to raise our concern regarding potential increased traffic on Grand Avenue both during and after the completion of the Second Addition to Avalon Heights Subdivision (the "Subdivision").

The Subdivision will primarily be accessible through Highland Drive W. The traffic impacts of the Subdivision were analyzed in the April 1, 2021 Transportation Impact Study. This study found that 59 additional site trips would occur during peak evening hours, with 618 additional trips occurring on any given weekday. Notably, the Transportation Impact Study did not analyze the effect of increased traffic on Grand Avenue, which is the only other access point to the Subdivision. Grand Avenue was not analyzed because applicant Bill Hughes has indicated that he will pave Highland Drive and Grand Avenue is in significant disrepair. Thus, it was assumed for the purposes of the study that no one from the Subdivision would be using Grand Avenue. *See* Transportation Impact Study, Pg. 10.

The Grand Avenue Residents would first like to push back on the assumptions made in the Transportation Study and bring three key issues to the Commissions attention. First, and most importantly, while it is reasonable to assume that *most* traffic will access the Subdivision through Highland Drive, this does not take into account the potential for emergency situations to arise. For example, anyone who lives in Oceanside is well aware of our frequent winter storms. It is not unusual for trees to fall and block access points for extended periods of time. In the event access to the Subdivision from Highland Drive is restricted, which is not unlikely, Grand Avenue would be the only access point for the residents of the 58-home site. If such an obstruction occurred on a weekday, this would mean an estimated 618 trips on Grand Avenue.

Second, beyond the clear safety issues that could arise if access through Highland Drive is restricted, the Transportation Impact Study is incorrect to assume that the Subdivision will not create additional traffic usage on Grand Avenue. Even if 90% of the traffic goes through Highland, this still creates an additional 10% increase in traffic on Grand Avenue. This would amount to approximately 60 trips up Grand Avenue on any given weekday. Such increased usage is reasonable, as many of the homes in the Subdivision are located on the northern section, which makes access through Grand as convenient as access through Highland. In other words, there will enviably be an increase of traffic on Grand Avenue, which will further impact and deteriorate the already damaged road. Third, there are pre-completion issues that relate to construction traffic on Grand Avenue. Grand Avenue access to the Subdivision has limited pavement, is in disrepair and is really not wide enough for two (2) cars to pass easily. Imagine how it will be with construction/commercial vehicles using Grand Avenue and/or Highland. Highland most likely would be used by the commercial vehicles as access to the Subdivision construction sites. It is not unlikely that the same vehicles would then use Grand Avenue for leaving. Additionally, due to the number of new construction sites for the Subdivision it would seem prudent to have more than one adequate access point for emergency vehicles. Emergency vehicle response is time-critical, and vehicles are directly affected by poorly designed roadways and limited access points.

Due to the emergency access issues and inevitable increase in traffic (both residential and construction related) on Grand Avenue, the Grand Avenue Residents formally request that either the City or the Permit Applicant pave Grand Avenue at the same time that Highland Drive is paved. At a minimum, engineered drainage and road repair measures must be taken by the City or Permit Applicant to remedy the significant disrepair that Grand Avenue is in. To leave Grand Avenue in its current condition puts the safety of not only the potential residents of the Subdivision, but of the existing residents on Grand Avenue at issue.

Additionally, the Grand Avenue Residents want to highlight how the Commission has authority to require either the City or Permit Applicant to complete the requested repairs of Grand Avenue. Land Division Ordinance Development Approval Procedures Section 070(1) requires compliance with the criteria provided in subsections (a) through (h). Notably, subsection (c) requires that access to "public improvements necessary to serve the development, including streets, shall conform with Section 150 and 160."

Section 150(3) grants the Planning Commission the ability to require the Public Works Department to improve "streets serving, but not within the boundaries of, the Subdivision or through the Partition of a parcel with a buildout potential of 5 or more parcels." *See also* Section 160(7). Here, there is little doubt that Grand Avenue is a "street serving . . . the Subdivision." As a result, the Planning Commission has full authority to require Public Works or the Permit Applicant to improve Grand Avenue and ensure that it is in a condition that does not compromise the safety of the residents of the Subdivision or Grand Avenue.

The Grand Avenue Residents appreciate the opportunity to submit this written testimony and to the raise the important issues regarding the Subdivision's impact on Grand Avenue. We encourage the Planning Commission to consider this issue carefully and ensure that both Highland Drive and Grand Avenue are suitable for Subdivision access, especially in emergency situations.

Please do not hesitate to reach out with any questions or for any additional follow up.

Best Regards,

Blake Marvis and Johanna Wood Cheryl and Rob Evans Jerry and Francine Jones

Allison Hinderer

From: Sent: To: Subject: Melissa Jenck Tuesday, June 1, 2021 2:54 PM Allison Hinderer FW: EXTERNAL: FW: Avalon Heights TIS: Oceanside



Melissa Jenck (she/her) | CFM, Land Use Planner II TILLAMOOK COUNTY | Community Development 1510-B Third Street Tillamook, OR 97141 Phone (503) 842-3408 x3301 mjenck@co.tillamook.or.us

This e-mail is a public record of Tillamook County and is subject to the State of Oregon Retention Schedule and may be subject to public disclosure under the Oregon Public Records Law. This e-mail, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please send a reply e-mail to let the sender know of the error and destroy all copies of the original message.

The Department is excited to announce that we are OPEN to the public by appointment. To review the list of services provided and to schedule an appointment with us, please visit <u>https://www.co.tillamook.or.us/gov/ComDev/</u> to access the appointment scheduler portal.

From: STRAUSS Karen A <Karen.A.STRAUSS@odot.state.or.us>
Sent: Wednesday, April 21, 2021 3:34 PM
To: eh@firwooddesign.com
Cc: Melissa Jenck <mjenck@co.tillamook.or.us>
Subject: EXTERNAL: FW: Avalon Heights TIS: Oceanside

[NOTICE: This message originated outside of Tillamook County -- DO NOT CLICK on links or open attachments unless you are sure the content is safe.]

Hello Erik,

ODOT reviewed and has no comments on the TIA you submitted to us for Avalon Heights (see below).

Thanks, Karen

Karen A. Strauss, PE

Development Review Coordinator, District I Desk 503-986-2849 (note: I am teleworking so this will forward to my mobile phone.) Mobile 503-509-7173

From: FERBER Arielle <<u>Arielle.FERBER@odot.state.or.us</u>> Sent: Wednesday, April 21, 2021 3:12 PM

To: STRAUSS Karen A <<u>Karen.A.STRAUSS@odot.state.or.us</u>> Subject: RE: Avalon Heights TIS: Oceanside

Karen,

Region Traffic has completed their review of the submitted TIA for the Avalon Heights development in Oceanside, OR and have no comments. It is our understanding that no direct access to a state highway has been proposed. Under such circumstance, this analysis has been required under the authority of the County and ODOT is serving as an additional reviewer. Upon your review, please forward these comments to the City along with any additional comments you feel are necessary or prudent.

Thanks!

Arielle Ferber, P.E. Traffic Analysis Engineer ODOT Region 2 455 Airport Rd. SE, Bldg. A, Salem, OR 97031 (503) 986-2857

From: STRAUSS Karen A <<u>Karen.A.STRAUSS@odot.state.or.us</u>> Sent: Tuesday, April 6, 2021 8:13 AM To: FERBER Arielle <<u>Arielle.FERBER@odot.state.or.us</u>> Subject: FW: Avalon Heights TIS: Oceanside

Good morning Arielle,

Attached is a TIS for Avalon Heights, an upcoming subdivision with 60 proposed lots.

Google Earth link is here: https://goo.gl/maps/k8B7QXUsDfvii6Xx8

Keith did the original scope of the TIS when he was still in your position so I attached his email for your reference (the engineer asked what the scope of the TIS should be and Keith gave recommendation.)

I'm currently trying to find out from the County if this is planned to be developed in phases, which I believe it is... but want to confirm.

Can you give this one a review and provide comments? Thanks a bunch!

Karen

Karen A. Strauss, PE

Development Review Coordinator, District I Desk 503-986-2849 (note: I am teleworking so this will forward to my mobile phone.) Mobile 503-509-7173

From: <u>eh@firwooddesign.com</u> <<u>eh@firwooddesign.com</u>> Sent: Thursday, April 1, 2021 2:48 PM To: STRAUSS Karen A <<u>Karen.A.STRAUSS@odot.state.or.us</u>> Cc: 'Melissa Webb' <<u>melissa@lancastermobley.com</u>> Subject: Avalon Heights TIS This message was sent from outside the organization. Treat attachments, links and requests with caution. Be conscious of the information you share if you respond.

Karen,

Please find attached a revised TIS for Avalon Heights showing the increase in lots from 56 to 60 lots. Please let us know if you have any questions or concerns.

As project update, we submitted a three lot partition to the County in Early February (but have not had any feedback except for the completeness notice), and are submitting the whole subdivision package to the County tomorrow. Thanks,

Erik Hoovestol, P.E.



359 E. Historic Columbia River Highway Troutdale, OR 97060

P:503-668-3737 C:503-706-6557

Allison Hinderer

From:	Melissa Jenck	
Sent:	Tuesday, June 1, 2021 2:54 PM	
То:	Allison Hinderer	
Subject:	FW: EXTERNAL: ONA Board Comment re: Hughes/Avalon Heights LLC Application for Partitioj	
Attachments:	ONA Comment County re Avalon Heights.docx	



Melissa Jenck (she/her) | CFM, Land Use Planner II TILLAMOOK COUNTY | Community Development 1510-B Third Street Tillamook, OR 97141 Phone (503) 842-3408 x3301 mjenck@co.tillamook.or.us

This e-mail is a public record of Tillamook County and is subject to the State of Oregon Retention Schedule and may be subject to public disclosure under the Oregon Public Records Law. This e-mail, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please send a reply e-mail to let the sender know of the error and destroy all copies of the original message.

The Department is excited to announce that we are OPEN to the public by appointment. To review the list of services provided and to schedule an appointment with us, please visit <u>https://www.co.tillamook.or.us/gov/ComDev/</u> to access the appointment scheduler portal.

From: Jerry Keene <oceansidefriends@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 31, 2021 2:17 PM
To: Sarah Absher <sabsher@co.tillamook.or.us>; Melissa Jenck <mjenck@co.tillamook.or.us>
Subject: EXTERNAL: ONA Board Comment re: Hughes/Avalon Heights LLC Application for Partitioj

[NOTICE: This message originated outside of Tillamook County -- DO NOT CLICK on links or open attachments unless you are sure the content is safe.]

Sarah and Melissa -

I have attached a letter for the record from the ONA Board for inclusion in the staff report in this matter. Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns with it.

Thanks!

Jerry Keene

ONA President



Oceanside Neighborhood Association www.oceansidefriends.com oceansidefriends@gmail.com

> May 31, 2021 Submitted by e-mail

Sarah Absher, Director Melissa Jenck, Planner II Tillamook County Community Development

> Re: Bill Hughes / Avalon Heights, LLC Permit No. 851-21-000095-PLNG

Dear Director Absher:

Please accept this letter for the record as public comment by the Oceanside Neighborhood Association Board of Directors in the above-captioned matter. Please note that we were unable to schedule a formal ONA membership vote on this comment before the deadline to include it in the Staff Report. We do intend, however, to hold such a vote at our membership meeting scheduled June 5, 2021 and will forward the resulting resolution well before the June 10, 2021, hearing.

COMMENT

The ONA recognizes that this Second Addition to the Avalon Heights has long been planned and, as such, does not present the community with an unanticipated or surprise development. That does not, however, mitigate the significant impact of adding 58 building lots, and therefore 58 new homes, to our small community. Much of the impact relates to the increased loads on our water, waste treatment, roads, fire protection and public safety systems that are beyond the technical expertise or knowledge of our lay membership. We are the ones, however, who will bear the brunt of such impacts, particularly if it strains their capacities.

We understand that the county planning staff (and Public Works staff where relevant) must investigate and offer a response to concerns raised in this proceeding. With that in mind, we submit the following concerns/inquiries:



Oceanside Neighborhood Association February 12, 2018 Page 2

- 1. Has the Netarts-Oceanside Sanitary District projected and confirmed its capacity to service this many new homes in the foreseeable future, given Oceanside's accelerating growth? Has the District reviewed the excavation plans for the development and associated roadwork and certified that its existing flow infrastructure will not be damaged or adversely affected?
- 2. We submit the same inquiry and concern regarding the Oceanside Water District system and infrastructure.
- 3. We submit the same inquiry and concern regarding the Netarts-Oceanside Fire District system and infrastructure.
- 4. We ask that each of the aforementioned Special Districts be asked to address the budget impact of this addition and the likelihood it will give rise to or accelerate a need to increase assessment or their property tax allocations?
- 5. Finally, we earnestly request that county Public Works staff closely review the impact on traffic loads and traffic flow anticipated by adding 58 new homes to an area so reliant on a relatively few access points to Highway 131. In particular, Grand Avenue is a local access road that the county has not been authorized to improve despite severe degradation and drainage problems – even before this new development comes on line. It is critical that the developer be required to upgrade and augment Grand Avenue to address these issues as a condition for approval of the partition. We also note that current and new residents of the area will use Grand Avenue and Hillsdale Avenue to access Highway 131. Their intersections are in close proximity on a blind curve, which means increased congestion, wear and collision risks as residents seek to enter an already busy highway. Moreover, until the Cape Meares Loop Road project is completed years from now, most vehicles heading to Tillamook, Hwy 101 or points west will be queued at the stop on Grand Avenue sign waiting for traffic to clear so they can make a left turn on Hwy 131 – a daunting and dangerous prospect during crowded summer months or emergencies requiring evacuation. For these reasons, we ask that Public Works consult with Oregon Department of Transportation to anticipate and work with the developer for funding of a light, widening with additional lanes or other necessary alterations to accommodate these factors.



Oceanside Neighborhood Association February 12, 2018 Page 3

As noted above, we have rushed preparation of this letter to meet the announced timelines. It is our understanding that public comment will continue to be accepted, both before and after the upcoming January 10, 2021 hearing. We reserve the right to offer additional input after we have the opportunity to garner further community input and conduct a membership vote.

Respectfully submitted,

Jerry Keene, President Kathie Norris, Co-Vice President Marilyn Roossinck, Co-Vice President Robert Hoeper, Secretary Mary Flock, Treasurer

Allison Hinderer

From: Sent: To: Subject: Melissa Jenck Tuesday, June 1, 2021 2:54 PM Allison Hinderer FW: EXTERNAL: 851-21-000095-PLNG Subdivision plat approval, 58 lot subdivision to Avalon Heights, 2nd



Melissa Jenck (she/her) | CFM, Land Use Planner II TILLAMOOK COUNTY | Community Development 1510-B Third Street Tillamook, OR 97141 Phone (503) 842-3408 x3301 mjenck@co.tillamook.or.us

This e-mail is a public record of Tillamook County and is subject to the State of Oregon Retention Schedule and may be subject to public disclosure under the Oregon Public Records Law. This e-mail, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please send a reply e-mail to let the sender know of the error and destroy all copies of the original message.

The Department is excited to announce that we are OPEN to the public by appointment. To review the list of services provided and to schedule an appointment with us, please visit <u>https://www.co.tillamook.or.us/gov/ComDev/</u> to access the appointment scheduler portal.

From: Mike Mahaffa <mikemahaffa@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 1, 2021 12:12 PM
To: Melissa Jenck <mjenck@co.tillamook.or.us>
Cc: Rita Mahaffa <ritamahaffa@hotmail.com>
Subject: EXTERNAL: 851-21-000095-PLNG Subdivision plat approval, 58 lot subdivision to Avalon Heights, 2nd

[NOTICE: This message originated outside of Tillamook County -- DO NOT CLICK on links or open attachments unless you are sure the content is safe.]

Hi Melissa,

Hope the day goes well and you had an enjoyable 3 day weekend on the Coast.

I am writing to have my comments regarding the above 851-21-000095-PLNG Subdivision plat approval, 58 lot subdivision to Avalon Heights, 2nd, to be included as comments to be included in the report for one of the public hearings scheduled for approval of this subdivision.

My wife and I have owned a property designated as LOT 2, Block 1, off Highland Drive near the corner of Grand Ave for over 10 years and are planning to build soon on our lot.

As both Grand Ave, which is very poorly asphalted and full of pot holes along with Highland Drive, which a portion of Highland is very steep and all gravel (as both streets will now serve the 58 homes, I am hoping that the Tillamook Planning Commission will mandate an upgrade of both of these roads as some would say, the two roads are insufficient to even handle the traffic to the existing homes.

Asphalt or concrete would be ideal considering the volume of residential traffic for the future.

Also if upgrades are needed for services to serve the homes on Grand and Highland along with the new roads to be constructed to serve the 58 new homes.

Thank you for the consideration and please include these comments.

Mike Mahaffa mikemahaffa@gmail.com michaelmahaffa@facebook.com 503 799 2538

EXHIBIT D

INSTRUCTIONS FOR FILING RESTRICTIVE COVENANT FOR THE CREATION OF A PARCEL OR PLACEMENT OF A DWELLING ADJACENT TO LAND ZONED FOR FARM OR FOREST USE

- 1. This acknowledgment is required when the County permits the creation of parcels or the location/placement of dwellings adjacent to an area designated by the County as farm or forest lands (F, F-1, SFW-20).
- 2. Obtain the legal description of the subject property as it's recorded in the Tillamook County Deed Records. This is what is referred to as <u>Exhibit A</u> and must accompany the affidavit/covenant.
- 3. The attached affidavit/covenant must be filled out (typewritten), showing the names of ALL current property owners who appear on the property deed or contract, and signed before a Notary Public. Community Development has Notaries that can provide the service for free.
- 4. Once the affidavit/covenant is signed and notarized with the attached legal description, bring these to the Tillamook County Clerk's office to be recorded. **The Clerk's will charge a recording fee.** Please contact the Clerk's office at (503)842-3402 for current fees.
- 5. A copy of the recorded and notarized affidavit/covenant will be given to DCD to put on file.
- 6. If you have any questions about the affidavit/covenant, or the recording procedure, please contact the DCD– Planning Staff at (503)842-3408.

STATE OF OREGON COUNTY OF TILLAMOOK

RESTRICTIVE COVENANT

, (GRANTORS) are the

owners of real property described as follows:

PROPERTY LEGAL DESCRIPTION attached as **Exhibit A** hereto and incorporated by reference

Do hereby promise and covenant as follows:

The property herein described is situated adjacent to a Farm or Forest resource zone such as F, F-1, or SFW-20 zones in Tillamook County, Oregon where the intent is to encourage farm and forest use and minimize conflicts with those uses. The owners/residents of this parcel understand that on the adjacent land customary and accepted farm or forest management practices, conducted in accordance with federal and state laws, ordinarily and necessarily produce noise, dust, smoke, odors, the application of manure, fertilizers, or herbicides (including aerial spraying), road construction, changes in view, and other impacts related to a resource zone.

I/We do hereby accept the potential impacts from farm and forest practices as normal and necessary and part of the risk of establishing a structure in this area and shall not pursue a claim for relief or cause of action of alleging injury from farming or forest practices for which no action or claim is allowed under ORS 30.936 or ORS 30.937.

This covenant shall run with the land and is intended to and hereby shall bind my/our heirs, assigns, lessees, and successors and it can not be deleted or altered without prior contact and approval by the Tillamook County Department of Community Development (GRANTEE) or its successor.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the said Party has ex	day of	
20,		
Signature	Print Names	
State of, Count	, County of	
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	day of	, 20
SEAL		
	Notary Public of Or	regon
	My Commission Ex	xpires: