

Department of Solid Waste Waste Prevention and Recycling

503 Marolf Loop Road Tillamook, Oregon 97141 PH (503) 815-3975 FAX (503) 842-6473

Email: recycle@co.tillamook.or.us www.co.tillamook.or.us/gov/solidwaste

Land of Cheese, Trees and Ocean Breeze

TILLAMOOK COUNTY

Solid Waste Advisory Committee Meeting

Tuesday, February 9, 2021 – 3:00 pm - 5:00 pm

Virtual meeting, call in number: (971) 254-3149, Conference ID: 704 185 5667#

1. Call to Order, Welcome and Introductions	3:00 – 3:05
2. Approval of Minutes for January 12, 2021	3:05 – 3:10 ACTION
3. 6-month Budget Review	3:10 – 3:30
4. Request to add additional SWAC members	3:30-3:45 POTENTIAL ACTION
5. Various solid waste-related legislative bills	3:45 – 4:15 POTENTIAL ACTION
6. Transfer Station Reports	4:15 – 4:25
7. Franchised Hauler Updates	4:25 – 4:40
8. Staff Report	4:40 – 4:50
9. Public Comment	4:50 – 5:00
10. Adjourn	



Department of Solid Waste Waste Prevention and Recycling



FAX (503) 842-6473 Email: recycle@co.tillamook.or.us www.co.tillamook.or.us/gov/solidwaste

Land of Cheese, Trees and Ocean Breeze

TO: Solid Waste Advisory Committee

RE: Minutes from January 12, 2021 meeting

The minutes of the January 12, 2021 SWAC meeting are not yet ready for your review.

I request that you table this item until the March meeting.

Thank you,



Department of Solid Waste Waste Prevention and Recycling

503 Marolf Loop Road Tillamook, Oregon 97141 PH (503) 815-3975 FAX (503) 842-6473

Email: recycle@co.tillamook.or.us www.co.tillamook.or.us/gov/solidwaste

Land of Cheese, Trees and Ocean Breeze

February 3, 2021

Budget Report

To the Solid Waste Advisory Committee

Following is a report on the first six months of actual figures relating to the Solid Waste Department:

Budget summary – 430 Post-Closure Reserve Fund

FY2020-21 6-month summary

Post-closure reserve	FY	Budget	Ac	tual YTD	Re	maining
Beginning Balance	\$	1,060,000	\$	1,063,044	\$	
Interest	\$	25,000	\$	5,197	\$	19,803
Trans. From S.W.	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
TOTAL OF REVENUES	\$	1,085,000	\$	1,068,241	\$	(16,759)

Our goal/requirement was to establish a post-closure fund of approximately \$1,000,000. (In the formula used to calculate the Post-Closure Cost Estimate, the estimated annual costs were \$108,526 per year for ten years, plus \$115,000 rebuilding costs, totaling \$1,200,260. Our actual annual costs have been \$69,159 on average, thereby justifying the total goal of \$1 million, as opposed to the previous calculation of \$1.2 million.) As matters stand presently, it is not possible to reduce the reserve, unless an alternate solution is implemented for the treatment of diluted leachate from the closed landfill. There are still pollutants in the diluted leachate from the closed landfill, which require both treatment and monitoring. Nonetheless, we have discontinued making payments into this fund, as we reached our goal, and the only activity is interest accrual.

Budget summary – 420 Sinking Fund

FY2020-21 6-month summary

Sinking Fund	FY	Budget	Ac	tual YTD	Rei	maining	
Beginning Balance	\$	440,000	\$	548,233	\$		
Interest	\$	10,000	\$	2,595	\$	7,405	
Trans. From S.W.	\$	100,000	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	
TOTAL OF REVENUES	\$	550,000	\$	600,828	\$	73,453	

During this 6-month period \$45,000 was expended on the mini-MRF at TTS and \$15,200 on a backhoe for PCTS.

The net balance in this fund is: \$540,628 (one year ago it was \$459,280).

Operating Fund 410

SOLID W	AST	E BUDGE	Т				
FY2020-21	6-ma	onth summo	ary				
REVENUES:	F١	/ budget	AC	CTUAL YTD	Re	emaining	% spent
BEGINNING BALANCE	\$	175,000	\$	324,779	\$	(149,779)	186%
SERVICE CHARGES (\$12/resident & business)	\$	235,000	\$	215,411	\$	19,590	92%
REFUNDS & REIMBURSEMENTS	\$	-	\$	1,534	\$	(1,534)	
INTEREST	\$	3,500	\$	1,874	\$	1,626	54%
DEQ Grant	\$	7,500	\$	-	\$	7,500	0%
Misc Revenue (bins,kitchen collectors)	\$	500	\$	-	\$	500	0%
Misc Revenue (paint)	\$	20,000	\$	420	\$	19,580	2%
Misc Revenue (advertising reimbursements)	\$	2,500	\$	-	\$	2,500	0%
Bottles & Cans	\$	40,000	\$	18,801	\$	21,199	47%
Asbestos	\$	5,000	\$	-	\$	5,000	0%
PCTS Revenue (recycling)	\$	10,000	\$	1,691	\$	8,309	17%
MTS Revenue (recycling)	\$	20,000	\$	10,920	\$	9,080	55%
MTS Surcharges	\$	80,000	\$	49,644	\$	30,356	62%
PCTS Surcharges	\$	30,000	\$	17,769	\$	12,231	59%
TTS Surcharges	\$	35,000	\$	19,008	\$	15,992	54%
SW FEES (x-fer st & chg acct deposits)	\$3	3,000,000	\$	1,599,275	\$1	1,400,725	53%
of which from Justice Court			\$	275			
TOTAL Revenues:	\$3	3,664,000	\$	2,261,125	\$1	1,402,875	62%
EXPENSES:							
Admin expenses	\$	972,940	\$	302,801	\$	670,139	31%
Transfer station expenses (incl. contingency)	\$2	2,457,960	\$	1,327,649	\$1	1,130,311	54%
Closed Landfill expenses	\$	99,620	\$	21,434	\$	78,186	22%
Recycling, HHW, Code enforcement	\$	152,850	\$	50,096	\$	102,754	33%
Total Expenses:	\$3	3,683,370	\$	1,701,981	\$1	1,981,389	46%
Operating Margin (Revenues - Expenses =)	\$	(94,370)	\$	234,365			
Fund Balance	\$	80,630	\$	559,144			

Revenues to the SW Department have been over budget, with some exceptions:

- 1. The beginning balance was higher than anticipated;
- 2. The majority of service charges are received in November rather than evenly over the fiscal year (the rate at this time last year was 91%);
- 3. Recycling markets seems to have somewhat stabilized, though weak;
- 4. The impact of the surcharges implemented on 11/15/18 has turned out similar to anticipated.

The overall budget is positive, and reflects a balance of nearly \$560k, which is significantly higher than the budgeted amount.

Details of expenses:

SOLID WASTE BUDGET												
FY2020-21 6	-mo	onth summ	ary									
Administrative expenses:	F	/ budget	AC	TUAL YTD	Re	emaining	% spent					
TOTAL PERSONAL	\$	551,150	\$	180,435	\$	370,715	33%					
OFFICE SUPPLIES	\$	500	\$	-	\$	500	0%					
Computer Software & Licenses	\$	3,000	\$	326	\$	2,674	11%					
FUEL	\$	5,000	\$	928	\$	4,072	19%					
Unifroms & Safety Supplies	\$	1,000	\$	737	\$	263	74%					
PRINTING & ADVERTISING	\$	1,000	\$	617	\$	383	62%					
BOOKS & PUBLICATIONS	\$	300	\$	-	\$	300	0%					
POSTAGE & SHIPPING	\$	300	\$	48	\$	252	16%					
TELEPHONE	\$	1,600	\$	476	\$	1,124	30%					
MEMBERSHIPS & DUES	\$	2,150	\$	1,403	\$	747	65%					
Travel/Training/Mileage/Workshops/Conferences	\$	4,000	\$	295	\$	3,705	7%					
PROF SVCS(OUTSIDE AUDIT)	\$	12,500	\$	7,199	\$	5,301	58%					
CONTRACTED SERVICES	\$	10,200	\$	2,595	\$	7,605	25%					
LEGAL SERVICES	\$	3,000	\$	2,571	\$	429	86%					
R&M/Vehicles	\$	5,000	\$	470	\$	4,530	9%					
Misc. Materials & Services	\$	500	\$	-	\$	500	0%					
Computer/Office Equipment	\$	2,500	\$	1,083	\$	1,417	43%					
Vehicles	\$	40,000	\$	-	\$	40,000	0%					
INDIRECT COST ALLOC	\$	86,540	\$	43,270	\$	43,270	50%					
INTERCOUNTY-INSURANCE	\$	11,500	\$	10,347	\$	1,153	90%					
INTERCOUNTY ROAD ADMIN	\$	30,000	\$	-	\$	30,000	0%					
IS SUPPORT SERVICES	\$	1,000	\$	-	\$	1,000	0%					
TRANSFER TO SINKING FUND	\$	100,000	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	50%					
TRANSFER TO POST CLOSURE RESERVE	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	#DIV/0!					
Unappropriated	\$	100,000	\$	-	\$	100,000	0%					
TOTAL Administrative Expenses:	\$	972,740	\$	302,801	\$	669,939	31%					

As can be seen, with a few exceptions, Admin expenses are generally in line with or below those budgeted. Exceptions are single line items like uniforms (new transfer station attendants), legal services (over which we have no knowledge or control) or insurance (which is not pro-rated during the year.

SOLID WASTE BUDGET												
FY2020-21 6-month summary												
Transfer Station expenses:	FY	' budget	AC	CTUAL YTD	Re	emaining	% spent					
OPERATING SUPPLIES	\$	1,000	\$	2,555	\$	(1,555)	255%					
COMPUTER SOFWARE & LICENSING	\$	2,000	\$	-	\$	2,000	0%					
Fuel & Lubricants	\$	3,250	\$	1,324	\$	1,926	41%					
PHONE	\$	2,000	\$	1,061	\$	939	53%					
NETWORK FEES (Wireless)	\$	1,500	\$	670	\$	830	45%					
BANK FEES	\$	15,000	\$	10,603	\$	4,397	71%					
CONTRACTED SERVICES	\$2	2,200,000	\$	1,239,445	\$	960,555	56%					
trash operations	\$	1,827,327	\$	1,044,173	\$	783,154	57%					
Seagull netting	\$	5,000	\$	100	\$	4,900	2%					
composting	\$	82,890	\$	94,334	\$	(11,444)	114%					
Shingles	\$	-	\$	1,396	\$	(1,396)	#DIV/0!					
MTS management	\$	30,000	\$	5,074	\$	24,926	17%					
MTS operating costs	\$	81,332	\$	60,383	\$	20,949	74%					
PCTS management	\$	5,000	\$	-	\$	5,000	0%					
PCTS operating costs	\$	28,070	\$	24,861	\$	3,209	89%					
Contingency	\$	100,000	\$	-	\$	100,000	0%					
MONITORING	\$	15,000	\$	3,160	\$	11,840	21%					
UTILITIES	\$	3,000	\$	1,631	\$	1,369	54%					
R&M/BUILDINGS & GROUNDS	\$	85,710	\$	51,611	\$	34,099	60%					
R&M/EQUIPMENT	\$	21,500	\$	13,734	\$	7,766	64%					
PERMIT FEES	\$	3,000	\$	1,857	\$	1,143	62%					
INTERCOUNTY/WORK CREW	\$	5,000	\$	-	\$	5,000	0%					
OPERATING CONTINGENCY	\$	100,000	\$	-	\$	100,000	0%					
TOTAL Transfer Station expenses:	\$2	2,457,960	\$	1,327,649	\$1	1,130,311	54%					

The breakdown under Contracted Services shows that our expenses for trash and composting were higher, primarily due to the sustained increase in delivered quantities. This fund includes an Operating Contingency calculated for unforeseen expenses.

SOLID WASTE BUDGET													
FY2020-21 6-month summary													
Closed Landfill expenses:	FY	' budget	AC	TUAL YTD	Re	emaining	% spent						
TELEPHONE	\$	700	\$	373	\$	327	53%						
CONTRACTED SERVICES	\$	500	\$	-	\$	500	0%						
MONITORING	\$	50,000	\$	16,041	\$	33,959	32%						
TC Surveyer	\$	3,000	\$	-	\$	3,000	0%						
TCPW	\$	3,000	\$	-	\$	3,000	0%						
Hart Crowser	\$	4,000	\$	936	\$	3,065	23%						
Parametrix	\$	50,000	\$	15,106	\$	34,894	30%						
UTILITIES	\$	3,000	\$	1,214	\$	1,786	40%						
R&M/BUILDINGS & GROUNDS	\$	10,000	\$	700	\$	9,300	7%						
R&M/EQUIPMENT	\$	10,000	\$	170	\$	9,830	2%						
PERMIT FEES	\$	500	\$	276	\$	224	55%						
INTERCOUNTY/INSURANCE	\$	2,420	\$	2,660	\$	(240)	110%						
INTERCOUNTY/WORK CREW	\$	2,500	\$	-	\$	2,500	0%						
CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$	20,000	\$	-	\$	20,000	0%						
TOTAL Closed Landfill expenses:	\$	99,620	\$	21,434	\$	78,186	22%						

This fund remains under budget, with contingency built in to develop the initial module of a leachate treatment system, assuming the trials we have been conducting result in a method that works consistently and sustainably.

SOLID WASTE BUDGET													
FY2020-21 6-month summary													
Recycling/HHW/Code enforcement expenses:	F١	/ budget	AC	TUAL YTD	Re	emaining	% spent						
TELEPHONE	\$	750	\$	353	\$	397	47%						
UTILITIES	\$	600	\$	280	\$	320	47%						
R&M/BUILDINGS & GROUNDS	\$	1,500	\$	-	\$	1,500	0%						
R&M/EQUIPMENT	\$	5,000	\$	-	\$	5,000	0%						
CODE ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM	\$	5,000	\$	-	\$	5,000	0%						
HAZARDOUS WASTE PROGRAM	\$	97,000	\$	40,474	\$	56,526	42%						
RECYCLING - PROMOTION	\$	40,000	\$	8,314	\$	31,686	21%						
NO CHARGE DISPOSAL	\$	3,000	\$	530	\$	2,470	18%						
MACHINERY & EQUIPMENT	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	#DIV/0!						
TOTAL Recycling/HHW/Code Enforcement	\$	152,850	\$	49,951	\$	102,899	33%						

The increase in funding for our HHW program appears to be at the right level, as this fund is now also performing according to budget.

Pacific City and Manzanita Transfer Stations

I have attempted to track all revenues and expenses related at both PCTS and MTS separately, so that we can monitor our results there.

PCTS Revenues/Expenses:																											
	FY201	5-16 budget	FY20	015-16 actual	FY20	16-17 budget	FY20	016-17 actual	FY201	7-18 budget	FY20)17-18 actual	FY2	018-19 budget	FY20	018-19 actual	FY20	019-20 budge	FY2020) total	FY2020-21 budget	FY2021 Q1	FY2021 0	Q2	FY2021	total	
Acceptance fee revenues	\$	14,240	\$	18,995	\$	19,232	\$	33,972	\$	28,175	\$	38,578	\$	39,339	\$	34,950	\$	41,969	\$	37,478	\$ 47,253	\$ 13,523	\$	8,334	\$	21,856	58%
ODF revenue estimate																			\$	4,264		\$ 3,594	\$	1,734	\$	5,328	
Surcharge revenues															\$	14,669	\$	20,000	\$	30,751	\$ 30,000	\$ 11,222	\$	6,547	\$	17,769	59%
Other Revenues			\$	2,139	\$	3,000	\$	7,388	\$	3,000	\$	12,586	\$	5,000	\$	11,168	\$	5,000	\$	6,321	\$ 10,000	\$ 1,464	\$	227	\$	1,691	17%
Total revenues	\$	14,240	\$	21,134	\$	22,232	\$	41,361	\$	31,175	\$	51,164	\$	44,339	\$	60,787	\$	66,969	\$	78,815	\$ 87,253	\$ 29,802	\$	16,842	\$	46,645	53%
PERSONNEL EXPENSES			\$	12,466	\$	35,225	\$	33,174	\$	26,805	\$	40,298	\$	48,365	\$	32,829	\$	49,108	\$	40,329	\$ 52,225	\$ 13,234	\$	12,362	\$	25,596	49%
Management expenses	\$	34,900	\$	15,000	\$	-	\$	841	\$	10,000	\$	1,274			\$	6,589	\$	5,000	\$	-	\$ 5,000	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%
Operating expenses (w/o disposal)	\$	8,904	\$	15,871	\$	13,281	\$	24,817	\$	18,647	\$	35,676	\$	35,192	\$	38,226	\$	57,430	\$	45,911	\$ 46,170	\$ 16,052	\$	13,964	\$	30,016	65%
Approx. disposal expense			\$	7,680			\$	12,540			\$	17,400			\$	19,090	\$	18,910	\$	17,716	\$ 18,910	\$ 5,776	\$	4,123	\$	9,900	52%
Total expenses:													\$	83,557	\$	96,735	\$	130,447	\$ '	103,955	\$ 122,304	\$ 35,063	\$	30,450	\$	65,512	54%
Operating result:	\$	(29,564)	\$	(22,203)	\$	(26,273)	\$	(17,471)	\$	(24,276)	\$	(26,084)	\$	(39,218)	\$	(35,948)	\$	(63,478)	\$	(25,140)	\$ (35,051)	\$ (5,260)	\$ (13,607)	\$	(18,868)	54%
Capital outlay			\$	45,861			\$	6,548					\$	40,000			\$	40,000			\$ 115,000		\$15,20	00.00	\$	15,200	13%
MTS Revenues/Expenses:																											
	FY201	5-16 budget	FY20	015-16 actual	FY20	16-17 budget	FY2	016-17 actual	FY201	7-18 budget	FY20)17-18 actual	FY2	018-19 budget	FY20	019 actual	FY20	019-20 budge	FY2020) total	FY2020-21 budget	FY2021 Q1	FY2021 0	22	FY2021	total	
Acceptance fee revenues	\$	122,287	\$	165,424	\$	142,688	\$	186,306	\$	205,685	\$	198,348	\$	230,352	\$	122,529	\$	194,867	\$ '	125,155	\$ 182,599	\$ 46,110	\$	35,210	\$	81,320	59%
ODF revenue estimate																			\$	33,521		\$ 19,880	\$	5,669	\$	25,549	
Surcharge revenues															\$	40,759	\$	80,000	\$	79,976	\$ 80,000	\$ 29,928	\$	19,716	\$	49,644	62%
Other Revenues															\$	1,723	\$	50,000	\$	10,734	\$ 20,000	\$ 4,148	\$	6,771	\$	10,920	55%
Total revenues	\$	122,287	\$	165,424	\$	142,688	\$	186,306	\$	205,685	\$	198,348	\$	230,352	\$	165,011	\$	324,867	\$ 2	249,385	\$ 282,599	\$ 100,067	\$	67,366	\$	167,433	59%
PERSONNEL EXPENSES															\$	54,433	\$	180,264	\$ '	158,916	\$ 212,675	\$ 28,535	\$	29,067	\$	57,602	27%
Management expenses	\$	94,000	\$	86,167	\$	94,000	\$	110,768	\$	96,000	\$	105,734	\$	96,000	\$	120,291	\$	30,000	\$	3,631	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$	5,074	\$	5,074	17%
Operating expenses (w/o disposal)	\$	58,206	\$	42,734	\$	93,644	\$	40,560	\$	113,591	\$	36,288	\$	113,690	\$	46,588	\$	150,285	\$	51,381	\$ 113,782	\$ 23,686	\$	12,657	\$	36,343	32%
Approx. disposal expense			\$	43,800			\$	69,180			\$	72,960			\$	63,406	\$	77,135	\$	44,836	\$ 77,135	\$ 17,951	\$	10,534	\$	28,485	37%
Total expenses:	\$	152,206	\$	172,701	\$	187,644	\$	220,508	\$	209,591	\$	214,982	\$	209,690	\$	284,718	\$	437,684	\$ 2	258,764	\$ 433,592	\$ 70,172	\$	57,333	\$	127,505	29%
Operating result:	\$	(29,919)	\$	(7,277)	\$	(44,956)	\$	(34,202)	\$	(3,906)	\$	(16,634)	\$	20,662	\$	(119,707)	\$	(112,817)	\$	(9,379)	\$ (150,993)	\$ 29,895	\$	10,033	\$	39,928	-26%
-		,		· · · · · ·																	,						
Capital outlay													\$	20,000	\$	151,258	\$	100,000	\$	51,917	\$ 50,000				\$	-	

The overall financial picture for both PCTS and MTS are better than budgeted, though require constant vigilance to ensure savings, especially while the revenue from recycling is so low.

Planning for FY 2020-21

This past year saw many unknown factors come to play in ways that would have been difficult to predict. The stay-at-home orders resulted in a change in the way people produced waste, but also in an overall increase due in part to many people taking on projects in their homes that had previously been delayed. On a calendar year basis, we saw a 11-12% increase in MSW at PCTS and MTS, and a 5.7% increase at TTS. Additionally we saw a 27% increase in yard debris at MTS, 113% at PCTS, and an overall increase of 58%. Recycling quantities increase 19% at MTS and 30% at PCTS. (We're still calculating TTS.)

The CPI-U was -0.4% in March, -0.8% in April, but then jumped up 0.6% in both June and July. The calendar year 2020 resulted in a 1.4% increase nationwide. While the CPI-U in West Region was not as negative, the surge was also not as pronounced, resulting in a 1.5% increase in West Region for the year.

It makes it difficult to predict what will occur next fiscal year. We could see a continuation of the current trend, a fallback, or even further increases.

The budget predictions we made appear to be sound, and provide a good basis for planning next year's budget including the operation of PCTS and MTS with County staff, as opposed to a contracted franchisee/operator. In addition to the staff we have in our budget this year, I would like to include an additional position for someone who can focus on operational issues, including substituting at the transfer stations, operating the densifier, and working our recycling equipment.

Throughout the next year we will continue to concentrate our efforts on waste diversion through various recovery processes, including not only increased recycling promotion, but also alternative treatment possibilities such as increasing our organized composting solution for yard debris. These reduced disposal fees for such materials has produced results, and we should further continue such efforts. The quantity of yard debris is expected to be significant with the ODF program operating May through September again.

Such diversion solutions work similarly to MSW. The county secures an outlet for collected yard debris, and the costs involved with such treatment (i.e. disposal fees, hauling, storage and handling) are offset by a disposal fee at the transfer station. The disposal fee charged is higher than the expenses. Therefore the Solid Waste Department does not suffer a loss of revenue due to the diversion of these materials.

I look for direction from SWAC as to whether you would rather see these cost increases passed on to the disposal fees we charge, i.e. MSW acceptance fees to franchised and self-haulers, or absorbed in the budget. I feel that we are in a position where we could maintain our current pricing for another year, and wait to see how the economy recovers.



Department of Solid Waste Waste Prevention and Recycling



503 Marolf Loop Road Tillamook, Oregon 97141 PH (503) 815-3975 FAX (503) 842-6473

Email: recycle@co.tillamook.or.us www.co.tillamook.or.us/gov/solidwaste

Land of Cheese, Trees and Ocean Breeze

February 3, 2021

TO: Solid Waste Advisory Committee

As established in Ordinance #4, the Solid Waste Advisory Committee is composed of:

- A. Nine members:
 - a. Two representatives of the solid waste collection industry not representing the same organization.
 - b. One representative of the dairy farming industry.
 - c. One representative of the timber manufacturing industry.
 - d. One representative of the incorporated cities of Tillamook County.
 - e. Two representatives of the public at large representing the unincorporated areas of Tillamook County.
 - f. One representative from a transfer station or principle organization responsible for marketing recycables from within the wasteshed.
 - g. One representative from the construction industry.
- B. Non voting Ex-Officio members of the Committee, including but not limited to:
 - a. The Solid Waste Administrator and County Staff.
 - b. Representatives of incorporated cities may be appointed by each city annually by resolution.
 - c. Any industry professional so designated by formal action of the Solid Waste Advisory Committee.

As you are aware, the Incorporated Cities position has been vacant for some time.

At a recent meeting with tourism-related stakeholders, it was suggested that the Commissioners should recognize the increased role of tourism in Tillamook County, and modify the ordinance to include two additional representatives, one representing the tourism industry, and one representing the short term rental industry.

I would like to request that SWAC consider this request, and offer a recommendation to the Board of County Commissioners in this regard.

(If SWAC and the BOCC decide to support this, then until Ordinance #4 is changed, it may be possible to involve them as "industry professionals," as outlined above.)

Thank you,



Department of Solid Waste Waste Prevention and Recycling



503 Marolf Loop Road Tillamook, Oregon 97141 PH (503) 815-3975 FAX (503) 842-6473

Email: recycle@co.tillamook.or.us www.co.tillamook.or.us/gov/solidwaste

Land of Cheese, Trees and Ocean Breeze

February 3, 2021

TO: Solid Waste Advisory Committee

There are several solid waste-related bills moving through the legislative process this year, and I wanted to call your attention to several of them, and ask whether there are any that you feel the BOCC and/or staff should be actively advocating for or against. Here is my partial list, including their links in OLIS:

HB2065 Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) and Modernizing Oregon's

Recycling System

HB2065 2021 Regular Session - Oregon Legislative Information System (oregonlegislature.gov)

HB2618 Sharps Disposal study

HB2618 2021 Regular Session - Oregon Legislative Information System (oregonlegislature.gov)

HB2955 EPR for HHW

HB2955 2021 Regular Session - Oregon Legislative Information System (oregonlegislature.gov)

SB466 Establishing a revolving account (fund) for abandoned RVs

SB466 2021 Regular Session - Oregon Legislative Information System (oregonlegislature.gov)

SB570 EPR for Mattresses

SB570 2021 Regular Session - Oregon Legislative Information System (oregonlegislature.gov)

Thank you,



Department of Solid Waste Waste Prevention and Recycling

503 Marolf Loop Road Tillamook, Oregon 97141 PH (503) 815-3975 FAX (503) 842-6473

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Email: & \underline{recycle@.co.tillamook.or.us}\\ & \underline{www.co.tillamook.or.us/gov/solidwaste}\\ \end{tabular}$

Land of Cheese, Trees and Ocean Breeze

February 5, 2021

1

Staff Report

To the Solid Waste Advisory Committee

I. Annual Reports

As I have previously reported, January of each year is filled with reports that need to be compiled, completed, and submitted. It doesn't matter whether COVID keeps us busy or distracted, or whether we are fully staffed or experience staffing shortages, the requirement to complete these reports still remains. This year I managed to complete all seven by the end of the month. I would not have been able to complete them without Anita's help, though when she helps me, she is also juggling her various other duties with the Road Department.

In February I have a further three to complete, along with a couple due in March.

II. E-waste event

Attendance at this year's e-waste collection event (to benefit Senior SAFE committees) was low. It was good to see the Master Recyclers back, trying to help benefit community, though it was disappointing to see how little materials came in this way. (In Manzanita, for example, more e-waste came in on the following day than during this event.)

This event also brings to light the difficulties we have communicating with residents. We promoted this event through local print media, radio, the Tillamook County Pioneer, BBQ, Facebook, and Commissioner Bell even pitched it during the weekly Community Call. Even so, it seems that people were not informed. (Anecdotally, I think the majority of phone calls I have fielded this year are people asking when and where they can drop off e-waste, specifically TVs and printers.) Our inability to adequately get the word to residents about this event also highlights the need to fill the Outreach Specialist position.

III. Mini-MRF

In December we ran 6,200 lbs of commingled recycling through the mini-MRF. It took approx. 3 hours for the sort. Aaron and I worked alongside his staff during this first trial.

Since then we have run a full month's of commingled recycling through the mini-MRF. We weighed each material sorted (cardboard, paper, Al& tin cans, #1 bottles, #2 jugs, #2

SWAC Febuary 9, 2021 Page 12 of 13

frosty jugs, other materials/trash), and based on this I will be negotiating some proposed terms with Aaron to present to SWAC at our March meeting.

Nearly everyone on Aaron's staff has now participated in working on the sort line at least once, and it is becoming clear who is better at what function. (It is not as easy as one would assume. If any SWAC member would like to experience what it's like to work on the line for an hour or two, I'd be happy to arrange for you to participate. It gives a different perspective to things.)

IV. BOCC Presentation

Numbers have now been finalized for 2020, and the Year in Review presentation I have to SWAC has been slightly altered for presentation to the Board of County Commissioners. This was scheduled for February 10th, but has been moved to March 3rd.

V. Upcoming events

- a. Household Hazardous Waste Collection Event February 6th
- b. Household Hazardous Waste Collection Event March 6th
- c. SWAC meeting March 9th

David McCall Solid Waste Program Manager

SWAC Febuary 9, 2021 Page 13 of 13 2